

(Translated Version)

For discussion on
17 August 2015

Lantau Development Advisory Committee
Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee
Paper No. 08/2015

**LANTAU DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
PUBLIC RELATION AND ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE**

**Follow-up Actions on Comments received at Outreach Activities and
Forthcoming Activities**

PURPOSE

This paper summarizes the follow-up actions on comments received from the outreach activities attended by the Government and the Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee (hereinafter referred to as “PRE Subcommittee”) under the Lantau Development Advisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as “LanDAC”) for the development of Lantau. This paper also lists out the outreach activities which plan to attend in 2015 Q3 and Q4. This paper is for the reference of PRE Subcommittee members who are invited to give their views.

COMMENTS RECEIVED AT OUTREACH ACTIVITIES AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

2. The comments received at outreach activities attended between 1 January to 21 April 2015 and their recommended classification and follow-up actions were confirmed at the 4th meeting of PRE Subcommittee. The Secretariat of PRE Subcommittee referred the comments to the Subcommittees under LanDAC or relevant Government departments for follow-up actions after the meeting and the latest status and responses are as follows:

Date	Activity Name	Follow-up Actions and Responses
27 January 2015	The 20th Meeting of Tsuen Wan District Council	As listed at Annex 1
17 February 2015	The 1st Meeting of Yuen Long District Council in 2015	As listed at Annex 1
19 March 2015	The 18th Meeting of Central & Western District Council	As listed at Annex 1
1 April 2015	Sharing Session for Associate Degree	As listed at Annex 1

(Translated Version)

	Students in Airport Operation and Aviation Logistics	
9 April 2015	The 21st Meeting of North District Council	As listed at Annex 1
21 April 2015	The 55th Meeting of Country Parks Committee	As listed at Annex 1

3. From 22 April to 4 August 2015, the Government together with the PRE Subcommittee attended the following outreach activities for the development of Lantau. The Secretariat of PRE Subcommittee has classified the comments received at the activities and referred the comments to the Subcommittees under LandAC or relevant Government departments for follow-up actions.

Date	Activity Name	Follow-up Actions for the Views/ Comments Received
23 April 2015	The 20th Meeting of Kowloon City District Council	As listed at Annex 2
5 May 2015	The 22nd Meeting of Tuen Mun District Council	As listed at Annex 2
5 May 2015	The 21st Meeting of Wan Chai District Council	As listed at Annex 2
7 May 2015	The 3rd Meeting of Tai Po District Council in 2015	As listed at Annex 2
14 May 2015	The 22nd Meeting of South District Council	As listed at Annex 2
19 May 2015	The 22nd Meeting of Wong Tai Sin District Council	As listed at Annex 2
21 & 22 May 2015	Lantau Site Visit arranged for district councilors	As listed at Annex 2
21 May 2015	The 2nd Meeting of the 7th Board of Member Representatives of the Federation of the New Territories Youth	As listed at Annex 2

(Translated Version)

11 June 2015	The 19th Meeting of Eastern District Council	As listed at Annex 2
12, 15 & 16 June 2015	Lantau Site Visit arranged for district councilors	As listed at Annex 2
17 June 2015	Seminar of Lantau Development for Private Housing in Tung Chung	As listed at Annex 2
23 June 2015	The 22nd Meeting of Sham Shui Po District Council	As listed at Annex 2
25 June 2015	The 23rd Meeting of Yau Tsim Mong District Council	As listed at Annex 2
2 July 2015	The 4th Extended Meeting of Sha Tin District Council Development & Housing Committee in 2015	As listed at Annex 2
7 July 2015	The 4th Meeting of Sai Kung District Council in 2015	As listed at Annex 2
7 July 2015	The 23rd Meeting of Kwun Tong District Council	As listed at Annex 2
9 July 2015	The Federation of Hong Kong Chinese Travel Agents and Hong Kong Inbound Tour Operators Association Luncheon	As listed at Annex 2
20 July 2015	The 17th Special Meeting of Kwai Tsing District Council	As listed at Annex 2

