

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Li LKam Har 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 08:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 謝

謝！

Li LKam Har 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cathy Hung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 08:28

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

感謝！

Cathy Hung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Heidy Leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 08:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Heidy Leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Dicken Chao** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 07:56

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Dicken Chao 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Suen PY 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 07:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 萬

分感謝！

Suen PY 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Gigi 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 07:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 感

謝！

Gigi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Amy Yau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 07:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 敬祝  
安好！

Amy Yau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Prachi 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 07:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

Prachi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



Unique cheung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 07:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Unique cheung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



徐偉恩對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 06:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

感謝！

徐偉恩謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



林銘傑對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 06:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

林銘傑謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hui Yuet Ling** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 05:38

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Hui Yuet Ling 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lucia Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 05:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Lucia Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**lokamsn 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 04:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 敬

祝安好！

lokamsn 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Liu 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 04:24

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。 感謝！

Liu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



姚智覓對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 04:18

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不 應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因 為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 生活愉快！

姚智覓謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



law laiye 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 04:05

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

謝謝！

law laiye 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Stephen Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 04:01

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

Stephen Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lucille Mok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 03:36

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Lucille Mok 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Olive Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 02:30

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Olive Wong 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lau Sin Nga** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 02:26

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 敬祝  
安好！

Lau Sin Nga 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Karrie Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 01:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 生活愉快！

Karrie Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ho Yuen Yee Cecilia**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 01:26

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 敬祝  
安好！

Ho Yuen Yee Cecilia 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Emily 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 01:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Emily 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Nick Mok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 01:02

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

Nick Mok 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yip Hiu Ying** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 01:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Yip Hiu Ying 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Nora chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 00:58

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Nora chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fung Ka Mei** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 00:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Fung Ka Mei 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jenny 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 00:22

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Jenny 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**chun yu tang** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 00:22

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

chun yu tang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Crystal Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

26/06/2018 00:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。 敬

祝安好！

Crystal Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leung Nik Hang對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

26/06/2018 00:00

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 感

謝！

Leung Nik Hang 謹啟

23 June 2018

The Secretariat  
Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong.

Dear Sir,

I am writing to express my opinion with regards to the current land supply strategy and ongoing initiatives conducted by the Task Force.

I am strongly against the idea of partial or full reclamation of the Fanling Golf Courses, which will be supported by the following reasons.

To start with, Hong Kong Golf Club (HKGC) has a long-standing history of hosting the Hong Kong Open which is Hong Kong's oldest professional sporting event. Furthermore, Hong Kong Golf Club has been a major supporter of the Hong Kong Golf Association in nurturing future young golf talents in Hong Kong. There is not much venue in Hong Kong for the Hong Kong Golf Association to train the young talented golfers. It would be a pity if there is no training ground for future professional golf players who could win glory for Hong Kong.

Hong Kong Golf Club is open to public on weekdays at a very reasonable rate. Just to mention that 120,000 rounds of golf played in 2017, over 42% were played by non-members. Over 200 non-member events including fund-raising charity golf days are held annually at the Fanling golf course and more than HK \$ 20 million is raised. It shows that there is a great demand for Golfing by the general public and not just the members of the Golf Club.

HKGC has tremendous ecological and conservatory values. Being an important green lung in the northern New Territories, the golf courses are comprised of vast amount of dense woodland. Many trees in HKGC are old and valuable, which are protected by Hong Kong Law. Not to mention the golf courses are also protected habitat for birds, insects, reptiles and wildlife.

The Clubhouse at HKGC is a Grade II Heritage Building and the pavilion on the old Course is a Grade III Heritage Building. There are sixty-eight ancestral graves

which dated back to the Qing and Ming dynasties. Their descendants will be happy if their ancestral graves are not disturbed.

It appears that the government has turned a blind eye into considering the cultural, historical and environmental backgrounds of the Fanling golf course. It is hoped that the government could make careful considerations before making any irreversible decisions.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours truly,



Vivian Lam

**Dr. Alexander Chiu Ki Lam**

Specialist in Internal Medicine

MD MCPS CCFP FRACGP FHKAM

DCH MRCP FHKCP FCFPC FRCP

林超奇醫生

內科專科醫生

22<sup>nd</sup> June 2018

The Secretariat  
Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong.

Dear Sir,

We are totally against partial or full reclamation of the Fanling Golf Courses. Hong Kong Golf Club has hosted more than fifty years of the Hong Kong Open which is an internationally recognized Golf Event. I am really proud of Hong Kong Golf Club (HKGC) holding and handling well such a big event. Hong Kong Golf Club has been a major supporter of the Hong Kong Golf Association in the training of young talents in Hong Kong. There is not much venue in Hong Kong for the Hong Kong Golf Association to train the young talented golfers. It would be sad if there is no training ground for the potential young golfers to practice and do their training.

Hong Kong Golf Club is open to public on weekdays at a very reasonable rate. Just to mention that 120,000 rounds of golf played in 2017, over 42% were played by non-members. It shows that there is a great demand for Golfing in Hong Kong and not just the members of the Golf Club. HKGC has ecological and conservatory values. Many trees in HKGC are old and valuable. It is a protected habitat for birds, insects, reptiles and wildlife in the three golf courses.

The Clubhouse at HKGC is a Grade II Heritage Building and the pavilion on the old Course is a Grade III Heritage Building. There are sixty eight ancestral graves which dated back to the Qing and Ming dynasties. Their descendants will be happy if their ancestral graves are not disturbed.

**Dr. Alexander Chiu Ki Lam**

Specialist in Internal Medicine

MD MCPS CCFP FRACGP FHKAM

DCH MRCP FHKCP FCFPC FRCP

---

林超奇醫生


內科專科醫生

Last but not least, there are many fund-raising charity golf days held by non-member events and more than HK \$ 20 million is raised annually. I strongly think there is value for the three golf courses to stay.

I totally agree with Mr. Aron Harilela (chairman of the Hong Kong General Chamber of Commerce) calls for a rethink on land supply in the South China Morning Post 19-6-2018 instead of partial or full reclamation of Fanling golf courses.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours truly



Alexander Chiu Ki Lam and Christine Fong Fong Wong

---



23 June 2018

The Secretariat  
Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong.

Dear Sir,

I am writing to convey my opinion with regards to the current land supply strategy and ongoing initiatives conducted by the Task Force.

It is an undeniable fact that Hong Kong is in great need of land supply, as supported by the government's long-term 2030 Plus development blueprint.

Whether by design or by coincidence, the option which has stirred the strongest debate among the public is the use of the land at Fanling golf courses for housing.

I strongly oppose the idea of partial or full reclamation of the Fanling Golf Courses. To start with, Hong Kong Golf Club (HKGCC) has hosted more than fifty years of the Hong Kong Open which is Hong Kong's oldest professional sporting event. Furthermore, Hong Kong Golf Club has been a major proponent of the Hong Kong Golf Association in nurturing future young golf talents in Hong Kong. There is not much venue in Hong Kong for the Hong Kong Golf Association to train the young talented golfers. It would be a pity if there is no training ground for future professional golf players who could win glory for Hong Kong.

Hong Kong Golf Club is open to public on weekdays at a very reasonable rate. Just to mention that 120,000 rounds of golf played in 2017, over 42% were played by non-members. Over 200 non-member events including fund-raising charity golf days are held annually at the Fanling golf course and more than HK \$ 20 million is raised. It evinces that there is a great demand for Golfing by both the general public and not just the members of the Golf Club.

HKGCC has prodigious ecological and conservatory values that should not be underestimated. Being an important green lung in the northern New Territories, the golf courses are comprised of vast amount of dense woodland. Many trees in HKGCC are old and valuable, which are protected by Hong Kong Law. Not to mention the golf

courses are also protected habitat for birds, insects, reptiles and wildlife.

The Clubhouse at HKGC is a Grade II Heritage Building and the pavilion on the old Course is a Grade III Heritage Building. There are sixty-eight ancestral graves which dated back to the Qing and Ming dynasties. Their descendants will be happy if their ancestral graves are not disturbed.

It appears that the government has turned a blind eye into considering the cultural, historical and environmental backgrounds of the Fanling golf course. It is hoped that the government could make prudent considerations before making any irreversible decisions.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours truly,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Brian Lam

22 June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue  
Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Secretariat,

In light of the recent discussion of the options to increase land supply in Hong Kong, I cannot disagree more with your proposal to (partial or full) develop the Fanling Golf course.

Hong Kong Golf Club is an important part of Hong Kong's heritage. It is the oldest golf club in South East Asia and the second oldest in Asia. The clubhouse at Fanling was constructed in 1911 and is a Grade II Heritage Building. The Pavilion on the OLD Course, opened in 1918, is a Grade III Heritage Building. There are 68 ancestral graves with many of them dating back several hundred years to the Qing and Ming dynasties.

Heritage and our ancestors deserve our respect and developing the Fanling Golf Club is simply not an option.

Sincerely,



Mok Mee Ting Eleanor

CC



25 June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong

Dear sir/madam,

**Re: Objection to re-allocation of Hong Kong Golf Club for alternative use.**

I am writing to object to the consideration of re-allocation of the current sites of the Hong Kong Golf Club at Fanling and Deep Water Bay for alternative uses.

My objection is based on the following six factual areas:

### **1. History and Heritage**

- The Hong Kong Golf Club is an important part of Hong Kong's heritage. It is the oldest golf club in South East Asia and the second oldest in Asia.
- The clubhouse at Fanling was constructed in 1911 and is a Grade II Heritage Building. The Pavilion on the OLD Course at the Fanling site, opened in 1918, is a Grade III Heritage Building.
- There are 68 ancestral graves with many of them dating back several hundred years to the Qing and Ming dynasties.

### **2. Ecological and Conservation Values**

- The Hong Kong Golf Club is an important green lung in the northern New Territories; over 40%, or 74.2 hectares, of the Fanling courses are comprised of dense woodland, including secondary forests.
- The Fanling courses have many trees which are protected by Hong Kong law and meet the Government's criteria of 'Old and Valuable Trees'.
- The three golf courses at Fanling are also inhabited by a huge diversity of fauna including protected species of birds, insects, reptiles and other wildlife.

### **3. Public Access**

- Facilities at Fanling are open to the public from Monday to Friday throughout the year.
- In 2017 over 50,000 (42%) of the 120,000 rounds of golf were played by nonmembers.

### **4. Iconic International Tournaments**

- The Hong Kong Open is Hong Kong's oldest professional sporting event.
- In 2018, Hong Kong Golf Club will host the 60<sup>th</sup> Edition of the Hong Kong Open. The Hong Kong Open is co-sanctioned by the European and Asian PGA Tours and is one of only two professional tournaments in the world to have been hosted at the same venue for more than 50 years.

- A record attendance of over 48,000 spectators attended the 2017 Hong Kong Open including more than 10,000 international visitors. The Hong Kong Open is broadcast worldwide to over 400 million households.

#### **5. Grooming Young Talented Golfers**

- Hong Kong Golf Club has been a key supporter of the Hong Kong Golf Association (HKGA) in the grooming of young talent by providing HKGA free access to host its squad team training and amateur tournaments.
- In May 2018, the Hong Kong Golf Club sponsored the HKGA's inaugural Inter-Secondary Schools Golf Tournament by providing the Old Course as the tournament venue and offering free practice rounds and other support for participating school teams.
- Hong Kong Golf Club founded the Hong Kong Ladies Open and has hosted it in Fanling for the past 4 years. Playing in the tournament has enabled Hong Kong's talented young amateurs to earn world ranking points to help them to qualify for international events including The Olympic Games.


#### **6. Community Outreach Charitable Works**

- Over 200 non-member events, including many fund-raising charity golf days, are held every year at the Fanling golf courses. More than HK\$20 million is raised annually for a variety of charities, such as the Community Chest, the Tung Wah Group of Hospitals, the Yan Chai Hospital, and others.
- The Hong Kong Golf Club's in-house annual flagship charity event, the 'Cup of Kindness', was launched 30 years ago. The event raises funds every year for community-based charities in North District, including the North District Hospital.

I hope that you will consider the above points carefully and will agree that the sites of the Hong Kong Golf Club are valuable assets to Hong Kong and its lands should not be considered for alternative uses.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of MOK Wai Lun Alan.

MOK Wai Lun Alan

22 June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue  
Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Secretariat,

Pursuant to your public consultation on land supply options, I cannot agree with your proposal to develop, whether partially or fully, the Fanling Golf course.

Hong Kong Golf Club has been a key supporter of the Hong Kong Golf Association (HKGA) in the grooming of young talent by providing HKGA free access to host its squad team training and amateur tournaments. In May 2018, the Hong Kong Golf Club sponsored the HKGA's inaugural Inter-Secondary Schools Golf Tournament by providing the Old Course as the tournament venue and offering free practice rounds and other support for participating school teams. Hong Kong Golf Club founded the Hong Kong Ladies Open and has hosted it in Fanling for the past 4 years. Playing in the tournament has enabled Hong Kong's talented young amateurs to earn world ranking points to help them to qualify for international events including The Olympic Games.

We need this facility to groom young talented golfers in Hong Kong. We shall preserve the Fanling Golf Club.

