TREE EMERGENCY INSPECTION REPORT

I. BASIC INFORMATION

TREE NO	T17	REF NO	005
INSPECTION NO	E03	INSPECTION DATE	23 Jan 2010 24 Jan 2010
OWNER	Maryknoll Convent School	ACTUAL LOCATION	114 10' 43" E 22 19' 40" N
GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION	Northwest Corner of the Building of Maryknoll Convent School, No. 130 Boundary Street, Kowloon.	WEATHER CONDITION	Fine

II. TREE CHARACTERISTICS

SPECIES	Araucaria heterophylla (Salisb.) Franco		FAMILY		Araucariaceae		
ENGLISH NAME	Norfolk Island Pine		CHINESE NAME		異葉南洋杉		
HEIGHT(m)	23.5m			DBH (mm) 553.80			
CROWN SPREAD	N - S : 3.2m W - E : 3.5m						
ROOT	METHOD	VTA	INSECT	Nil	FU	NGUS	Nil
	DISEASE	Nil	TERMITE	Nil	EL	EVATION	Very Bad
	METHOD	VTA	INSECT	Nil	FU	NGUS	Nil
TRUNK	DISEASE	Nil	TERMITE	Nil	EL	EVATION	Good
	METHOD	VTA	INSECT	Nil	FU	FUNGUS Nil	
BRANCH	DISEASE	Nil	TERMITE	Nil	EL	ELEVATION GOO	
LEAF	METHOD	VTA	INSECT	Nil	FU	FUNGUS Ni	
	DISEASE	Nil	TERMITE	Nil	EL	EVATION	Good
CONDITIONS OF GROWTH	 The general Two trench Roots system Heavy duty The works Conclusion: The over by Visuant the two of the system 	al condition les were du em was dar / machinery finished on erall condit al Tree Ass sides near oil surface	of the tree or g closed to the mage. (Fig.3 the movement of Jan 24. (Fig ion of the tree essment Me by, damage by machine	a Jan 24. (Fig. e tree trunk le to 8) an ground surfa 11 to 13) ee at this mon thod. Howeve of the rooting ry, severe dar	1) ss tha ace. (nent er, wi g sys mage	Fig 9 to 10 Fig 9 to 10 is still goo th the exc tem and o	n apart (Fig.2)) od as judged cavation of compaction ave been

Dynamic Source Limited

2. Danger signal was identified.

III. SITE CONDITIONS

SITE	1. Two deep trenches 400 mm (wide) x 800 mm (deep) were dug on two sides of the tree by the Construction Contractor
	 The distances between the trenches and the base of the main truck of the tree were less than 1 meter.
	 3. Two major side roots 100 mm (dia) was exposed and badly damaged, much of small roots was find by cutting. 4. QA was identifying the fungicide to protect the damage root surface asap.
	5. The Construction Contractor backfill the soil on Jan 24 noon.
GROUND COVER	The ground vegetation cover surrounding the tree was completely ruined by machinery movement. The soil was also compacted by the movement of the machinery and the weight of the machinery plus the concrete mixed carried.

IV. EXISTING PROTECTION

LABEL	T17	TREE HOLE	None	
RAILING	None	AI R ROOTING	None	
SUPPORTING	None	OTHER PROTECTION	None	

V. RISK ASSESSMENT

ORIGIN OF RISK	Due to the Construction Contractor digging the tren damage tree roots system.	ich very closed to trunk, and
HAZARD RATING	Hazard Rating = Failure Potential + Size of Part + Target Rating	Failure potential: 1 = low; 2 = medium; 3 = high; 4 = severe Size of part: 1 = <50mm; 2 = 51 - 100mm; 3 = 101-150mm; 4 = >150mm Target rating: 1 = occasional use; 2 = intermittent use; 3 = frequent use; 4 = constant use
RISK RATIO	Due to 50% surface root system was damage, so heavy machine, tree dead ratio more than 50%.	urface soil was compact by

Dynamic Source Limited

VI. SUGGESTION

ł

	1. Restrict Area : 1	CARRY OUT THE WORK :
	2. Cable : 0	0=NONE;
	3. Removal : 0	1=IMMEDIATERLY;
	4. Replanting : 0	2=STAY BEHIND;
	5. Prune : 0	3=UNNECESSARY;
HAZARD	6. Supporting : 1	4=OTHER
ABATEMENT	7. Effect on adjacent tree : 0	
	8. Inspect further : 0	
	9. Notification : 0	
	This kind of work defied the purposes of protecting the respective tree.	
COMMENT	1. The tree unstable now, tree supporting system immediate needs.	
	2. Inspection by weekly.	

VII. PHOTO RECORD



4 4