FORTHCOMING ACTIVITIES

4. The Secretariat has drawn up a list of outreach activities (see **Annex 3**) by consolidating and collating the promotion and exchange platforms or social networks (as of 4 August 2015) as provided by the PRE Subcommittee members. The Government and PRE Subcommittee visited some of the organizations in the first half of 2015 and plan to attend the following events in 2015 Q3 and Q4:

(Translated Version)

Planned Outreach Activities	
Date:	8 August 2015
Time:	7:00 pm
Event:	Islands District Youth Camp 2015 organized by Islands District Youth Programme Committee of Islands District Office
Venue:	Hong Kong Young Women's Christian Association – Y.W.C.A. Sydney Leong Holiday Lodge, San Shek Wan, South District, Lantau Island (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee members: Ms CHAU Cheun-heung and Mr. YIP Kam-hung)
Date:	26 August 2015
Time:	8:00 pm
Event:	Working Committee Meeting of Smart Tung Chung
Venue:	Room 107, Tung Chung Community Services Complex (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee members: Mr. HA Wing-on Allen)
Date:	September 2015 (To be confirmed)
Time:	To be confirmed
Event:	The Hong Kong Chinese Importers' & Exporters' Association
Venue:	To be confirmed (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee members: Mr. CHOW Ho-ding, Holden)
Date:	23 September 2015
Time:	2:30 pm
Event:	Public Forum organized by Sing Tao News Corporation Ltd
Venue:	Exhibition Halls 1A & 1B, G/F, InnoCentre (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee members: Mr. HA Wing-on Allen)
Date:	October 2015 (To be confirmed)
Time:	To be confirmed
Event:	Joint Seminar for professional institutions
Venue:	To be confirmed (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee members: Ms. LAM Lit-kwan and Mr. LAM Siu-lo, Andrew)
Date:	3rd Quarter of 2015 (To be confirmed)
Time:	To be confirmed
Event:	Land Development, Planning and Conservation Committee Meeting of

(Translated Version)

Heung Yee Kuk New Territories Venue: To be confirmed (Affiliated PRE Subcommittee member: Hon CHAN Han-pan)

As the public relations consultant has commenced work, it will be responsible for arranging and following-up future outreach activities for the development of Lantau (including some of the activities listed above). The Secretariat will inform the members the other outreach activities recommended by the public relations consultant once further information is available.

5. In accordance with the established procedures, the PRE Subcommittee members concerned should liaise with the relevant organizations to ascertain if they agree with the arrangement of the outreach activities proposed. The organizations should then issue invitation letters to the Government for attendance of the events. For organizations not yet confirming the dates of events as shown at **Annex 3**, the PRE Subcommittee members concerned are reminded to liaise with the relevant organizations to confirm the dates and inform the Secretariat as early as possible for follow-up actions.

ADVICE SOUGHT

6. PRE Subcommittee members are invited to give their views on the recommended classification and follow-up actions for the views/comments received.

**The Secretariat of the
Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee
Lantau Development Advisory Committee
August 2015**

(Translated Version)

**Annex 1 to
Paper No. 08/2015**

Follow-up actions & Responses to comments received in the promotion activities (up to 4 Aug 2015)

Annual General Meeting of the Lantau Development Alliance (6 Nov 2014)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
Enquirer: From a member of Hong Kong Question: Disneyland Have you considered reorganizing the existing traffic facilities to link up various tourist facilities on Lantau?	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.	The Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment to the THB and Development Bureau (DEVB) for consideration.
Enquirer: From a member of Shun Tak - China Travel Ship Management Ltd Comment: Tourism development is related to the work of all four subcommittees under the Lantau Development Advisory Committee (LanDAC). The overall tourism development should be well co-ordinated and planned for the long term. Information such as visitor receiving capacity and sources of the tourists must be available before the appropriate positioning can be determined.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.	Included in the "Study on the consolidated economic development strategy and market positioning for commercial land uses of Lantau".