Sincerely,

  
Vikki Ong

CC





Mrs. Hui Chan Siu Ying

June 24, 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Office  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong,

Dear Sir/ Madam:

**Re: Site of the Hong Kong Golf Club at Fanling**

---

I write to express my objection to take back the land of the golf courses at Fanling, whether partial or in full, as a mean for obtaining land.

I hereby declared that I am a retired member of the Club. I am over eighty years old and I am unable to play golf.

My objection is that the Club has been a historical heritage in Hong Kong (over 100 years old and one of the oldest clubs in the world). It has provided golfers, whether members and non-members alike, to play golf at one of the most prestige golf courses in Asia. It has done many charitable works and promotes the game to the public. It also provides employment to many.

There are those who argue that the land of the golf courses should be used to build public housings. I am not a surveyor and I am sure there are diverse professional opinions on this matter. However, the amount of land yields from taking the golf courses away is a drop in the bucket when it comes to the larger picture of providing public housing. There are many alternatives such as reclamation of land-by-land fill, rezoning industrial zone and some of the green belt zones to provide land for housings. Furthermore, developing the golf courses for housing would inevitably bring damages to the many old trees and wide life habitats at the golf courses.

I am in my late eighties now and have witnessed the changes in Hong Kong for decades. Hong Kong has changed from a fishing village, to a small town, then eventually to a world-class city. It would indeed be tragic to destroy the golf club when there are other good options to provide land for the public. Please do not make this grave mistake, as it would greatly damage Hong Kong's reputation as an international city.

I hope common sense would prevail and do not let "publicist politics" confuses the real issue.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Hui, Chan Siu Ying



**Ref No:**

土地供應專責小組  
公眾參與階段所收集的電話來電意見紀錄

1. 意見接收時間：2018 年 6 月 27 日 下午 16 時 00 分 (來電)
2. 姓名：                    先生/小姐 (代表團體(如適用)：                    )
3. 聯絡方式(選填)  
    電話：  
    電郵：  
    其他：
4. 意見：
  - a) 昨天政府說會考慮把建私人樓宇的土地改為建公屋。來電者說他極力反對。政府要有威信，說好 10 年建 19 萬私樓，5 年建 5 萬，要守承諾。
  - b) 應該用部份郊野公園土地及填海土地起公屋。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leave the Royal Hong Kong Yacht Club out of these political matters .**

27/06/2018 17:49

From:

To:

"tfls@devb.gov.hk" <tfls@devb.gov.hk>

Dear Land Supply Task Force,

It is unfair that the Government is grouping all Private Recreational Leases in the same category and manner in which the Land Task force is reviewing potential alternative land options held by sporting clubs. There is no Government infrastructure to deal with these water sports or their development and putting this pressure on these nonprofit community sports clubs is wrong.

There are a number of things the government could do to effectively help the situation other than going after these sports clubs such as ;

- Review village land allocation use and effectiveness.
- Review current public housing policy and actual usage.
- Check if people who are allocated them are renting them out for cash.
- Study & apply the Singapore Public housing model and home ownership scheme.
- Have restrictions on property ownership. One property per family. Not one in the wife's name, one in the husband name and investment flats.

RHKYC puts all its revenues and resources into sports development, from facilities and equipment to managing races and providing training courses. Such a proposal would impose a considerable financial burden on all private sports clubs, including the RHKYC. Land Premium should not apply to RHKYC.

The task force on land questionnaire is misleading and poorly presented and sports clubs like the RHKYC should not be included.

As a RHKYC member and water sports lover, I see how important the Club is to the development of watersports in Hong Kong, including sailing, rowing, paddling and dragon boating. Over the last 170 years, the Club has been instrumental in promoting these sports to the local community, nurturing future talent, and organising and hosting local and international racing events throughout the year. All of these contributions to Hong Kong are facilitated by the fact that the RHKYC has a PRL.

Please reconsider your position on RHKYC with respect to potential land use from residential and land premium.

Best Regards



來信人機構要求以不具名方式公開  
**The sender requested anonymity**

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fw: 對現今樓價之絕望憤慨**

27/06/2018 17:16

From:

To:

<devbenq@devb.gov.hk>

Date:


26/06/2018 14:06


Subject:

RE: 對現今樓價之絕望憤慨

偉大的局長,

多謝你們努力經營, CCL 指數終於繼續破頂, 樓市長升長有, 你們的成功政策令全民狂熱恐慌追價, 造成無盡剛性需求, 果真功德無量!!





編者註：來函附互聯網、報章或其他未獲授權刊登的資料，因版權關係，不在此刊載。

**Editor's Note: The content in the submission which is copied from the internet, newspapers or other unauthorised sources has not been reproduced for copyright reason.**

**PRIVATE RECREATION LEASES - RHKYC**

With regard to the possibility of taking back the land occupied by private recreation clubs, I believe this is a bad direction in which to go.

As an example the Royal HK Yacht Club promotes the development of water sports as sailing, rowing and paddling which are ideal sports for Hong Kong.

The RHKYC provides training programmes for all abilities and ages for both members and non-members, which include school children. Over 70% of attendees in recent RHKYC training courses (250 courses in number) were non-members and some 40% of crews sailing in regular Yacht Club races are non-members.

The RHKYC has always worked closely with HK's National Sporting Authorities namely HK Sailing Federation, HK China Rowing Association, HK Dragon Boating Association supporting them in the development of water sports and nurturing young sailors and rowers in Hong Kong.

The RHKYC uses its own resources, drawn from membership fees and any operating surplus, to invest into water sports. This includes the juniors' Sharks Racing Squad allowing them to participate overseas in international-level races including recently the 2018 Laser 4.7 World Championships, the 2017 29er World Championships, the 2018 ASAF Youth Cup and the 2017 Feva World Championships.

The RHKYC hosted the 2018 29er World Championships, the 2017 Asian Optimist Championships. The Around The Island rowing and paddling section attracted 52 teams involving 385 competitors from 8 countries. The HK Race Week in 2018 attracted 221 entries from 10 cities and nations

HK has won the successful bid to host the 2018 Asian Rowing Coastal Championship and the 2019 World Rowing Coastal Championships. The RHKYC will be the venue, delivery and legacy partner for these events.

Three RHKYC members are confirmed to represent HK in the 2018 Asian Games sailing event.

Please do not spoil the chance of young Hong Kongers getting involved in sports whether sailing, rowing, golf, tennis, cricket, rugby, football etc - and this extends to keeping public sporting facilities such as Victoria Park and the town's numerous public swimming pools.

Gareth Williams

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## 居屋售價

27/06/2018 09:01

From:

To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

若然與市值脫鉤，往後就不應可以自由買賣，而應以特定價格售回政府以便再分配，否則又成為白表居屋炒家天堂，而特定價格不應以有賺冇蝕（像早期居屋的保證回購）為原則，已居住年期，通脹，買入價格都應是計算因子。

sit

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**SHIRIN LAI** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 23:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 生活愉快！

SHIRIN LAI 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tang Wan Ting 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 23:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Tang Wan Ting 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Nina Chu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 23:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Nina Chu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Ching Nam 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 23:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 敬祝安好！

Wong Ching Nam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Alison Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 23:08

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Alison Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Au Yeung Pui Ki 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 23:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Au Yeung Pui Ki 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Winnie 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 22:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Winnie 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Terence Ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 22:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Terence Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Florence Kit** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 22:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Florence Kit 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mon 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 21:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。 敬

祝安好！

Mon 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Claudia** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 20:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Claudia 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## LUK 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 20:14

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

LUK 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**CHIU YAT MING** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 20:10

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 生活愉快！

CHIU YAT MING 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Swing Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 19:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 敬祝  
安好！

Swing Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Winnie 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 19:48

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處 理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 國泰民安！

Winnie 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kevin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 19:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Kevin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Choi kaki** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 19:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Choi kaki 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mimi Poon** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 19:36

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Mimi Poon 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ng Yuk Yu 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 19:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Ng Yuk Yu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mo 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 19:28

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Mo 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fung Man Ching 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 19:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 國泰民安！

Fung Man Ching 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lam Wing Wai** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 19:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

Lam Wing Wai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tommy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:56

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Tommy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**yvonne leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

yvonne leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chris Fung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Chris Fung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Bobo 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:52

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Bobo 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



wong hoiyan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:38

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

謝謝！

wong hoiyan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Athonia 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:34

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Athonia 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Angela Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:24

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Angela Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ng Chung pang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Ng Chung pang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kk tang** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:18

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Kk tang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**daniel** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

謝謝！

daniel 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Man Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:08

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

謝謝！

Man Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## March 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:06

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

March 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**samantha** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:04

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。 萬分

感謝！

samantha 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Carol Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 18:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Carol Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Manda 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

感謝！

Manda 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cys 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 18:00

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Cys 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Dama 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:48

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

Dama 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lam Suet Tung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

謝謝！

Lam Suet Tung謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Daisylin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:37

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Daisylin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lam wai shing** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 17:20

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 萬

分感謝！

Lam wai shing 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Szeto Kwan Chi 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:10

From:  To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不  
應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因 為  
我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Szeto Kwan Chi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Vinny Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 17:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

Vinny Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**NG HIUTAN 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:04

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 生活愉快！

NG HIU TAN 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Wai Yan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 17:04

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Wong Wai Yan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**SWING WONG** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 17:02

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

SWING WONG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ling Sub** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Ling Sub 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**s k kwok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

s k kwok 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**W Kammi** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

W Kammi 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Herman Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:54

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 生活愉快！

Herman Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**LIU SUK ON 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 16:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

LIU SUK ON 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



To 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

To 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lai ming yan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:52

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Lai ming yan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Steve Sau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Steve Sau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sylvia** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:50

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 謝

謝！

Sylvia 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jtao 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 16:50

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

J tao 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Zoe 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 16:38

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

zoe 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ip Tsz Wai** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

Ip Tsz Wai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**panda** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

panda 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chau Kit Fong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:14

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

謝謝！

Chau Kit Fong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kenny 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 16:06

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Kenny 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lee pui man** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:04

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Lee pui man 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**eunice fan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 16:00

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

eunice fan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Chors i 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 15:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

感謝！

Wong Chor si 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



ng wai yin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 15:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 感謝！

ng wai yin 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**fred** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 15:30

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

fred 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chow wing yi 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 15:30

From:  To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 謝

謝！

Chow wing yi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**James** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 15:26

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

James 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wongyungchun 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 15:24

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

Wongyungchun 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chiu Hoi Ching 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 15:10

From:  To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

謝謝！

Chiu Hoi Ching 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**FUNG NGA PAN 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 15:08

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 敬祝

安好！

FUNG NGA PAN 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Helen Ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 14:22

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Helen Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ng Chi Ching** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 13:52

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 謝

謝！

Ng Chi Ching 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Eunice 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 13:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

Eunice 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**liu long ying** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 13:26

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

liu long ying 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



chan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 13:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

祝 安好！

chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**LAM PAK KEI 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 13:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 敬祝  
安好！

LAM PAK KEI 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Samuel Tsui** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 敬祝  
安好！

Samuel Tsui 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**lawcy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 12:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。 敬

祝安好！

lawcy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yeung Ka Hei 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 12:22

From:  To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

Yeung Ka Hei 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**so tsz kay** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:20

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 台安！

so tsz kay 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Pik Yu Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:18

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 萬分感謝！

Pik Yu Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Karen Li** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Karen Li 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**David chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:12

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

David chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Margaret Li** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 12:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 生活愉快！

Margaret Li 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rehinie 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 11:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝 安好！

Rehinie 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



周美玲對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 11:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不 應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因 為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

周美玲謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wincy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 10:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

感謝！

Wincy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Joyce Lee** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 10:40

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Joyce Lee 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**CHEUNG SAULUNG 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 10:20

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

CHEUNG SAU LUNG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cheng Kwai Fung**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 09:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 萬

分感謝！

Cheng Kwai Fung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Liu chun kong**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 09:31

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 台安！

Liu chun kong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lee Ka Tong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 09:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 敬

祝安好！

Lee Ka Tong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yan Fan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 08:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 生活愉快！

Yan Fan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**kwangickgee** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 08:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 敬祝  
安好！

kwangickgee 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Helen Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 08:38

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

Helen Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**minnie fung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 08:25

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

minnie fung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lynn Pi** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 08:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Lynn Pi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Au Wai Ho 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 08:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Au Wai Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**May Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 07:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

May Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Stephen Liam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 06:21

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 台安！

Stephen Liam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Law Fung Ying Yvonne**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 04:40

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Law Fung Ying Yvonne 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



Hui Chungyin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 04:07

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Hui Chungyin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kerrie Lau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 02:24

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

感謝！

Kerrie Lau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Louis Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 01:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

Louis Wong 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Angela Yuen** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 01:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Angela Yuen 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lung Suet Hin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 00:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 台安！

Lung Suet Hin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ida 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 00:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝 安好！

Ida 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tam Hoi Man** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 00:50

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。 萬分

感謝！

Tam Hoi Man 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lai chi on 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

27/06/2018 00:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Lai chi on 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Candy Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

27/06/2018 00:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

Candy Chan 謹啟

20 June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue  
Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Secretariat,

Following the recent public consultation exercise being carried out by the Task Force on Land Supply, I am writing to object to both the partial development option and the full development option of the Fanling Golf Club.

