### Examination of Estimates of Expenditure 2005-06

## HPLB(PL)023

Reply Serial No.

# CONTROLLING OFFICER'S REPLY TO INITIAL WRITTEN QUESTION

Question Serial No.

0819

<u>Head</u>: 82 Buildings Department <u>Subhead</u> (No. & title):

**Programme**: Buildings and Building Works

**Controlling Officer**: Director of Buildings

<u>Director of Bureau</u>: Secretary for Housing, Planning and Lands

#### **Question**:

The Buildings Department (BD) will step up the prosecution actions against outstanding removal orders by increasing prosecution cases from 1 664 in 2004 to an estimated number of 3 000 in 2005. Would the clearance of this type of unauthorized building works (UBW) be carried out by BD or by the owners themselves? Were there any cases that BD had been unsuccessful in recovering the removal cost? If so, what was the cost involved?

Asked by: Hon. CHEUNG Hok-ming

### Reply:

The responsibility for removing unauthorised building works and complying with removal orders rests with the building owners concerned. Where an owner fails to remove a UBW within a reasonable period of time, or where the UBW presents an immediate danger to the public, we would mobilise our contractors to carry out the removal work, and recover the cost of works from the owner thereafter.

As at 31.12.2004, there were about 420 cases with outstanding payments involving an amount of \$3.7 million. We have registered charges concerning these unrecovered costs and interest accrued with the Land Registry against the title of the premises concerned. This will enable the recovery of the money owed to the Government upon sale of the premises. Further cost recovery actions such as legal actions against the relevant owners would also be considered.

Signature	
Name in block letters	Marco WU
Post Title	Director of Buildings
Date	4 April 2005