(Translated Version)

The 2nd Meeting of Tung Chung Safe and Healthy City Steering Committee in 2014 (11 December 2014)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: From a representative of Ngong Ping 360 Ltd.</p> <p>Question: Will there be any complementary and new development at Tai O if Ngong Ping 360 is extended to Tai O?</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>There are several revitalizing and improvement works on-going in Tai O. The forthcoming “Feasibility Study of Cable Car System from Ngong Ping to Tai O” will include the affected areas in Tai O also. Therefore, subject to the study result, the opportunities, potential and details of Lantau Development should be further examined to find out the feasible development proposals for local district benefits.</p>
<p>Enquirer: From a representative of the Neighbourhood Advice-Action Council</p> <p>Question: Upon receiving over a hundred items of comments during consultation, would the Government implement and follow up some items in the planning stage?</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>In general, suitable suggestions and views will be included into the major planning or project studies for consideration and follow-up to achieve mutual integration. This will form the basis for formulating the overall spatial development strategy.</p>

(Translated Version)

Islands District Council 6th Meeting in 2014 (15 December 2014)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
Enquirer: Mr CHEUNG Fu Comment: During the review of road closure and permit issuance arrangement for Lantau, the Government should investigate whether local parking facilities are sufficient.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.	Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comments to the Transport Department (TD) and Highways Department (HyD) for consideration.
Enquirer: Mr FAN Chi-ping Comment: As the traffic of Tung Chung Road is currently overloaded with insufficient lay-bys and bus parking spaces. Improvement is required.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.	Traffic and Transport Subcommittee referred the comments to the TD for consideration.
Enquirer: Mr LEE Chi-fung Comment: The north to south transport network will be paralyzed if traffic accidents happened on Tung Chung Road. Therefore, a coastal road from Tung Chung to Tai O is required. If the transportation of Tai O is improved, more people will return to live there, hence help relieving the population burden in urban areas.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.	Traffic and Transport Subcommittee referred the comments to the TD for consideration.

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Ms CHAU Cheun-heung Comment: She thanked the Government for implementation of the promotion events enhancing public's understandings on the future development of Lantau. The message that development of Lantau is crucial to Hong Kong's overall benefit and its competitiveness has also been conveyed. As much land in Lantau is within the country park area and restricted for development, the Government should come up with innovative ideas to boost local economy apart from focusing on conservation. The Government should not think that the population in Lantau will not increase until 2023. In fact, there will be a population intake of over 20,000 in the coming few years, due to the completion of several development projects. Some infrastructures and supporting facilities should be implemented in phases.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>LanDAC will make the proposals in the Overall Development and Conservation Strategy for Lantau (to be prepared in 2016).</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Hon TANG Ka-piu Comment: With the completion of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge (HKZMB) and the extension of Tung Chung New Town, there will be more and more tourists in North Lantau. While shopping malls should be tourist-oriented, native local neighborhood market stores should also be preserved for local residents. By doing so, the ever increasing prices in Tung Chung can also be curbed. Besides, if the toll fees of the newly built Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link and the existing Lantau Link are still high, not too many small to medium scaled business firms will be willing to move to Lantau. Regarding the central waters reclamation project, he wants to know if there is any link road connecting the artificial islands to Mui Wo and North Lantau Expressway.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and is being followed up by the relevant subcommittee.</p>	<p>LanDAC will make the proposals in the Overall Development and Conservation Strategy for Lantau (to be prepared in 2016).</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr LAI Tsz-man Comment: During the earlier consultation on TCNT Extension Study, the Association of School Heads of Islands District (ASHIs) expressed that there are around 30% to 40% surplus of school places in Tung Chung. The proposal of building 10 more schools and a number of international schools planned under the TCNT Extension project is therefore not justified. As such, he requests the Government to carefully assess the demand for school places, resolve the existing problem on the surplus of school places and relocate aged schools if necessary. Otherwise, the existing schools can no longer provide services to the students.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study” on 9 Feb 2015.</p>	<p>Regarding the concern of ASHIs about, among others, the proposed number of schools in the Overall Development Plan (ODP) of TCNT Extension, the study team referred all their comments to the Education Bureau (EB) and further reviewed the future education facilities in Tung Chung. The adjustment will be reflected on the revised ODP. Concerning the comments on the education policies, EB will maintain communication with the stakeholders through the existing mechanism.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms LEE Kwai-chun Comment: She proposes the construction of a cross-harbour bridge linking Cheung Chau and Lantau</p>	<p>Referred to the Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>It is noted that the DEVB would examine the feasibility of constructing one or more artificial island(s) in the waters between the Hong Kong Island and Lantau Island. Subject to the location of the future artificial island(s), the traffic links of the artificial island(s) with the neighboring islands and Lantau will be examined. As this proposal is related to transport and traffic infrastructure, the proposal has referred to Transport and Housing Bureau (THB) for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr YU Hon-kwan Comment: Additional residential units should be built in areas near Tung Chung so that residents can work in the local areas and fill the job vacancies anticipated;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee will make proposals on the feasible short and medium term measures in the draft Overall Development and Conservation Strategy (to be prepared in mid-2015).</p>
<p>Comment: He understands that \$4,700 million is needed for construction of a new road between Tung Chung and Tai O. However, with the provision of some new "low to medium" density residential units along the new road and the moving back of some Tai O indigenous residents; he believes that construction of the new road can be justified; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comments to TD for consideration.</p>
<p>Comments: Regarding the study on the East Lantau Metropolis, he hopes that apart from the study on the technical feasibility, a study on market demand including commercial activities, demographic data, diversion of tourists and land available for development would also be conducted.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>The department is making the effort to commence the "Strategic Studies on Artificial Islands in Central Waters" the soonest. The comments were included in the study.</p>