The Fanling Golf Course is an amazing facility. It is open to public on weekdays and more than 40% of the rounds of golf are played by non-members in 2017. Public can also gain access to watch the Hong Kong Open and the Hong Kong Ladies Open Golf tournament in person. Hong Kong need more, not less, sports facilities for our next generation. The need for land supply is real but development of the Fanling Golf Course should not be an option at all.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,



Philip Ong

CC



馬漢寧 太平紳士  
Albert H. N. Ma J.P.

26<sup>th</sup> June, 2018

Mr. Stanley Wong  
Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong  
Email: tfls@devb.gov.hk

Dear Mr. Wong,

**Re : Preservation the entire Fanling site of The Hong Kong Golf Club**

Having noticed the ongoing consultations on Private Recreational Lease clubs and land use in Hong Kong, I am taking this opportunity to express my ideas and views in this regard.

The Hong Kong Golf Club was formed in 1889, which is an important part of our heritage. With a long history of the Club, it becomes an iconic for the international tournaments and also devoted the advancement of the game in Hong Kong. Moreover, the club provides an ecological environment to a huge diversity of species a better place to live. Owing to the historical and environmental concerns as mentioned above, the entire Fanling site should be preserved. I strongly believe that Hong Kong needs more, rather than less, land for sports and golf development in a long run.

I sincerely hope that our Government will consider retaining the site to keep making valuable contribution in future.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Yours sincerely,

Albert H. N. Ma  
Member of The Hong Kong Golf Club

電子郵件:

E-mail:



Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong

25 June, 2018.

Dear Sir,

I write to object the idea of partial or full reclamation of the Fanling courses. I represent "United Chinese Golf Association Ltd" (UCGA) which was established and registered in Hong Kong in 1998. Ninety-nine percent of UCGA members are NOT members of HKGC, however, as Hong Kong residents, we use the "Public Access" to enjoy the facilities at Fanling from Monday to Friday throughout the year, UCGA has held multiple golf days at Fanling every year.

It is sad to say but Hong Kong Government has already demolished many driving ranges, golfers are lacking of practice facilities. In 2017 over 120,000 rounds of golf were played at Fanling, where they go if Fanling were gone. Kau Sai Chau public course is almost saturated and other golf courses are private and small in size, it is no way to accommodate that numbers. Sport of Golf in Hong Kong will be sentenced to "death punishment" if Fanling were gone.

HK Government has encouraged people of Hong Kong to pick up certain kind of sports, so all kinds of sports should be equaled and not be discriminated, unfortunately, some wicked politicians have put housing problem and golf at opposite ends. You should not be fooled by these politicians.

It is utterly wrong to reclaim Fanling for housing purpose, you are destroying History and Heritage, Ecological and Environmental, Sport of Golf, HK Iconic International City. It is irreversible, regrettable for many generations to come.

Finally, it is also against "basic laws" which states people of HK can enjoy the same lifestyle before and after 1997, the HK Government should not, could not hamper sport of golf development in HK, by doing so, JR is inevitable.

Yours truly,

  
Kuwalkii To (Chairman of UCGA)

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue,  
Tamar  
Hong Kong

18<sup>th</sup> June 2018

Dear Sirs

**Written Submission to the Task Force**

My name is David Westwood [ ] and I have lived in Hong Kong for nearly 45 years, working as a civil engineer on many of the our large infrastructure developments that have taken place during this period, including the new towns. I am a Fellow of the HKIE and a Member of The Hong Kong Golf Club, and as such have been watching the uninformed polarization of the land use debate with significant concern. This has been compounded by the selectivity of the Questionnaire which appears to be structured to generate a pre-selected result.

Hong Kong is not a democracy, and asking the public, most of whom have absolutely no experience in this field, to select Government Land Use Policy on the basis of an incomplete and biased questionnaire, whilst ignoring the Planning Bureau's professionally prepared Land Use Report to 2030, is in my opinion mischievous. This is something I say reluctantly, as I have worked with high quality Government Department teams for most of my career, including time as a Member of the Waste Reduction Task Force, and this is the first time I have ever seen such self-serving manipulation and the discounting of internal professional expertise.

Hong Kong has, for the whole of the time I have lived here, been touting itself as a World City, and it has been. The Governments over the years have built public housing and developed the New Towns to provide accommodation for our population expansion, largely refugee, and for the removal of the squatter areas, and this has been hugely successful. However from around 2000 the expansion of new housing has been restrained, with no significant planned programme being implemented. With the migration from the Peoples Republic of China running at some 150+ per day, or 1 million since the handover, a problem has been compounded. An immediate solution to reduce the predicted population growth would be to suspend the PRC migration, limiting new arrivals to those needed to support our industries, giving a breathing space for say the next 10 years.

During this same period I have observed that the agricultural land in the New Territories has been largely given over to container parks and construction storage. I suppose that these are a least part of the "brown field" sites identified in the questionnaire. If they are, their extent would appear to be grossly underestimated.

A further factor that has been ignored has been the extent of the PLA holdings. These are extensive throughout the New Territories and Kowloon and are very much underutilized, and



in a number of cases unused. It would seem a very easy solution, and a win for the Chinese Government, to maintain a helicopter base only at Shek Kong, and transfer the remainder of the base, its runway and its associated accommodation areas back to Hong Kong. Without fixed wing flying the current height restrictions could be removed, and the whole valley from Yuen Long developed as a new town. A lot of the infrastructure is already in place so it would be relatively easy to develop.

A further consideration should be the redevelopment of the Governments' existing land holdings where a large number of schools, offices and vacant sites, numbering I understand more than 1000, lie idle. These are all serviced and again would be easy to redevelop without any planning issues.

With these options and the Planning Bureau's 2030 Report, I cannot understand why the Task Force needs to exist.

The Government is supposed to be preserving Hong Kong's heritage. The Hong Kong Golf Club is the second oldest in the whole of Asia, why would anyone want to destroy that heritage? There are ancient ancestral graves throughout the courses, which should be respected with proper reverence. Why would anyone want to desecrate them?. There are protected trees and shrubbery throughout the courses, providing sanctuary to birds, mammals and insects that are under threat wherever one looks, so why destroy them in lieu of the alternative sterile areas in Shek Kong and Kowloon, where all protecting habitat has already been lost.

It is worth noting that more than 40% of the users of the facilities at Fanling are non-members, who have full access to the Golf Club during the week. It is the venue of the Hong Kong Golf Open, Hong Kong's oldest sporting event, and in 2017 there were nearly 50,000 spectators watching some of the best professional golfers in the world. In addition the villagers around the courses have access to play each day from mid-afternoon.

The Golf Club has supported the development of Tiffany Chan, who represented Hong Kong in the last Olympic Games, and is currently heavily involved in the training of the next generation of Hong Kong golfers, and will continue to do so. Is this facility to be lost?


The Golf Club has its own and guest fund raising activities throughout the year and supports the Community Chest and a number of North District charities, including the hospital, to the tune of HK\$20+ million annually, and again this will be ongoing.

There are many better options for immediate housing development and for creating a land bank for future housing, they just need to be identified and considered properly by the appropriately experienced and skilled professionals, without political bias which should not be a factor in such a debate. The need for land can absolutely be satisfied without the need to touch any of Hong Kong's recreational facilities.

Yours faithfully

A large black rectangular box redacting the signature of David D C Westwood.

David D C Westwood



Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply,  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices,  
2, Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar.  
**HONG KONG**

Hong Kong, June 25, 2018.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Land Supply Survey 2018.

I have submitted my completed questionnaire through the Internet and Folio Number 060208 of the Survey has been mailed to The Social Sciences Research Centre.

With due respect to all professionals involved in the preparation of this public consultation, I wish to point out that, in my opinion, the design and the presentation of this Survey is skewed, incomplete and mis-leading. This observation applies specifically to the first section titled "Short-to-medium-term Options". Please do allow me to list some of its shortcomings, with several justifications supporting my statement, as follows:

- 1) The selection of "Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases" as well as "Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities" with both options including many sports such as Football, Tennis, Cricket etc. and Golf in particular should not have been submitted as targets of "Short-to-medium Options" for increasing land supply ! Are you honestly expecting the Hong Kong public at large to be deprived of such private and public recreational facilities and Clubs, especially since there are many alternative land areas readily available for development in the short-to-medium term ?

Your given proposition is unjustified and absolutely unfair to all citizens !

To me, it appears this Survey is taking an easy path by trying to deny some of the most important recreational areas and sports facilities, including the historic Hong Kong Golf Club, to the people of Hong Kong !

Moreover, I am convinced that there are more than the other 16 Land Supply Options listed in the Survey. Why have these additional options been omitted in this Survey ? It is mis-leading !

In fact, I believe there is no shortage of land available for housing in Hong Kong.

This is evident, simply because several alternative, genuine land options as well as other opportunities do exist since vast areas of Hong Kong could be (and should be) made available for housing through better urban planning and improved Government regulations, such as:



- The land reclamation at several sites in Tolo Harbour, Lantau, Tai Tam Wan, Cheung Chau, Lamma Island, etc. Huge areas would thus become available in the short/medium term !
- The reclamation of all the ugly junkyards and other depots sites in The New Territories.
- The release of some of the unused facilities of the PLA, especially the old army barracks along Waterloo Road in Kowloon Tong. What a waste and a shame this unoccupied, yet very valuable site has remained during more than 20 years because of sheer neglect !
- The unused lands near the border/San Chung river between Sha Tau Kok and Man Kam To.
- Of course, there exists other locations suitable for housing which are unknown to me!

I strongly believe that the above-listed extensive land expansion opportunities are real, and very attractive. Moreover, most of these opportunities are within the "Short-to-Medium Term Options", which is an additional advantage.

- 2) Aside from increasing all the land supply areas, we also have additional, feasible opportunities to reduce/minimize the extent of the housing shortages in Hong Kong, such as:

- f) Scrapping the 3-Storey village houses Government policy in The New Territories in order to permit better, more rational utilization of countryside lands with the building of high-rise Housing Blocks instead of congested sprawling village communities.
  - g) Allowing the building of high-rise Housing Blocks above numerous MTR stations is a great and immediate opportunity which should be very easy to implement indeed !
  - h) The lifting of Buildings height restrictions in areas such as Kowloon Tong, Kai Tak, Kowloon North, etc. would also provide additional housing facilities.
  - i) Last but not least, the monitoring and control of immigration by opportunistic speculators seeking quick profits in Hong Kong's overheated real estate market is necessary !
- The immediate action on this issue would diminish significantly the local demand for housing. (I estimate such reduction at 10'000 flats/year). It is yet another easy way towards overcoming Hong Kong's housing shortages where action should be taken soonest !

In conclusion, I hope that my observations and constructive suggestions submitted herewith will be of assistance to The Task Force on Land Supply and to the Hong Kong Government. However, I feel obliged to emphasize that I have serious doubts about the usefulness of this public survey, as currently presented to the public, since the validity of its outcome is obviously jeopardized !

Yours Faithfully,

Andre Gerber

c.c Social Sciences Research Centre  
The University of Hong Kong  
Room 815, 8/F The Jockey Club Tower  
Centennial campus,  
Pokfulham, Hong Kong



4281

## Public Engagement of the Task Force on Land Supply Land for Hong Kong: Our Home, Our Say!

### Main questions

(Please fill in the circle completely with a ball pen or pencil)

Please select the land supply option(s) that is/are acceptable to you.<sup>1</sup>

The Task Force encourages citizens to choose more options to meet the minimum target of 1,200 ha or more of additional land so as to solve the problem of land shortage and build land reserve.

#### Short-to-medium term Options (multiple selection allowed)

(with potential to provide additional land in around 10 years' time; these 4 short-to-medium term options also have the potential to provide more lands in the medium-to-long term)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Brownfield Sites</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 110 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Tapping into Private Agricultural Land Reserve in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 150 ha |
| <input type="radio"/> <b>Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 60 ha | <input type="radio"/> <b>Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 3 ha              |

(1) Total additional land area for your selected short-to-medium options: 260 ha\*

\*The minimum shortfall in the short-to-medium term is over 800 ha. Since land production takes time, even if all short-to-medium term options are in place, the land shortage in the short-to-medium term cannot be completely solved.

#### Medium-to-long term Options (multiple selection allowed)

(with potential to provide additional land in around 10 to 30 years' time)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Brownfield Sites</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 220 ha                                 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Tapping into Private Agricultural Land Reserve in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 300 ha |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 120 ha | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 14 ha  |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Near-shore Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 450 ha             | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the East Lantau Metropolis</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 1,000 ha                               |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Caverns and Underground Space</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 40 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>More New Development Areas in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 720 ha                     |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the River Trade Terminal Site</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 65 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Two Pilot Areas on the Periphery of Country Parks</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 40 ha           |

(2) Total additional land area for your selected medium-to-long term options: 1969 ha

Total additional land area for all your options: (1)+(2)=2229 ha\*

\*If the total additional land area is less than 1,200 ha, please consider choosing more land supply options.

