香港特別行政區政府

The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

政 府 總 部 環 境 運 輸 及 工 務 局 工 務 科

香港花園道美利大廈



Environment, Transport and Works Bureau Government Secretariat Works Branch

Murray Building, Garden Road, Hong Kong

Ref : ETWB(PS) 106/28

Group: 6

6 January 2006

Environment, Transport and Works Bureau <u>Technical Circular (Works) No. 16/95B</u>

Selection and Remuneration of **Engineering and Associated Consultants**

Introduction

The system for the selection and remuneration of consultants promulgated in WBTC No. 16/95 has been reviewed by the Engineering and Associated Consultants Selection Board (EACSB). It is agreed that revisions to WBTC No. 16/95 as stipulated in paragraph 4 below should be made.

2. This Circular should be read in conjunction with WBTC Nos. 16/95 and 16/95A.

Effective Date

3. This Circular takes immediate effect.

Revisions

4. Paragraphs 9 and 11 in WBTC No. 16/95 should be replaced by those at Appendix A.

(C S Wai)
Deputy Secretary for the Environment,
Transport and Works (Works) 2

ETWB TCW No. 16/95B Page 2

Selection and Remuneration of Engineering and Associated Consultants Revisions to WBTC No. 16/95

- 9. In the situation where the scope of design and construction services for a DC project cannot be adequately defined until the Investigation stage has been carried out, the project shall be split into two separate consultancies one for investigation services and another for design and construction services. Submissions for the Design & Construction consultancy shall be invited only after the scope of design and construction services have been adequately defined in the Investigation consultancy to enable consultants to submit competitive lump sum fee proposals.
- In the situation where the full scope of investigation, design and construction services can be adequately defined at the start for the purpose of inviting competitive lump sum fee proposals, the use of single IDC consultancy is encouraged to expedite project delivery. In these cases, the Controlling Officer should be satisfied that the risks of major scope changes following the I stage are low, and that it is appropriate to invite bids on lump sum basis. Such Controlling Officer's satisfaction with the use of IDC arrangement should be clearly indicated in the EACSB submission.

ETWB TCW No. 16/95B Page 3