(Translated Version)

18 District Councils Chairmen and Vice-chairmen Regular Meeting (18 December 2014)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Mr NG Kam-chun, Stephen (Wan Chai District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The handling of solid waste should also be considered when planning for the development of Lantau. He does not want to see existing roads being used for the transportation of solid waste.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The Environmental Protection Department (EPD) will commence the “Study on Planning of Future Environmental Infrastructure Facilities for Waste Treatment and Transfer in Hong Kong – Feasibility Study” (the Study) this year. It aims at formulating the plan and strategy for the territory wide waste disposal and large scale refuse transfer facilities, in terms of environmental acceptability, sustainability and cost effectiveness. It will identify the necessary additional strategic and regional facilities in order to meet the needs of Hong Kong up to 2041. The identified additional waste facilities should follow the direction, among others, that refuse transport traffic flow should be reduced. The Study will consider the population growth and known development plans and proposals. However, the waste management facilities required by individual development will not be explored. Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment related to waste disposal to EPD for consideration. Besides, the facilities required will be suitably reflected on the relevant town plans after the disposal strategy and direction are determined.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Ms CHAU Chuen-heung (Islands District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The public always has misunderstandings that the development of Lantau is a regional matter, but in fact, it concerns the overall development and the positioning of Hong Kong. The development of Lantau is for the next generation and the development of the central waters also has its strategic meaning. A balanced approach needs to be struck between conservation and development. Do not believe that the population in Lantau will not increase until 2023. In fact, a population of more than 20 000 will move into Lantau in the coming few years. As such, infrastructural and supporting facilities need to be improved gradually. She supports the Government to reconsider building a new road between Tai O and Tung Chung as accessibility will provide incentives to the indigenous residents to move back to Tai O. The new road will also help creating new economic values for lands along the roads.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>LanDAC will make the proposals in the Lantau Island Overall Development and Conservation Strategy (to be prepared in 2016).</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Dr WONG Kam-chiu (Wong Tai Sin District Council)</p> <p>Comment: It is foreseeable that with the completion of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge and Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link, Lantau will become an intersection of Guangdong, Hong Kong and Macao and will also become Hong Kong's sea, land and air transportation hub. In addition to increasing residential and commercial land, the extension of Tung Chung New Town and the planning of major commercial districts will also bring about employment and business opportunities. The overall development plan for Lantau should be examined so as to enhance visitor receiving capacity. Early construction of facilities, such as shopping malls, restaurants, tourist attractions, entertainment outlets, hotels, etc. as well as proper planning of transportation facilities, etc. should be conducted. He hopes that the new Policy Address can lay down a specific development plan for Lantau.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>LanDAC will make the proposals in the Lantau Island Development and Conservation Strategy (to be prepared in 2016)</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr NG Sze-fuk (Sai Kung District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The development of Lantau will have a far-reaching impact on the whole of Hong Kong in the future. Thus it is not adequate to consult Islands District Council only. It is suggested that the district councils near Lantau (such as the Central and Western and New Territories West districts) should also be consulted in order to garner more support to counterbalance opposition voices.</p>	<p>Referred to Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee plans to visit all the district councils in 18 districts by July 2015.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr FONG Ping (Kwai Tsing District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He supports the development of Lantau as it will bring benefits to Hong Kong as a whole. However, he hopes that Tsing Yi should also be included in the overall planning, which will benefit the overall development of Hong Kong.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee understands that the two districts are in close proximity. It has referred the comment to the relevant departments for consideration when carrying out the planning of Tsing Yi.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Mr CHUNG Wai-ping (Tsuen Wan District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He supports the construction of artificial islands in the central waters but believes that the controversial issue of reclamation and the impact on water currents and navigation channels should be resolved. He hopes that the artificial islands will provide new transport links so as to increase local employment opportunities on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 9 Feb 2015.</p>	<p>The relevant department is making the effort to commence the “Strategic Studies on Artificial Islands in Central Waters” the soonest. The comments were included in the study.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr CHUNG Kong-mo (Yau Tsim Mong District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He agrees that development potential of Lantau is great, but the travelling expenses to and from Lantau is very high. As such, new measures should be considered to reduce the transportation costs such as allocating some land sale to subsidize the transportation costs of residents.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>It is noted that the public transport fares are monitored by established mechanism. The adoption of paid roads and bridges system involves the “User Pays” principle. The comment has been referred to THB, Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau for discussion.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr CHUNG Kong-mo (Yau Tsim Mong District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He agrees that solid waste generated locally should be handled within Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 3 Feb 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The EPD will commence the “Study on Planning of Future Environmental Infrastructure Facilities for Waste Treatment and Transfer in Hong Kong – Feasibility Study” (the Study) this year. It aims at formulating the plan and strategy for the territory wide waste disposal and large scale refuse transfer facilities, in terms of environmental acceptability, sustainability and cost effectiveness. It will identify the necessary additional strategic and regional facilities in order to meet the needs of Hong Kong up to 2041. The identified additional waste facilities should follow the direction, among others, that refuse transport traffic flow should be reduced. The Study will consider the population growth and known development plans and proposals. However, the waste management facilities required by individual development will not be explored. Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment related to waste disposal to EPD for consideration. Besides, the facilities required will be suitably reflected on the relevant town plans after the disposal strategy and direction are determined.</p>

(Translated Version)