#### Conceptual Options

(unable to confirm when and how much additional land can be provided for the time being)

Please select the following conceptual option(s) that is/are acceptable to you. (Multiple selection allowed)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the River Trade Terminal Site and its Surroundings in the Long Term</b> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing More Areas on the Periphery of Country Parks</b>           |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Increasing Development Intensity of "Village Type Development" Zones</b>           | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Topside Development of Existing Transport Infrastructure</b>          |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Utilising the Development Potential of Public Utilities Sites</b>                  | <input type="radio"/> <b>Relocation of Kwai Tsing Container Terminals</b>                                 |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Topside Development of Kwai Tsing Container Terminals</b>                          | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Reclaiming Part of Plover Cove Reservoir for New Town Development</b> |

<sup>1</sup> The figure underneath each option is the Task Force's estimation of the potential additional land yield (i.e. not included in the expected 3,600 ha of land supply in the "HK2030+" study) of the respective option, some of which involves rough estimation and assumptions. For details, please refer to Annex.



**Other questions**

1. Do you have any other opinion in regard to the 18 land supply options identified by the Task Force?

*Surely, there must be more than the 18 land supply identified by The Task Force.*

2. Apart from the 18 land supply options identified by the Task Force, do you have other suggestions to increase land supply?

*1) Developing unused land at Shenzhen river border areas.  
2) " Peronne Barracks (off Waterloo Rd) with PLA.  
3) " South Lantau Island + Tolo harbour*

3. Please share your suggestions or comments on the arrangement of this public engagement exercise.

*I have serious doubts regarding the usefulness and the validity of the outcome of this survey, simply because increasing land supply does not address/solve key issues of housing affordability,*

4. Do you have any other land supply-related opinion? *excess immigration etc. etc.*

*- Building height restrictions could be lifted in many areas i.e. Kowloon Tong + Kai Tak + Kowloon North etc. for all new housing re-developments.*

**Demographics****Age group:**

Under 18

18-30

31-59

60 or above

**Housing status:**

You own the property you live in

You are the tenant (Private residential flat)

You are the tenant (Public housing flat)

Your household member owns the property you live in

Your household member is the tenant (Private residential flat)

Your household member is the tenant (Public housing flat)

Others (please specify):

**Residential District:**

Central &amp; Western

Eastern

Southern

Wan Chai

Kowloon City

Kwun Tong

Sham Shui Po

Wong Tai Sin

Yau Tsim Mong

Islands

Kwai Tsing

North

Sai Kung

Sha Tin

Tai Po

Tsuen Wan

Tuen Mun

Yuen Long

Others (please specify):

Please submit this questionnaire on or before 26th September 2018 by post (or use the web based tool instead<sup>2</sup>)

**Post:**

Social Sciences Research Centre  
The University of Hong Kong  
Room 815, 8/F., The Jockey Club Tower  
Centennial Campus,  
Pokfulam, Hong Kong

**Enquiry**

The Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply

**Tel:**


3509 7737

**Email:**

tfls@devb.gov.hk

**Thank you!**

<sup>2</sup> The web-based tool will be launched in June 2018. Please check [www.landforhongkong.hk](http://www.landforhongkong.hk) for details.



Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply,  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices,  
2, Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar.

HONG KONG

Hong Kong, June 23, 2018.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Land Supply Survey 2018.

I have submitted my completed questionnaire through the Internet in fulfilment of my duty.

However, I wish to point out that, in my opinion, the design and the presentation of this Survey is skewed, incomplete and misleading, to say the least. Please do allow me to list some of its shortcomings, with the justifications supporting my statement, as follows:

- 1) The selection of "Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases" and "Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities" should never have been given as targets of "short-to-medium options for increasing land supply. (not even for "Long Term" !)  
Why should the Hong Kong public at large be denied and deprived of such recreational facilities, especially when there are so many alternative land areas readily available for development ?

This is totally unfair and unjust to all citizens !

Furthermore, the 63 ha. said to be provided by these Recreational Facilities are really negligible and meaningless anyway in comparison with Hong Kong's total land requirements for housing !

- 2) I am convinced that in reality, there is no shortage of land for building housing in Hong Kong. Other genuine land opportunities do exist in addition to the remaining 16 options listed in the Survey.

Surely, vast areas of Hong Kong's land could be (and should be) made available through better planning and improved Government regulations, such as:

- a) The land reclamation on sea at several sites in Tolo Harbour, Lantau, Tai Tam Wan, Cheung Chau, Lamma Islands, etc. Huge areas would thus become available almost immediately !
- b) The reclamation of the many ugly junkyards and depot sites in The New Territories.



- c) Some of the unused land and other facilities of the PLA, especially the one along Waterloo Road in Kowloon Tong. What a waste this unoccupied, yet very valuable site has remained during the last 20 years plus !
- d) The unused lands along the San Chung river between Sha Tau Kok and Man Kam To.
- e) Of course, there may yet be other suitable areas unfamiliar to me!

I strongly believe that the above-listed huge land expansion opportunities are real, and I suspect there may be many others in Hong Kong. Moreover, all these opportunities are available as "Short-to-Medium Term Options", if quick and constructive decisions were taken !

- 3) Apart from increasing all the land supply areas, additional opportunities are available to reduce and to help alleviate the housing shortages in Hong Kong, such as:

- f) Scrapping the 3-Storey village houses policy in The New Territories in order to permit better, more rational utilization of countryside land with the building of high-rise Housing Blocks instead.
- g) Allowing the building of high-rise Housing Blocks on top of our numerous MTR stations is a great immediate opportunity ! This should be very easy to do, really !
- h) The lifting of Building heights restrictions in numerous areas such as Kowloon Tong, Kai Tak, Kowloon North, etc. would also provide additional housing facilities.
- i) The monitoring and control of immigration by opportunistic speculators seeking quick profits in the Hong Kong overheated real estate market ! This immediate action would diminish significantly (my estimate is 20'000 flats per year) the local demand for housing. This is another easy first step to be taken !

In conclusion, I hope that my observations and constructive suggestions submitted herewith will be of assistance to The Task Force on Land Supply.

However, I must emphasize that I have serious doubts about the usefulness of this public survey, as currently presented to the public, since obviously, the validity of its results and of its outcome will be jeopardized !

Yours Faithfully,



Annabelle Hsiu Ling Gerber

c.c Social Sciences Research Centre  
The University of Hong Kong  
Room 815, 8/F The Jockey Club Tower  
Centennial campus,  
Pokfulham, Hong Kong

copy

Questionnaire number: 062059



4281

## Public Engagement of the Task Force on Land Supply Land for Hong Kong: Our Home, Our Say!

### Main questions

(Please fill in the circle completely with a ball pen or pencil)

**Please select the land supply option(s) that is/are acceptable to you.<sup>1</sup>**

The Task Force encourages citizens to choose more options to meet the minimum target of 1,200 ha or more of additional land so as to solve the problem of land shortage and build land reserve.

### Short-to-medium term Options (multiple selection allowed)

*(with potential to provide additional land in around 10 years' time; these 4 short-to-medium term options also have the potential to provide more lands in the medium-to-long term)*

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Brownfield Sites</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 110 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Tapping into Private Agricultural Land Reserve in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 150 ha |
| <input type="radio"/> <b>Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 60 ha | <input type="radio"/> <b>Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 3 ha              |

**(1) Total additional land area for your selected short-to-medium options: \_\_\_\_\_ ha\***

*\*The minimum shortfall in the short-to-medium term is over 800 ha. Since land production takes time, even if all short-to-medium term options are in place, the land shortage in the short-to-medium term cannot be completely solved.*

### Medium-to-long term Options (multiple selection allowed)

*(with potential to provide additional land in around 10 to 30 years' time)*

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Brownfield Sites</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 220 ha                                 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Tapping into Private Agricultural Land Reserve in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 300 ha |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Alternative Uses of Sites under Private Recreational Leases</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 120 ha | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Relocation or Consolidation of Land-Extensive Recreational Facilities</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 14 ha  |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Near-shore Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 450 ha             | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the East Lantau Metropolis</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 1,000 ha                               |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Caverns and Underground Space</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 40 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>More New Development Areas in the New Territories</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 720 ha                     |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the River Trade Terminal Site</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 65 ha                     | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing Two Pilot Areas on the Periphery of Country Parks</b><br>Estimated additional land area: 40 ha           |

**(2) Total additional land area for your selected medium-to-long term options: \_\_\_\_\_ ha**

**Total additional land area for all your options: (1)+(2)= \_\_\_\_\_ ha\***

**\*If the total additional land area is less than 1,200 ha, please consider choosing more land supply options.**

### Conceptual Options

*(unable to confirm when and how much additional land can be provided for the time being)*

**Please select the following conceptual option(s) that is/are acceptable to you. (Multiple selection allowed)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing the River Trade Terminal Site and its Surroundings in the Long Term</b> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Developing More Areas on the Periphery of Country Parks</b>           |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Increasing Development Intensity of "Village Type Development" Zones</b>           | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Topside Development of Existing Transport Infrastructure</b>          |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Utilising the Development Potential of Public Utilities Sites</b>                  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Relocation of Kwai Tsing Container Terminals</b>                      |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Topside Development of Kwai Tsing Container Terminals</b>                          | <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Reclaiming Part of Plover Cove Reservoir for New Town Development</b> |

<sup>1</sup> The figure underneath each option is the Task Force's estimation of the potential additional land yield (i.e. not included in the expected 3,600 ha of land supply in the "HK2030+" study) of the respective option, some of which involves rough estimation and assumptions. For details, please refer to Annex.





4281

**Other questions**

1. Do you have any other opinion in regard to the 18 land supply options identified by the Task Force?

THE OPTIONS TO USE SITES LEASED FOR PRIVATE AND PUBLIC RECREATION, ESPECIALLY SPORTS SUCH AS GOLF, FOOTBALL ETC. SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN THE LONG TERM ONLY! AS PRESENTED, IT MISLEADS THE PUBLIC!

2. Apart from the 18 land supply options identified by the Task Force, do you have other suggestions to increase land supply?

- BUILD APARTMENT BLOCKS ABOVE MTR STATIONS  
- DEVELOP LAND NEAR THE BORDER AND IN THE N.T.  
- INCREASE RECLAMATION SITES ON SEA! (MANY!)

3. Please share your suggestions or comments on the arrangement of this public engagement exercise.

THE SURVEY AS PRESENTED, IS INCOMPLETE AND MISLEADING THE PUBLIC! THE VALIDITY OF ITS OUTCOME IS VERY DOUBTFUL!

4. Do you have any other land supply-related opinion?

HOUSING SHORTAGES COULD BE MINIMIZED BY CONTROLLING IMMIGRATION BY SPECULATORS AND GREEDY DEVELOPERS.

**Demographics****Age group:**

Under 18

18-30

31-59

60 or above

**Housing status:**

You own the property you live in

You are the tenant (Private residential flat)

You are the tenant (Public housing flat)

Your household member owns the property you live in

Your household member is the tenant (Private residential flat)

Your household member is the tenant (Public housing flat)

Others (please specify):

**Residential District:**

Central &amp; Western

Eastern

Southern

Wan Chai

Kowloon City

Kwun Tong

Sham Shui Po

Wong Tai Sin

Yau Tsim Mong

Islands

Kwai Tsing

North

Sai Kung

Sha Tin

Tai Po

Tsuen Wan

Tuen Mun

Yuen Long

Others (please specify):

Please submit this questionnaire on or before 26th September 2018 by post (or use the web based tool instead<sup>2</sup>)

**Post:** Social Sciences Research Centre  
The University of Hong Kong  
Room 815, 8/F., The Jockey Club Tower  
Centennial Campus,  
Pokfulam, Hong Kong

**Enquiry:** The Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply

**Tel:** 3509 7737

**Email:** tfls@devb.gov.hk

**Thank you!**

<sup>2</sup> The web-based tool will be launched in June 2018. Please check [www.landforhongkong.hk](http://www.landforhongkong.hk) for details.

Dr. Edward Chi

22<sup>nd</sup> June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Office  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong,

Dear Sir/ Madam:

---

**Re: Site of the Hong Kong Golf Club at Fanling**

---

I write to voice my opinion and objection to the reclamation of the golf courses at the HK Golf Club at Fanling.

The rationale for reclaiming the golf courses is based on misconception and populist politics. First of all, we are led to believe that there is a shortage of land supply in Hong Kong. This is not the real issue as the HK Government has land reserves and can always obtain more land-by-land fill and rezoning. The issue of HK housing problem is because of the property price being UNAFFORDABLE by the majority of the population. The **"high land price"** policy of the HK Government is the issue. **When lands were sold to the developers, they were already sold at a premium price that is already out of reach for the general public.** Developers are business people and after adding on the costs of construction, bank interests and their profit margin (which is substantial), how can the flats be affordable? The populist politicians would like to polarize the public that lands for the golf courses are for a minority of wealthy people to enjoy. By the way, the HK Golf Club is not a private member's club as it is opened to the public and any non-members can book the golf courses and enjoy a game of golf throughout the weekdays. Members paid substantial amount of joining fee and subscription fees to maintain the golf courses so everyone, members or non-members alike, can enjoy golf in one of the most prestige golf clubs in the world.