The 20th Meeting of Tsuen Wan District Council (27 January 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHOW Ping-tim Comment: He supports the development of Lantau personally. However, if there is housing development on the artificial island in the vicinity of the airport, attention should be paid to the noise problem caused by airplanes; and</p> <p>Comment: He hopes that the Government will develop innovative entertainment and leisure facilities (such as casinos) on Lantau to attract tourists.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>At present, noise sensitive uses (including residential uses) are not allowed within the areas of Aircraft Noise Exposure Forecast NEF 25 contour of the Hong Kong International Airport. In addition, Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) would be conducted under the EIA Ordinance for large scale housing development.</p> <p>For leisure and entertainment facilities, DEVB has commenced the “Recreation and Tourism Development Strategy for Lantau - Feasibility Study” for further investigation.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to DEVB for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr TSENG Wen-tien, Justin Comment: A balance should be struck between development and conservation when planning for development. An antagonistic relationship always exists between development and conservation. If planning and conservation are set for discussions by the same Subcommittee under the LanDAC, the independence of the conservation part may be queried. He suggests that the Government should</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The purpose of setting up the Planning and Conservation Subcommittee is to provide advice on formulation of an overall spatial development and conservation strategy in the short, medium and long term. To meet this end, Planning and Conservation Subcommittee confirmed that the overall planning vision for Lantau is “to promote the strategic growth and sustainable development of Lantau by balancing development needs and conservation”. At the same time, Planning and Conservation Subcommittee also established several planning and conservation guiding principles for the future formulation</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
consider enhancing the independence and autonomy of conservation work.		of the overall spatial development strategy and conservation measures for Lantau. By considering conservation and development jointly, it can formulate the future strategy that is comprehensive and in line with sustainable development (compatible with economic, environment and social development) principles more effectively.

(Translated Version)

The 1st Meeting of Yuen Long District Council in 2015 (17 February 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr Yiu Kwok-wai Comment: He suggests that the Government should enhance the supporting transport facilities on Lantau so as to create more employment opportunities in the area. He wants to know if the Government has mechanism in place to deal with effectively opinions about supporting transport facilities in respect of Lantau Development.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment related to supporting transport facilities to THB and TD for follow-up and consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr MAK Ip-sing Comment: He believes that the Tuen Mun – Chek Lap Kok Link currently under construction will increase the traffic flow between Tuen Mun and Yuen Long. He requests that the Government should arrange for sufficient supporting transport facilities, including public transport between Yuen Long and Lantau.</p> <p>Comment: He inquires about the Government’s forecast on population growth figure for Lantau and hopes that it can provide more detailed information.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment related to supporting transport facilities to THB and TD for consideration and follow-up.</p> <p>According to the known planning data, the population in Lantau in 2013 is about 110,500 and concentrates around Tung Chung, Discovery Bay, Mui Wo and Tai O areas. The future population growth on Lantau will be provided by Tung Chung New Town (TCNT) Extension, which will add about 140,000 people, bringing the TCNT total population to 270,000. The forecast population in other main districts will reach 40,000. Besides, East Lantau Metropolis can accommodate population in thousands in long term. However, its feasibility and planning are pending for further study before confirmation.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr KWOK Keung Comment: He supports the Lantau Development, but reclamation should be minimized. He hopes that the Government will provide sufficient infrastructure and supporting transport facilities. It is anticipated that the future population in Yuen Long and Hung Shui Kiu will be large and the capacity of West Rail may not be able to cope with the demand of the increased population. He suggests that direct railways to Central on Hong Kong Island via Tuen Mun and Lantau should be constructed with Hung Shui Kiu as the terminus.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee referred the proposal of minimizing the reclamation to DEVB and Civil Engineering & Development Department (CEDD) for consideration and follow-up; and referred the proposal of building railway to THB, TD, and HyD for consideration and follow-up.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHOW Wing-kan Comment: At present, there is a mismatch between employment and human resources in Tung Chung. He hopes that the new developments on Lantau will facilitate the residents to work locally and reduce their financial burden for travelling to work in other areas as well as the impact on traffic.</p>	<p>Referred to Economic & Social Development Subcommittee and the relevant departments responsible for the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p>	<p>The comments were covered in the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study”. Economic & Social Development Subcommittee will consider the comment in the course of formulating social and economic development strategy.</p> <p>At present, the development and business activities of Tung Chung and its fringe areas are mainly retail, tourism, recreation, entertainment and hotel. The Tung Chung New Town Extension has the potential to develop into a regional office hub, creating a cluster effect to utilize the strategic location and diversifying the local economy. As such, the variety of job opportunities can be increased. The draft Outline Development Plan (ODP) suggested allocating about 300,000 square metres (sqm) gross floor area (GFA) for regional and local retail use for the residents and tourists, another 500,000 sqm commercial GFA in Tung Chung East is allocating for office use. Such office use is compatible with the retail, tourism, recreation, entertainment and hotel services in the vicinity. According to the draft ODP of Tung Chung New Town Extension Study, 40,000 jobs (covering different types and ranks of posts, e.g. management professional, non-skilled, service, etc.) is estimated to be created by the proposed office/retail/hotel uses.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
		<p>On the other hand, several projects are being constructed or planned in North Lantau, such as topside development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island (HKBCF) of HKZMB, North Commercial District of the Airport, Sunny Bay Development, etc. These projects will create a lot of job opportunities of different types, making Tung Chung as a place suitable for living and working. It is expected that more Tung Chung residents will work in the district, hence relieving the traffic burden.</p> <p>Besides, Tung Chung New Town Extension has two proposed railway stations, located in Tung Chung East and Tung Chung West, with well-planned supporting road network, public transportation interchange, etc. to facilitate residents connecting various transport means on the way to work inside and outside the district.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHAM Ka-hung</p> <p>Comment: He has reservations about the feasibility of developing East Lantau into a core business strategic development district. The Government should examine in detail the development feasibility and conduct studies on market demand.</p> <p>Comment: He believes that North Lantau development should be planned together with the North West New Territories development. For instance, upon the completion of the Tuen Mun – Chek Lap Kok Link, vehicles will use the link to and from Chek Lap Kok and Yuen Long or Shenzhen Bay Boundary Control Point. The Government should plan to connect the roads in Tuen Mun, Yuen Long and Shenzhen Bay Boundary Control Point and coordinate the completion dates of supporting transport facilities. In addition, he suggests that new railways connecting Tuen Mun and Lantau should be constructed, which may reach Hong Kong Island West directly.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up action.</p> <p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The relevant department is making the effort to commence the “Strategic Studies on Artificial Islands in Central Waters” the soonest. The comments were included in the study.</p> <p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the proposal of road planning to DEVB, Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD), TD and HyD for consideration and follow-up; and referred the proposal of building railway network to THB, TD, and HyD for consideration and follow-up.</p> <p>Legislative Council Panel on Transport discussed the infrastructure planning in New Territories West on 7 July 2015.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr WONG Wai-shun Comment: The Government should consider the Lantau Development together with the planning of railways for New Territories West. The railway network in New Territories West can make use of the opportunity of Lantau Development to extend to Lantau and Kennedy Town and divert the passengers travelling to Hong Kong Island and Kowloon, which can reduce the load on West Rail.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the proposal of building railway network to THB, TD, and HyD for consideration and follow-up.</p> <p>Legislative Council Panel on Transport discussed the infrastructure planning in New Territories West on 7 July 2015.</p>