If the Task Force is serious about solving the housing problem in Hong Kong, they must tackle the root cause of the problem: the "high land price" policy. I also find it strange and disappointing that the HK Government needs to set up a public consultation exercise on the issue of the golf courses, as this is an issue that the Government should be able to make a rational decision on its own. By so doing, the Government seems to avoid its

responsibility and try to use popular opinion for decision making. In such case, I suggest the Task Force to have a more relevant consultation exercise; one on the "high land price" policy of the land sales instead.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours faithfully,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

---

Edward Y. K. Chi

Ref No:

土地供應專責小組  
公眾參與階段所收集的電話來電意見紀錄

1. 意見接收時間：2018 年 6 月 28 日 下午 12 時 45 分 (來電)

2. 姓名： [REDACTED] (代表團體(如適用)： )

3. 聯絡方式(選填)

電話：

電郵：

其他：

4. 意見：

高齡唐樓，佔地多，應重建。 所有香港市民也有得益

來電者要求以不具名方式公開  
The caller requested anonymity



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## In addition to increasing land supply

28/06/2018 19:11

From:

To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

Sent by:

Please respond to

Hi,

I was doing the survey by the Task Force on Land Supply.

It seems that any solution arising from the various options provided in the survey will take a very long time realise. Meanwhile, property prices continue to rise and become further from the reach of most ordinary folk in HK.

I believe this property problem must be tackled from multi-dimension.

One is what the Task Force on Land Supply is trying to do... securing more land for development of housing properties.

At the same time, I believe other legislative measures must be taken to stop or slow down the heating property market.

One way to protect those who really need affordable housing from those who are speculating on property is to prevent the speculators from purchasing housing property at the lower end. For example, **Companies and individuals WITHOUT Permanent HKID should be restricted from purchasing housing properties that are below HKD30 million.** Secondly, impose **double stamp duties for second and subsequent properties.**

With these two simple legislative measures, we can free up properties at the lower end for bona fide Hong Kong residents who really need housing.

One example is Malaysia... Foreigners (like Singaporeans and overseas investors from Mainland China) are not allowed to purchase housing properties that are valued below RM1,000,000. This rule can effective stabilize housing properties at the lower end for Malaysian citizens who have weaker economic power.

While this suggestion is outside your mandate, I hope you can find some way for it to reach the ears of the relevant people who are equally concerned with solving the housing property madness in HK.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Warm regards

Chan Beng Seng



## Pacific Basin

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Wing, Central Government Offices,  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar,  
Hong Kong.  
Email: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

Copy to: [REDACTED]

28<sup>th</sup> June, 2018

Dear Sir,

Re: Why the Entire Fanling Golf Club is important to Hong Kong

As a member and debenture owner of the Hong Kong Golf Club, we understood that the Task Force on Land Supply released a consultation paper for discussion on various options regarding the short, medium and long-term land supply in the city.

Pacific Basin Shipping is one of the world largest owners and operators of drybulk vessels, we are Headquartered and publicly listed on Hong Kong Stock Exchange. We employ about 200 people at our Hong Kong Headquarter and 3,400 people on our ships. We frequently take local and international customers and other stakeholders golfing at the Fanling golf course. This is of great value to our company.

While we all know well about the shortage of housing supply in Hong Kong, I would like to remind that there is also shortage of nice and world class quality of Golf fields in Hong Kong. In my opinion, there are still a lot of potential lands for building public estates and I do think the size of ground which currently being used for Hong Kong Golf Club is minimal if compare with other potential grounds.

In addition, Hong Kong, as an international metropolis, we do need to have our own beautiful and elegant golf course which is not just to entertain golf club members but an icon of modern international city. Retaining the Club, we can organise international golf tournaments by our own, this is no doubt that an international standard and high-quality golf course do give help to sustain the development of golf sport in Hong Kong.

Refer to the Club history, the "Clubhouse at Fanling" was constructed in 1911 and is a Grade II Heritage Building. The Fanling Lodge was built as a residence for the former Governors of Hong Kong in 1934 and is used to this day as a residence for the Chief Executive and that is a Grade I Heritage Building. Apart from housing issues, I do think the responsibility of keeping these historical and precious buildings is also important.





Pacific Basin

If Fanling Golf Club must have to give way to housing projects, so why don't we shut down all other major sports facilities e.g. Hong Kong Coliseum in Hung Hom, Wanchai & Tseung Kwan O Sports Grounds and the upcoming Kai Tak (a huge size of ground) Sports Park?

Lastly, I truly believe we should not let the cultural diversity of this city be at stake just because of the current housing crisis. There should be many other ways to develop lands for resolving housing crisis in Hong Kong.

Yours sincerely,



Pacific Basin Shipping Limited – CEO  
Mats Henrik Berglund  
Member no:

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Comment on Land Demand and Supply in HK

28/06/2018 17:47

From:

To:

<tfls@devb.gov.hk>

Dear Members of the Task Force on Land Supply,

I have submitted my opinions on the land supply consultation through the online platform (<http://landforhongkong.hk/>) but there is a word limit on the written comment. As such, I would like to express more regarding one of the proposed land supply option – Developing more areas on the periphery of Country Parks.

The option on developing the periphery of Country Parks should be rejected and removed from the land supply options. Clearly housing development will not only cause habitat loss and mortality to wild animals and plants currently protected under the Country Parks Ordinance, the ecological integrity of the ecosystem will also be affected. Regarding the ecological functions of the periphery of Country Parks, no matter the areas currently having high or relatively low ecological values, those areas can provide physical buffers to protect the core/interior areas of the Country Parks. The periphery areas can serve as wildlife / ecological corridors, which are vital for wild animals to find foods and mates, as well as facilitating species dispersal and exchange of genetic materials to help maintaining a healthy ecosystem and to reduce problems of small populations, genetic drifts, and other problems associated with small populations and habitat fragmentations. The ecological value of the periphery areas will also increase over time because of natural ecological succession and proper conservation management.

Moreover, According to the Basic Principles of Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO Guidance Note No. 1/2010) of the Environmental Protection Department, the EIA process is a proactive planning tool to avoid and pre-empt adverse environmental impacts from development (Principle One) and the aim of the EIA process is to avoid and prevent adverse environmental consequences of the proposed project (Principle Two), while it is important to facilitate any effort to enhance the environmental performance of the proposed development at the beginning of the project planning stage rather than at the later stage of the project development. It is often better to pre-empt adverse impacts at the early stage of the project implementation. Options and alternatives should be considered when adverse environmental impacts are expected (Principle Three). It is important to note that ALL the development approved under the EIAO (even all planning applications under the Town Planning Ordinance) will consider Country Parks as ecologically/environmentally sensitive areas. Therefore, the option to consider developing the two so-called pilot sites at the periphery of Country Parks is indeed destroying a well-established practice of environmental protection in Hong Kong as it may give a false and dangerous impression that Country Parks areas are not necessarily ecologically sensitive and important.

Thank you for your considerations

Dr. Alan S.L. Leung

---

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Re: Land Policy

28/06/2018 10:57

From:

To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

I applaud the move to change the use of several pieces of land from private property development to construction of public housing.

I also applaud the review of pricing for government subsidised housing and the changes of price pitching towards better affordability for the citizens of Hong Kong.

The clear determination and strong perseverance of Mrs Carrie Lam's cabinet and herself in bringing forward these long waited changes are now demonstrated. I wish the ExCo will endorse this great move today at its special meeting at lunch.

Our Land Policy Group should take these initiatives of public interests as cornerstones for reshaping the policies of land use in Hong Kong.

Raymond Wong

Sent from my iPhone

> On 15 May 2018, at 11:20 PM, Raymond Wong wrote:

>

> First of all, it is beyond doubt that we have had lost time and the right focus in addressing the "land shortage" issues in Hong Kong for some years.

>

> The Government and its administration should demonstrate courage and determination to resolve this acute problem within the next four years before the next election of the Chief Executive of HKSAR is approaching the end of its timeline.

>

> Public interests must override whatever hurdles and engagement of the public stakeholders should no longer be perceived as a delaying tactic or a cosmetic redress. Agreements of land lease for private clubs or recreational facilities are agreements and renewal can always be discontinued unilaterally by the administration as a matter of public interests, whilst legal disputes might arise in some situations.

>

> My humble opinion is that there are too many options being laid down, and social economic reasons are obviously the available supporting and strongest ground for the administration to prioritise proposals instead of a public engagement approach. It is already transparent enough upon the publication of the Report and enough voices have had been heard through informal channels and the public media.

> Frustrations will only be mounting before a quick response by the administration. Ageing population and inadequate community support for ageing in place are at our doorstep while the so called "subdivided flats" have been accepted as a new norm for living and a temporary solution for public housing shortages. Singapore has demonstrated its strategic planning of housing in a brilliant way and spent much less time in addressing the problem for the young and old citizens of a modern city. The Plover Cove Reservoir has had served its time and a simple option appraisal by an

> expert or professional person will largely convince the public that reclamation will be a quick fix solution for realising the hope of many people who do not feel that we care indeed.

>

> Private clubs are always private places and discrimination of non members who are allowed access will not disappear in a short time except under a disguise or in a subtle way. Dignity cannot be afforded nor would it be assured in a proper manner within the private precinct of the club premises due their own traditions. Empty factory buildings or vacant government premises should also be prioritised as the immediate targets for housing redevelopment as the support infrastructures in the vicinity of these sites or its neighbourhood environment are less difficult for upgrading or enhancements.

>

> Special problems deserve special solutions and I trust that our elites in both the administration and the political hierarchy would not need any cosmetic advice other than a genuine public engagement.

>

> Hong Kong's economy has had survived too long on private property development and land sales, and the bubble is feared to happen despite a lot of calming voices from the government or private sectors. Creation of additional public housing or assisted housing must be accelerated without an over cautious scrutiny of the matter as it will be perceived by the public as a delaying tactic.

>

> As a citizen, I agree that the public consultation and engagement process is vitally crucial but the administration should not be seen as taking a "wait and see" attitude. Hong Kong's harmony and long precipitated frustrations amongst the middle class (sandwiched sardines) and the under privileged would be alleviated by a concrete and integrated approach to solving the housing problems for the unaffordable families. Responsible banks will not take up the market risks of high mortgages nor the administration would allow this market risk to happen; hence public housing and partially assisted housing alternatives with civic responsibility obligations will be the only option in front of our community.

>

> Too much technical input will become dysfunctional issues and may add more controversial arguments and bad sentiments to the population at large in Hong Kong.

>

> These are my humble opinions and I wish to declare that I do not need public housing.

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Wong Siu Keung, Raymond

> Sent from my iPhone



Royal Perth Golf Club (Incorporated) ABN [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

26 June 2018

Mr Stanley Wong Yuen-fai, SBS, JP  
Chairman, Task Force on Land Supply  
Development Bureau  
19/F, West Wing  
Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
HONG KONG

Dear Sir,

Royal Perth Golf Club has recently been advised of the Hong Kong Government review that is underway regarding leased land to private clubs, in particular the land leased to Hong Kong Golf Club.

Royal Perth Golf Club has held a long standing reciprocal membership agreement with Hong Kong Golf Club which has been most beneficial and rewarding for both Club's membership base. Royal Perth members visit Hong Kong on a frequent basis via the provisions of this agreement, and often provide glowing feedback for the way they are welcomed when visiting the Club. The quality of the golf course and the hospitality shown by Hong Kong Golf Club is always first class and our Members are always speak very highly of their visit.

A match between both Clubs is held annually, with one Club visiting the other in alternate years. Generally, there are approximately 40 Members who travel and enjoy the hospitality of the host Club. This annual match provides a great opportunity for Members of each club to form strong relationships, but also Committee and Management to get together and discuss Club operations and ideas that ultimately add benefit to both Clubs and the game of golf in general.

The Hong Kong Golf Club holds a prominent place in golf internationally through the hosting of a number of Amateur or Professional events and plays a significant role in the promotion of sport and particularly growing the game of golf.

The Club would like to see the Hong Kong Golf Club retain the land at Fanling to ensure the existing relationships between our two Club's continue along with the proud history and traditions of the Hong Kong Golf Club.

Royal Perth Golf Club trusts due consideration will be given to ensuring Hong Kong Golf Club continues at Fanling for many years to come.

Yours sincerely [REDACTED]

Russell Hawkins  
President

ESTABLISHED 1895 - LOCATED IN SOUTH PERTH SINCE 1908

2016 Best Clubs Members Event Large (Clubs WA) ~ 2016 Best Club Chef of the Year (Clubs WA)

2016 Junior Amateur Sportsman of the Year (Clubs WA)

2017 Metropolitan Golf Facility of the Year (WA Golf Industry Awards Council)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## land supply, getting land from private clubs

28/06/2018 07:29

From:

To:

<tfls@devb.gov.hk>

Cc:

Sir, Madam,

Re: Getting the land from the private clubs

I understand that you are looking at options for increasing the supply of land in Hong Kong and hopefully lower housing prices.

My family and I live in a bad, small and extremely expensive flat. When it rains, it leaks from the roof and the windows.

Whatever you do, do not take away land from the Royal Hong Kong Yacht Club. We balance our poor quality of housing partly by having access to facilities such as those offered by RHKYC. Even if we had a larger flat, we would still have to deal with being in one of the most densely populated and noisiest cities in the world.

Although it's noisy and crowded, Hong Kong is a special place in Asia that has attracted people from all over the world. Many ingredients are needed to achieve this. It's partly the international schools, partly the rule of law, partly the English, but it's also the quality of life. By chipping at the ingredients, you will end up turning Hong Kong into just another Chinese city.

Yes, the quality of housing is not great. But we still manage to have a good life. Compared to my unfit friends who live in cities in China. I am a picture of health. This is largely because I have access to water sport facilities not far from where I live.

RHKYC is not exclusive. As you can tell from this letter, we are not a rich family. Club members are always encouraging people to join the club by organizing training programs open to all.

RHKYC is also contributing to HK economy. As mentioned, by improving HK's quality of life, the club encourages senior international managers to be based here (where they keep people in their jobs and pay taxes). Other than that, the club regularly organize international competitions that attract people from all over the world. One event I help organize attracts teams from Dubai, the U.S., Singapore and Australia. This is good for tourism.

I think there are many other places – sites with abandoned containers, etc- that would be better sites for new housing. Please look elsewhere.

JF Tremblay

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Desmond Cheng's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 22:54

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Desmond Cheng

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Angelica Catalan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 22:38

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Angelica Catalan



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Magdalena Nieduzak's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 21:12

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development (whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Magdalena Nieduzak

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Paul Tsang's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 19:50

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Paul Tsang

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Nick Cotton's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 17:28

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Nick Cotton

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ilona Pochwyt's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 16:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ilona Pochwyt

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ben Zabulis's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 16:22

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ben Zabulis

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**isabel gregory's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 15:20

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
isabel gregory

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jess Rauszen's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 14:38

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jess Rauszen

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chris Chan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 14:18

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Chris Chan



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Geoff Davies's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 13:42

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Geoff Davies

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Martin Sinclair's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 13:06

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Martin Sinclair

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Paul Etherington's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

28/06/2018 12:54

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

X kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Paul Etherington

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



張正慧對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 23:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 謝

謝！

張正慧謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**vassiliyeung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 23:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

謝謝！

vassiliyeung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Onion Yeung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 22:58

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝 安好！

Onion Yeung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chan bo** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 22:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 敬祝安好！

Chan bo 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Amelia 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 20:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Amelia 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kevin Leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 20:36

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 謝

謝！

Kevin Leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Joe Kwok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 20:32

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Joe Kwok 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**yau chi ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 19:06

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

yau chi ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**YYLee 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 19:06

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

謝謝！

Y Y Lee 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tobey Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 謝

謝！

Tobey Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



h 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:53

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

h 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Harvey 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 生活愉快！

Harvey 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



k 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

k 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Michael yip** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:40

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 敬祝安好！

Michael yip 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rachel LO 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

感謝！

Rachel LO 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rain 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 台安！

Rain 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Janicechau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:20

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Janicechau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



rowan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:20

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

rowan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wing Sze li 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 萬

分感謝！

Wing Sze li 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Daniel Law** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

敬祝安好！

Daniel Law 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**S chan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 生活愉快！

S chan 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**wun 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:14

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝 安好！

wun 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



lt 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

lt 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Burger HO 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

萬分感謝！

Burger HO 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sabrina 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 18:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 感

謝！

Sabrina 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



許家麟對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:06

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 萬分

感謝！

許家麟謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Lam 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 18:02

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tom 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Tom 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lam fuk fan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Lam fuk fan 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yvette Cho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 17:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Yvette Cho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Li Ting Fai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:44

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Li Ting Fai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Si si leung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:30

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Si si leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



Ho woontim 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 17:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 感

謝！

Ho woontim 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



梁梓軒對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 17:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 萬分感謝！

梁梓軒謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Law 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝 安好！

Law 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tommy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Tommy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mayitsung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:06

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Mayitsung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 17:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 敬祝安好！

Fung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kevin Tang** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 16:56

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Kevin Tang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kwok Lik Hang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 16:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

謝謝！

Kwok Lik Hang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**candy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 16:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

candy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wing Yan Lai** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 16:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Wing Yan Lai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Choy oi tai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 16:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Choy oi tai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chung mei ling 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 16:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Chung mei ling 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sam 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 16:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Sam 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**YEUNG KIN SANG 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 15:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

YEUNG KIN SANG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rachel 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 15:48

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Rachel 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Melody Ma** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 15:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 感

謝！

Melody Ma 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jackey WANG 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 14:50

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝 安好！

Jackey WANG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Chun Yip 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 14:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Wong Chun Yip 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chu Wing Ho 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 14:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

謝謝！

Chu Wing Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Shek Tsz Yu 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 14:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Shek Tsz Yu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Mei Chun 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 14:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Wong Mei Chun 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**KOK CHUNG KONG 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

KOK CHUNG KONG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**YuHimCai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:56

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 國泰民安！

YuHimCai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Chdng 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:54

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地 點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Chdng 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Katrina 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Katrina 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



麥敏聰對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

麥敏聰謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## HA CHI YAU 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

致土地供應專責小組：

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

- 政府應優先發展棕地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求；

- 郊野公園及其邊陲地帶以及填海都不應該成為土地供應的選項（不論是短期、中長期或概念性選項），其他的自然土地資源（如農地）亦應謹慎看待。因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

- 請特區政府勇謀兼備，處理新界存積已久的土地規劃不公問題。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 台安！

HA CHI YAU 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**W F Lee 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:38

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

感謝！

W F Lee 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lai po fung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 萬分感謝！

Lai po fung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Liu Chun pang** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 謝

謝！

Liu Chun pang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Shela Law** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:24

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 敬祝安好！

Shela Law 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cheng wing tak對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:19

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Cheng wing tak 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kar yoong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:14

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Kar yoong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝 安好！

Tang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yau 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

謝謝！

Yau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Susana Woo** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 13:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 感謝！

Susana Woo 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tse Kai Yin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 13:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

敬祝安好！

Tse Kai Yin 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leo 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Leo 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lee Sze Hang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:54

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝 安好！

Lee Sze Hang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lai wai fong 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:46

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 台安！

Lai wai fong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

感謝！

Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Decem Lee** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 12:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝 安好！

Decem Lee 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jane 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Jane 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**sunmy chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 12:22

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

sunmy chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Felicia Leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 12:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Felicia Leung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



胡嘉源對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 12:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

胡嘉源謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Law Hiu Sin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Law Hiu Sin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Won 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 12:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 國泰民安！

Won 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Teresa Fong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 12:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Teresa Fong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Irene** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 11:49

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

Irene 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**wai kui li** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 11:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 謝

謝！

wai kui li 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leo Mak** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 10:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Leo Mak 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Gary Wong M K 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 09:56

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

謝謝！

Gary Wong M K 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Vince Chung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 09:50

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

感謝！

Vince Chung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Venus Ngai** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 09:38

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

感謝！

Venus Ngai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hui Shing Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 09:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 生活愉快！

Hui Shing Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**CHENGLAI CHING 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 09:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不  
應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因 為  
我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

敬祝安好！

CHENG LAI CHING 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cheng Kam Pan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 09:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

謝謝！

Cheng Kam Pan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hui King Hang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 09:12

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 謝  
謝！

Hui King Hang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Derek Ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 09:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 謝

謝！

Derek Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**WY Yau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 08:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

WY Yau 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hung wai sang** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 08:02

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Hung wai sang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ivya Lee** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 07:54

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

Ivya Lee 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Dana Fong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 07:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

祝 安好！

Dana Fong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jasmine Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 07:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Jasmine Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**T Kwan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 07:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 敬

祝安好！

T Kwan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tai Sau Mei 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 07:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 敬

祝安好！

Tai Sau Mei 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Li Kar Pinf 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 06:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝 安好！

Li Kar Pinf 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Janet Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 04:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

致土地供應專責小組：

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

- 政府應優先發展棕地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求；

- 郊野公園及其邊陲地帶以及填海都不應該成為土地供應的選項（不論是短期、中長期或概念性選項），其他的自然土地資源（如農地）亦應謹慎看待。因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

- 請特區政府勇謀兼備，處理新界存積已久的土地規劃不公問題。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 台安！

Janet Chan 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Pauline** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 03:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 生活愉快！

Pauline 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ann Wong** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 02:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Ann Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cathy Mak** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 02:04

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Cathy Mak 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Desmond wai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 01:20

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Desmond wai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



王 虹顏對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 01:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝 安好！

王 虹顏謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



翁惠敏對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 01:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

翁惠敏謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**kwsin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 01:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

kwsin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**jeng kin yin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 00:46

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝 安好！

jeng kin yin 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Andres Wong 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 00:34

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。 謝

謝！

Andres Wong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Vivian Wat** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

28/06/2018 00:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 萬分感謝！

Vivian Wat 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lee 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

28/06/2018 00:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Lee 謹啟

28<sup>th</sup> June 2018

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong  
Email: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

**Re: Preservation of Fanling Golf Course**

Dear Secretariat,

There were many debates going on recently regarding the possibility of reclaiming Fanling's golf course land for housing purposes. Having listened to both sides I felt imperative to write in and express my support to keep the existing golf courses intact.

The club and club houses are graded heritage buildings and the courses, especially the Old Course, is one of the first golf courses that existed in Asia. It goes without saying that the course itself contributes highly towards our environment by providing an unique habitat to a huge diversity of ecologically important species of flora and fauna.

It baffles me when there are so many options to consider that the debate seems to be focused on destroying such a valuable piece of land with certainty of no turning back. I strongly object that golf course reclamation is listed in both "short-to-medium term options" and "medium-to-long term options" in the land use questionnaire. How can a destruction of an esteemed jewel that is the pride of Hong Kong be even considered? It is even more absurd when options such as reclamation can provide far superior planning and infrastructure support.

Thank You.

Sincerely Yours,



Derek Wong



佳年洋行

**Fine Vintage (Far East) Ltd.**

FINE WINE MERCHANTS

21297

Secretariat, Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F West wing,  
Central Govt offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue  
Tamar, HK

Dear Sir,

Tax Force Questionnaire

You have requested views from the public on the 18 recommended land options proposed by you.

There have already been wide-spread reports in the Media on one of them, namely "Alternative Uses of Sites Under Private Recreational Leases" and specifically the Fanling Golf Club's use of some 100 plus hectares, for its 54 golf holes. Cutting up this Site to build public housing would be a travesty of common sense, given the many alternative options that exist, some of which have not even been considered amongst your options.

There are 60 hectares of reclaimed land, sitting idle, at Disneyland, as reported in the enclosed newspaper article.

According to other information, the Task Force refused to include land-supply sources such as land reserved for small housing, short-term land leases and temporary government-assigned land.

The only action the public can take is to react to the Survey Questionnaire in a negative way, and certainly not support the destruction of sites under private recreational lease.

The Government has to change the land policy and release more reclaimed land, such as the site mentioned above.

It also has to look at brown field sites, and the border area near Lok Ma Chau, for alternatives.

Yours faithfully

For and on behalf of  
**FINE VINTAGE (FAR EAST) LIMITED**

J R Paine  
(Director)

編者註：來函附互聯網、報章或其他未獲授權刊登的資料，因版權關係，不在此刊載。

Editor's Note: The content in the submission which is copied from the internet, newspapers or other unauthorised sources has not been reproduced for copyright reason.



26 June 2018

The Secretariat  
Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing  
Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue  
Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Re: Public Engagement on How to Tackle Land Shortage  
Save the Fanling Golf Club**

I write to express my opinion on this alleged misunderstood issue of land supply.

There is no real shortage of land, but just lack of infrastructure development to make new towns in less accessible areas such as Lantau Island. There are areas such as the edges of public park that should give way to human occupancy at this time of relative housing shortage.

It is much better to develop than to destroy. Unless there is really no land, any destruction of present good use land plots (such as the Fanling Golf Course) to give way to residential development is illogical. This is not a zero sum game, as much accessible land had not been used.

Through destruction of it is easy, building the Fanling Golf Course will take a century. The trees and wild birds using the Golf Course as their sanctuaries have been there for a century or many decades. Some Golf Club building/structures there are heritage buildings. The Golf Course's Old Course has more than 100 years' history. It is the 2<sup>nd</sup> oldest golf course in Asia. Fanling Golf Course is the only golf course in Hong Kong capable of holding the Hong Kong Open (which it has done for many decades), the only international golf competition event in Hong Kong which is part of the European Tour of international PGA competition. Why not have those 170 hectares from the unused neighbouring country park? Any negative impact from the building up of 170 hectares of country park, if at all, is minimal in view of the large total areas of country park in Hong Kong.

Whether the Fanling Golf Course is used only by a few privileged (which is not true), is a different issue from the issue of finding land to build residential units. If it is really the case that only a few privileged people can use it, the answer is to change its rules or governance, or even to turn it into a public course. Why destroy it when there are other land plots sitting unused?

Save the Fanling Golf Course please!

Yours faithfully,

Dr Chu-Pak LAU  
MD, MBBS, FRCP, FRACP, FHKAM (Medicine), FHKCP  
Specialist in Cardiology

28 June 2018


Mr Stanley Wong Yuen Fai  
Chairman  
Task Force on Land Supply  
17/F, West Wing, Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar,  
Hong Kong

Dear Mr Wong,

I am writing to express my opinion about the controversy of reclaim the land in Fanling, on which the Hong Kong Golf Club locates, for housing development.


The Hong Kong Golf Club is the largest of Hong Kong's private clubs that founded by a small group of golfing enthusiasts in 1889. Its quiet fairways and facilities at Fanling have a century old heritage that was once catered to the British colonial rulers with its history starting in 1911. Along with the change in times as being a sport with a century history, golf is gaining increasing popularity in Hong Kong, as it has been included as an Olympic sport recently. Given this, the Club has increasingly opened up its facilities to the public and new golf talents, to promote golf development and host more than 20 local and international tournaments every year, such as the Hong Kong Open since 1959, and the Hong Kong Ladies Open since 2015. Given its long history, beautiful greens and supporting golf development, the Fanling golf course is undoubtedly a valuable cultural heritage site that is therefore worth preserving.