(Translated Version)

The 18th Meeting of Central & Western District Council (19 March 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHAN Choi-hi</p> <p>Comment: Does the Government have any plan to construct the bridge connecting Lantau and Hong Kong Island?</p> <p>Comment: Apart from the “One hour traffic circle”, how will Lantau tie in with its neighbouring cities in aspects other than transportation?</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the Economic & Social Development Subcommittee and Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the proposal of building a bridge to connect Lantau and Hong Kong Island to DEVB and CEDD for consideration and follow-up.</p> <p>The issue of compatibility with the neighbouring cities was covered in different strategy studies. Economic & Social Development Subcommittee will consider the comments in the course of formulating the social and economic development strategy.</p> <p>Considering the geographical advantage and infrastructure development of Lantau, Lantau is strategically position as a hub of international transport, logistic and trade in the Greater Pearl River Delta (PRD), and a service centre in the Greater PRD and Asia, facilitating the interaction with the neighbouring cities in term of economic development.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHENG Lai-king Comment: There is a large quantity of undeveloped quality country park sites on Lantau. The Government plans to develop an East Lantau core business district in nearby Kau Yi Chau, which may damage the natural environment. Is this direction of development suitable? How much public money has been spent for this study?</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up action.</p>	<p>Geographically, eastern Lantau is separated from the western Hong Kong island only by a narrow strip of water. It is advantageously located near the core business district (CBD) in Central. The East Lantau Metropolis has the potential of developing into a new CBD through the provision of traffic infrastructure connecting existing urban areas. “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters”, which will assess the overall environmental impact of reclamation and its related infrastructure, is tentatively estimated as \$230 million in cost.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr LEE Chi-hang, Sidney Comment: At present, most of the roads in South Lantau are restricted areas for vehicles. The Government should consider opening some restricted areas to facilitate the use by residents and tourists.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment to TD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHAN Hok-fung Comment: The development of Kau Yi Chau, particularly the planning of the alignments of the relevant railways or tunnels, will have an impact on the long-term development and planning of Central and Western District. The Government should carry out local consultation as early as possible to explain the impact on the development of the waterfront promenade in Central and Western District and discuss the need of the community to tie in with the development.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up action.</p>	<p>CEDD is seeking to commence the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” as soon as possible to study the future transport modes, alignments and interchange connections. Upon having a more specific transport interchange proposal, the government will consult the relevant District Councils and other stakeholders.</p>