Additionally, the Club has been a dedicated supporter of Hong Kong charitable concerns and hosts numerous events for the benefit of worthy causes throughout the year. Charities that have benefitted from complimentary hosting include the Community Chest, Po Leung Kuk, the Tung Wah Group of Hospitals, and others. Well worth mentioning is the annual charity golf event, the Cup of Kindness, which is to help raise funds for community-based charities in North District.



I certainly understand the dire situation in terms of land scarcity for housing development in Hong Kong. However, closing down the Fanling golf courses will lower Hong Kong's competitiveness as an international metropolis to have a beautiful and high-quality golf course of its own. I sincerely hope that the Task Force will look for other appropriate places first before destroying the city's oasis, also reconsider to preserve the entire Fanling site.

Yours faithfully,



Dr Henry Hin Lee Chan







Top Richly Investment Limited

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

29 June 2018

Dear Members of the Task Force,

**Submission on Review of Private Recreational Leases**

I am a technologist and a business man operating in Hong Kong and in the Region. I am pleased to submit my submission on the subject and believe my views reflect the views of majority of SMEs in Hong Kong.

**Macro View**

First of all, I want to point out that the main concern of the general public in Hong Kong (HK) is lack of land for building public subsidized housing. This, in turn, prompts the Government to review the Private Recreational Leases trying to acquire land. In conducting this review, I urge the Review Team or Task Force not to forget the underlying key objective is to find more land. More importantly, I urge the Review Team not to take a “microscopic view” and lose sight of the “greater interest” of Hong Kong. Please remember Hong Kong claims to be the Asia’s World City. We face fierce competition in the region (e.g. Singapore) and other cities in south China. We need to attract and retain both local and international talents and capital investment. Recreational facilities of international standard are the key factor to meet this end.

## Specific Views

### Firstly:

I believe Hong Kong Yacht Club has been making valuable contribution in promoting maritime sports for local schools and general public in Hong Kong. At the same time, the Club helps to attract and retain foreign talents and their families which, in turn, bring in more investments to Hong Kong. Furthermore, the location and the area of the sites are not suitable for building public housing and certainly cannot address the concern of general public. Please also note that the Club is the only establishment in China that has over 170 Years of world-class maritime knowledge, connections, experience and reputation in maritime activities, education and skill training. This is an invaluable asset of Hong Kong. Hence, I strongly believe the Club is fully qualified for lease renewal upon expiry.

### Secondly:

I object “requiring private sports clubs suitable for lease renewal to pay a concessionary premium to be set at one-third of the full market value land premium”. Hong Kong Government already has substantial budget surplus. This, in fact, contradicts with another Government’s initiative to promote sporting activities at affordable price.


On the contrary, HK Government should consider selectively sponsoring some high-profile local and international maritime events which helps to place Hong Kong on the global stage. Please look at our key competitor in the region – Singapore. Their Government paid millions of dollars even absorbing all the accommodation costs of North Korea delegation in hosting the US-North Korea Summit Meeting. This firmly puts Singapore on the international business map!

### Thirdly:

I object RIGIDLY “requiring private sports clubs to further open up their facilities to eligible outside bodies at up to 30 per cent of their total sports capacity and partner with sports organisations to organise sports programmes that can be open for enrolment by individual members of the public with a minimum of 240 sports programme hours per month”. Please kindly note that majority of maritime activities require proper knowledge, skills and licences. Otherwise it will bring serious threats to the individual as well as our international shipping lanes. This also leads to wastage of scarce maritime sporting resources in Hong Kong. Opening

up arrangements need proper consultation with the Club.

Thank you in advance for your consideration,



Professor Peter KC Yu  
President, Top Richly Investment Limited



26<sup>th</sup> June 2018

Mr Stanley Wong Yuen-fai, SBS, JP  
Chairman, Task Force on Land Supply  
Development Bureau  
19/F West Wing  
Central Government Offices  
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar  
Hong Kong

Dear Mr Wong Yuen-fai

**Hong Kong Golf Club**

Our golf club has been informed that discussions are taking place which may result in the closure of the historic Old Course at Hong Kong (HKGC) as a result of property development.

As Captain of Lindrick Golf Club, South Yorkshire, England, I am writing on behalf of our membership with comments and observations from an overseas perspective, which I hope you will give due consideration to in your deliberations.

I declare an interest from the outset. Our club has a reciprocal arrangement with HKGC allowing our members to visit and play at HKGC each year. I would hope that using The Old Course for property development would be the absolute last option. HKGC is a historic venue where golf is played. Founded in 1889, it is not just one of the oldest golf clubs in Asia, but in the World. It has worldwide reputation to match, which I am sure brings enormous credit to Hong Kong itself. With Augusta National Golf Club USA, it has hosted the same professional tournament at one venue for the longest period of time.

The Old Course itself, is known for its unique character, grasses, vegetation and design, setting it aside from the other courses on site. It is also the course used by the local villages to play on in the afternoon, free of charge, as I understand.

The Old Course survives the harsh Summer weather better than the other two courses, allowing Hong Kong residents and international visitors to continue playing golf both as a recreation and in competitive tournaments.

Are three course needed at HKGC? I suggest yes. Hong Kong has based its success on supply and demand. The demand from residents to play is seen by the numbers of members at the





club and the other two private clubs. If anything, more golf courses seem to be needed. Does this make golf an elite sport? No. As the Royal and Ancient Golf Club says:-

*Golf is "a sport for life which can be played and enjoyed by the people of all ages, backgrounds and levels of abilities".*

Not many sports have such an overall and long lasting appeal. HKGC are using their best endeavours to realise the wide appeal of golf. From events for senior golfers to an extensive programme for junior golfers, they provide facilities for all to play golf. They work closely with local residents and local schools to help all play golf.

Green spaces in urbanisations must be good. Central Park in New York, Regents Park in London etc. The HKGC provides this in Hong Kong. Many from overseas comment on how nice it is to travel out of Kowloon and Hong Kong Island and spend time in the green lush open countryside the golf course provides. It has its own plant life, bird life, animal life, ecologies natural to Hong Kong that may be effected by further property development.

The HKGC also represents a major employer in the New Territories. Employees that we see year on year, many working at the club for over thirty years or more. This must show the respect the locals have for the club. Losing a course would reduce the employee numbers.

From an overseas perspective HKGC is world renowned. It attracts visitors to Hong Kong with all the benefits attributable to tourism. It is synonymous with Hong Kong being a "World City" a facility almost unmatched in other large cities of the World. It is hoped that other land outside the boundaries of the club can be used for property development and that the jewel you have in HKGC is not affected.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted signature]

Mr M D Hepworth  
Men's Captain



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Strong disagreement against partial or full reclamation of the Fanling courses**

29/06/2018 18:25

From:

To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to you express my disagreement against the potential partial or full reclamation of the Fanling courses.

I am a first year medical student at the University of Hong Kong, and as a young golfer, I utmost believe that the grooming of talent among the younger generation is paramount to the future golf of Hong Kong. Firstly, the HKGC has been a key supporter of the Hong Kong Golf association in grooming young talent by providing HKGA free access to host is squad team training and amateur tournaments. Secondly, the HKGC sponsored the HKGA's inaugural Inter-Secondary Schools Golf Tournament by providing the Old Course as the tournament venue, offering free practice rounds and other support for participating school teams. Thirdly, the HKGC founded the Hong Kong Ladies Open, hosting it at Fanling for the past 4 years. This was extremely crucial to allow the talented youth of Hong Kong to gain valuable world ranking points, helping them quality for international events including the Olympic Games. Finally, having most recently played with another youth at the Fanling new course, the green fee of under 21s is significantly less than adults, thus indicating the desire of HKGC to nurture young talent and provide wide access. Therefore, without the grooming of young talented golfers in Hong Kong, the future golf of Hong Kong, will no doubt, dramatically reduce and will affect the future prospects of players in representing Hong Kong at important tournaments such as the Olympic Games.

Furthermore, I have most recently played with a non-member, and the HKGC actually provides public access. Facilities at Fanling are open to public from Monday to Friday throughout the year and in 2017, 42% of the 120,000 rounds of golf were played by non-members. Hence, the partial of full reclamation of the Fanling courses, will not only affect the members but also the ability for visitors to play on the well-maintained courses too!

Overall, I strongly disagree against the partial or full reclamation of the Fanling courses as it will affect the grooming of young talent and also the public who are also allowed to play. I hope you consider my email and countless others from my young contemporaries.

Best wishes,  
James Mok



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



新意見

29/06/2018 17:29

From:

To:

"tfls@devb.gov.hk" <tfls@devb.gov.hk>

Please respond to

你好:

1. 香港資助房屋包括公屋、居居、  
所有政府資助的房居及丁屋，都不能賣給  
非香港永久居民及公司名義擁有的。  
官丁未補地價不能出租。  
套丁未補地價或未夠5年亦不能出租。
2. 所有農地強制性收回！  
重新編排一些農地給團體  
或原有農地種作人優先租用復耕。

Robert Chan

[傳送自 Android 上的 Yahoo Mail](#)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## 減少輸入移民

29/06/2018 06:53

From:

To:

"tfls@devb.gov.hk" <tfls@devb.gov.hk>

香港人口增長主要來自中國新移民，如果繼續現有移民政策，每年增加約20萬人，10年便會增加200萬人，長遠香港土地供應便會不足，因此我要求政府停止輸入中國新移民，從源頭減人。



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Christiane Hwang's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Christiane Hwang

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Christiane Hwang's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:38

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Christiane Hwang

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rebecca Carvatt's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:30

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Rebecca Carvatt

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Andreas Koerber's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:26

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Andreas Koerber

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Carla Silva's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:24

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Carla Silva

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Amanda Jones's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 23:09

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Amanda Jones

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mimi Fung's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Mimi Fung

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sashs Haldane's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:50

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Sashs Haldane



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Louissa Lam's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:48

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Louissa Lam

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Karen Barretto's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:30

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Karen Barretto

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cleavon Tate's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:14

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Cleavon Tate

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Peter Inglis's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:12

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Peter Inglis

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**David Shephard's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
David Shephard

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Teresa Lee's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Teresa Lee

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tim Woodward's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Tim Woodward

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lesley Varlinden's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Lesley Varlinden



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kaman Ching's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 22:00

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Kaman Ching

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mark Voermans's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:42

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Mark Voermans

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jean Luc Rey's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:24

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jean Luc Rey

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ivan Nunes's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:16

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ivan Nunes

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Marleen Padilla's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:16

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Marleen Padilla

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ka ho Yeung's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:11

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ka ho Yeung

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lesley Blay's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:10

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Lesley Blay

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Daniel Narramore's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Daniel Narramore



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jackie Cen's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 21:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jackie Cen

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Thelma Woodward's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Thelma Woodward

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leo Cheung's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:32

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Leo Cheung

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fifi Yee's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:24

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Fifi Yee

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Michelle Basilio's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:18

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Michelle Basilio

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hugh Stanley's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:12

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Hugh Stanley

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Gillian Anderson's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Gillian Anderson

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Edwin Chan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Edwin Chan



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Gigi Chiu's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 20:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Gigi Chiu

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lucia S's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 19:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Lucia S

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kon Chun wah's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 19:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Kon Chun wah

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leigh Thomas's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 19:18

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Leigh Thomas

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lauren Jones's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 19:08

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Lauren Jones

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Christine Lau's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:48

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Christine Lau

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Thomas Walther's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Thomas Walther

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Debra Farquhar's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Debra Farquhar



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mina Weight's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Mina Weight

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Laurie LemmlieLeung's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Laurie LemmlieLeung

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sophia Lamb's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Sophia Lamb

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Benedetta Bove's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 18:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Benedetta Bove

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mark Steven John Lovett's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 17:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Mark Steven John Lovett

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Carol Choi's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:58

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Carol Choi

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Linda Lee's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:35

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Linda Lee

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rebeca Garrard's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:35

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Rebeca Garrard



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Maria Machado's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:24

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Maria Machado

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Suzanne Lo's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Suzanne Lo

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Samantha Tan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Samantha Tan

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Simon Calderwood's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 16:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Simon Calderwood

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**bernadette carney's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 15:56

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
bernadette carney

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chris Chan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 15:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Chris Chan

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jamie Choi's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 15:50

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jamie Choi

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yanni K's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 15:24

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Yanni K



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rochelle Le Pine's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Rochelle Le Pine

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jacquie Madalena's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jacquie Madalena

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Zoe Wright's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:32

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Zoe Wright

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Doyel Dawson's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:30

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Doyel Dawson

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mary Picard's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:29

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Mary Picard

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chris Chan's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Chris Chan

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Punam De Manny's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 14:08

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Punam De Manny

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Elvs Teodoro's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Elvs Teodoro



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fiona Kennedy's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:49

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development (whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Fiona Kennedy

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Michael Fasching's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:40

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Michael Fasching

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Anirban C's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:30

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Anirban C

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Hoi man Tam's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Hoi man Tam

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tracy Theakston's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:28

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Tracy Theakston

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Patrick Qua's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 13:02

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Patrick Qua

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**PeiJy Lee's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
PeiJy Lee

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Virginia MacQueen's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Virginia MacQueen



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Seo Jin Lim's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:30

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Seo Jin Lim

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Markiana Chau's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:28

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Markiana Chau

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Catherine Allert's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Catherine Allert

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Andy Ma's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Andy Ma

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ainsleigh Hennig's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:22

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ainsleigh Hennig

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**W S's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:14

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
W S

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cynthia Cheung's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 12:04

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Cynthia Cheung

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Laurel Chor's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 11:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Laurel Chor



☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ronan Simpson's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 10:46

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Ronan Simpson

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jeff Bell's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 10:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Jeff Bell

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leslie Richardson's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 08:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Leslie Richardson

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Helen Brighton's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 07:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Helen Brighton

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**James Zidek's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 06:50

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
James Zidek

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**King Carolyn's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 01:12

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development (whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
King Carolyn

☐ Urgent ☒ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Gugu Lu's suggestions on Land Supply - Country Parks should never be an option for development**

29/06/2018 01:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

For the HKSAR Government's Task force on Land Supply

I feel very strongly that Hong Kong should not develop its country parks and am hereby submitting my feedback for the Task Force on Land Supply's public engagement as follows:

- The government should absolutely prioritise the development of brownfields. Studies have shown that there is more than sufficient land from brownfields to meet Hong Kong's housing needs;
- Country parks and their peripheries, as well as land reclamation should never be an option for development(whether short-term, mid-term, long-term or conceptual). Other areas of natural resources (such as farmland) should also be approached with extreme caution because these options will cause irreversible harm to Hong Kong's environment and natural resources;
- I earnestly request the SAR government to take a bold step forward and finally resolve Hong Kong's enduring and unjust land-planning problem.

I kindly request the Task Force on Land Supply to convey my views to the government departments responsible, so that Hong Kong can pursue a land development model that is sustainable, has integrity and will benefit everyone.

Sincerely yours,  
Gugu Lu

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cynlina kwok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 23:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Cynlina kwok 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Georgewong 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 23:24

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Georgewong 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tom 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 23:04

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

敬祝安好！

Tom 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



Ivy Chan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 22:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 敬祝

安好！

Ivy Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong wai ming 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 22:52

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

謝謝！

Wong wai ming 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**MAN SHING TAK 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 22:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

MAN SHING TAK 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Zoe cheung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 22:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Zoe cheung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**May Au** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 22:20

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

敬祝安好！

May Au 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Joey Leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 22:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Joey Leung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**John Ip** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 21:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 生活愉快！

John Ip 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



yuen chik sum 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 21:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），  
亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處 理，因為這些選項  
都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 敬祝安

好！

yuen chik sum 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**shirley li** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 20:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 萬分感謝！

shirley li 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leong Hoi Ting 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 19:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 萬分感謝！

Leong Hoi Ting 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Woo Wan Lung Ben** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 19:25

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 萬分

感謝！

Woo Wan Lung Ben 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Evalyn leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 19:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Evalyn leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Shirley Ku** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 18:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

Shirley Ku 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**wong 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 18:44

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

wong 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**jessica** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 18:36

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝 安好！

jessica 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Meilin Chen** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 18:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 生活愉快！

Meilin Chen 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tse Casper** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 18:12

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Tse Casper 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Ka Lun 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 18:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

Wong Ka Lun 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Vanessa** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 17:58

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Vanessa 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Alex 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 17:52

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Alex 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kwang 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 17:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

謝謝！

Kwang 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 17:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 謝  
謝！

Wu 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



苑棋對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 17:32

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝 安好！

苑棋謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**kate** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:58

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

萬分感謝！

kate 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**betty chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:54

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

betty chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**DU MENG 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

DU MENG 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Chung Hei 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:46

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

謝謝！

Wong Chung Hei 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kara sun** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:44

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Kara sun 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**cheng tsz ching**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

cheng tsz ching 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



lin ying 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:38

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

感謝！

lin ying 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Yetta 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:22

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Yetta 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ronny 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Ronny 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**matthew** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

敬祝安好！

matthew 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fox 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:16

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。

順祝 生活愉快！

Fox 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Michael 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

萬分感謝！

Michael 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Gavin Au** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:08

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 國泰民安！

Gavin Au 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Irene Lau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 16:00

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬分感謝！

Irene Lau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**CC 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 16:00

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

萬分感謝！

CC 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 15:58

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 國泰民安！

Cin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Stanley Palmer** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:49

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Stanley Palmer 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**WendyKan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

謝謝！

WendyKan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Huang lizhu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:32

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 謝  
謝！

Huang lizhu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wilton Leung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:26

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

致土地供應專責小組：

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

- 政府應優先發展棕地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求；

- 郊野公園及其邊陲地帶以及填海都不應該成為土地供應的選項（不論是短期、中長期或概念性選項），其他的自然土地資源（如農地）亦應謹慎看待。因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

- 請特區政府勇謀兼備，處理新界存積已久的土地規劃不公問題。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 台安！

Wilton Leung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ringo Mok** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:20

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。 萬

分感謝！

Ringo Mok 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sydney 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 15:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 萬

分感謝！

Sydney 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Cheng Kit Ying 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 15:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 生活愉快！

Cheng Kit Ying 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong gwen** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 15:08

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬

分感謝！

Wong gwen 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leung Siu Man sally**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 14:54

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬

分感謝！

Leung Siu Man sally 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**SYLai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 14:44

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 台安！

S Y Lai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ng Ka Yi** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 14:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

敬祝安好！

Ng Ka Yi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tang Wai Sin 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 14:42

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

致土地供應專責小組：

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

- 政府應優先發展棕地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求；

- 郊野公園及其邊陲地帶以及填海都不應該成為土地供應的選項（不論是短期、中長期或概念性選項），其他的自然土地資源（如農地）亦應謹慎看待。因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

- 請特區政府勇謀兼備，處理新界存積已久的土地規劃不公問題。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 台安！

Tang Wai Sin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**K Cheung** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 14:14

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們要尊重大自然。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

K Cheung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong ka man 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 14:14

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝 安好！

Wong ka man 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**LUI SHEUNG FAN 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 14:12

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

萬分感謝！

LUI SHEUNG FAN 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Benito KOO** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:56

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 生活愉快！

Benito KOO 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



李靖雯對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 敬

祝安好！

李靖雯謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**FLORA MO 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:42

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

FLORA MO 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chan Wai Lung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

請不要發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶地點

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 萬  
分感謝！

Chan Wai Lung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Pau 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須  
首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地 點，亦  
不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬

分感謝！

Pau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Ms lam 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:40

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

順祝 台安！

Ms lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Choi Ronnie** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:35

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。 萬

分感謝！

Choi Ronnie 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**jessie siu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:35

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

jessie siu 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Robert 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:35

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

Robert 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**jas** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:34

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬

分感謝！

jas 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tony Lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:32

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。 感

謝！

Tony Lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Matt Yu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:30

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

Matt Yu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**sally** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:30

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 萬

分感謝！

sally 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rosina 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:30

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

感謝！

Rosina 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:26

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 台安！

Tam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**christy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 萬分感謝！

christy 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Sau Wa** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正 落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 國泰民安！

Wong Sau Wa 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Edmond HO** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。 謝謝！

Edmond HO 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Kelvin** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先發展棕地，無須發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 謝

謝！

Kelvin 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**VLau 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:10

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能真的能保護我們的環境，也能讓市民安居樂業。 萬分感謝！

V Lau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Cherry 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Cherry 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**justin ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 13:06

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 感

謝！

justin ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tiffany 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 13:02

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性 選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

敬祝安好！

Tiffany 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Olivia 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶（不論是作為中長期選項的兩個試點，或作為概念性選項），亦不應在維港以外近岸填海及發展東大嶼都會，對於發展農地也須謹慎處理，因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Olivia 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Samson Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 12:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

善用棕土，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

謝謝！

Samson Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Leo 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:42

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。

順祝 國泰民安！

Leo 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**YYChan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:40

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優

先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地 點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請土地供應小組尊重民意，為香港市民保護自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

YYChan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Rachel** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 12:32

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

順祝 國泰民安！

Rachel 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Wong Wai Shan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:30

From:  To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局必須首先善用棕地，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，釋放現有的土地資源。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

謝謝！

Wong Wai Shan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**kelly** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 12:28

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

kelly 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Athena chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 12:18

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

愛護自然，不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Athena chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jason 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

請土供組如實向特首林鄭月娥反映市民訴求，規劃棕地，保護郊野邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Jason 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Sandy 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 12:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Sandy 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



## Ho Nam Au Yeung對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 12:10

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Ho Nam Au Yeung 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lucas Lau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 11:58

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 感謝！

Lucas Lau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Eric Wu** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 11:56

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

請黃遠輝先生為香港下一代著想，不要開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。 敬

祝安好！

Eric Wu 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**lisa lam** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 11:50

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

謝謝！

lisa lam 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lai ka kit 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 11:25

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

感謝！

Lai ka kit 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Mancas 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 11:16

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

本人希望土地供應專責小組虛心接受市民的意見，無須開發郊野公園邊陲地帶。

祝 安好！

Mancas 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**tam nim ying** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 11:14

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

改善規劃，用好棕地

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 國泰民安！

tam nim ying 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Chan Mei Yi 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 10:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

謝謝！

Chan Mei Yi 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Roger Yuen** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 10:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

順祝 國泰民安！

Roger Yuen 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Raymond Chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 09:22

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應破壞自然和郊野，包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府不應發展郊野公園兩個試點作為中長期選項，不應研究郊野公園邊陲地帶其他地點，亦不應考慮在維港以外填海，因為填海將破壞海洋生態。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 敬祝安好！

Raymond Chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Siemen chan** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 09:04

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

先用棕地，糾正規劃問題

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

希望土地供應小組聆聽民意，促請政府改善規劃，令市民真正安居樂業。 敬祝安好！

Siemen chan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Jenny Lau** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 08:44

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

不應發展郊野公園邊陲地帶，守護大自然

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Jenny Lau 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



To 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 07:48

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

土地供應的選項不應包括填海和郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

請土供組回應香港人的訴求，優先發展粉嶺高球場，解決基層上樓問題。

順祝 台安！

To 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Tam Wai Ling** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 07:22

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

請停止研究開發郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

萬分感謝！

Tam Wai Ling 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Fok kam cheung 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 07:12

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 當局須優先利用棕地，還有私人遊樂場地契約用地，這將提升香港土地的使用效率。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

懇請土地供應小組聽取民意，令香港真正可持續發展。

祝 安好！

Fok kam cheung 謹啟



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Agnes Ho** 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 07:08

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

郊野公園邊陲地帶和填海都不應成為發展和土地供應的選項

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府必須優先使用棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶兩個試點及其他邊陲地帶，亦不應考慮兩個 涉及維港以外填海的中長期土地選項，農地發展亦須小心看待，因為郊野公園是香港 市民難得的叫氣地方。

本人希望土地供應小組向政府反映本人意見，保護香港的自然環境。

順祝 國泰民安！

Agnes Ho 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**hofan 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 07:04

From: [REDACTED] To: [tfls@devb.gov.hk](mailto:tfls@devb.gov.hk)

致土地供應專責小組：

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

- 政府應優先發展棕地，有研究已經指出，香港的棕地及粉嶺高爾夫球場等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求；

- 郊野公園及其邊陲地帶以及填海都不應該成為土地供應的選項（不論是短期、中長期或概念性選項），其他的自然土地資源（如農地）亦應謹慎看待。因為這些選項都會為香港的生態環境和天然資源帶來不能逆轉的破壞。

- 請特區政府勇謀兼備，處理新界存積已久的土地規劃不公問題。

本人期望土地供應專責小組能將本人意見進一步反映予政府相關部門，讓香港能真正落實可持續和以人與生態為本的發展規劃。

順祝 台安！

hofan 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Lee Siu Fai 對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見**

29/06/2018 06:46

From: [REDACTED] To: tfls@devb.gov.hk

政府必須停止研究發展郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見： 棕地和私人遊樂場地契約用地，必須被選為優先選項，這將有助釋放土地資源。

當局不應研究在郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶）興建房屋，也不應考慮在維港以外近岸和東大嶼填海，其他天然資源（例如農地）亦要謹慎看待，因為我們不能犧牲郊野公園的重要生態和生物。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。

祝願 閣下生活愉快！

Lee Siu Fai 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

**Loke Shee Loong**對土地供應選項及相關議題的意見

29/06/2018 03:25

From:



To:

tfls@devb.gov.hk

優先使用棕地，守護自然郊野及郊野公園邊陲地帶

就 貴小組針對香港未來土地供應的公眾諮詢，本人謹此表達以下意見：

政府應先用好棕地資源，及私人遊樂場地契約用地，因為粉嶺高爾夫球場和棕地等已經足以應付香港未來的房屋需求。

郊野公園邊陲地帶（包括兩個試點和其他邊陲地帶），及在維港以外近岸及東大嶼填海，不能被視為增加土地的選項，當局亦應小心處理農地等其他天然資源，因為我們 要尊重大自然。

衷心希望土地供應小組接納意見，要求政府改善規劃，保護珍貴的自然環境。 敬祝安好！

Loke Shee Loong 謹啟