(Translated Version)

Exchange Meeting for Associates in Airport Operations and Aviation Logistics (1 April 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Teachers and Students of Associate Course in Airport Operations and Aviation Logistics</p> <p>Comment: The Government plans to carry out reclamation and build an artificial island in central waters, has it considered the impact of the reclamation on the marine channels near Kau Yi Chau beforehand?</p> <p>Comment: What is the ratio between public and private housing development on Lantau? He hopes that different types of high, medium and low density housing will be developed on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>According to preliminary assessment, the impact of East Lantau Metropolis development on navigation channel is slight. CEDD is seeking to commence the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” as soon as possible to study the impacts on port operation and navigation safety.</p> <p>The domestic housing development in Lantau is mainly in Tung Chung New Town. According to the draft PODP in Tung Chung New Town Extension Study, an additional 48,000 domestic flats are proposed. Among which, 30,000 flats (about 63%) are subsidized and 18,000 flats (about 37%) are private housing, keeping the public vs private ratio of housing on Lantau at about 6:4.</p> <p>Regarding the Tung Chung New Town Extension area, Tung Chung East is planned for comprehensive development, including higher density housing and commercial facilities; whereas Tung Chung West is for lower density development with rural character preserved. Besides, there are different types of housings in Lantau. For instance, the low to medium density housing in Discovery Bay, the public housing and rural small houses in Tai O and Mui Wo.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: He hopes that the future Tung Chung New Town development can enable the residents to work locally, minimize their travelling expenses and their time spent in transport.</p>	<p>Referred to Economic & Social Development Subcommittee and the relevant departments responsible for the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study” for follow-up.</p>	<p>The comments were covered in the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study”. Economic & Social Development Subcommittee will consider the comment in the course of formulating social and economic development strategy.</p> <p>At present, the development and business activities of Tung Chung and its fringe areas are mainly retail, tourism, recreation, entertainment and hotel. The Tung Chung New Town Extension has the potential to develop into a regional office hub, creating a cluster effect to utilize the strategic location and diversifying the local economy. As such, the variety of job opportunities can be increased. The draft Outline Development Plan (ODP) suggested allocating about 300,000 square metres (sqm) gross floor area (GFA) for regional and local retail use of the residents and tourists, another 500,000 sqm commercial GFA in Tung Chung East is allocating for office use. Such office use is compatible with the retail, tourism, recreation, entertainment and hotel services in the vicinity. According to the draft ODP of TCNT Extension Study, 40,000 jobs (covering different types and ranks of posts, e.g. management professional, non-skilled, service, etc.) is estimated to be created by the proposed office/retail/hotel uses.</p> <p>On the other hand, several projects are being constructed or planned in North Lantau, such as topside development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge, North Commercial District of the Airport, Sunny Bay Development, etc. These projects will create a lot of job opportunities of different types, making Tung Chung as a place suitable for living and working. It is expected that more Tung Chung residents will work in the district, hence relieving the traffic burden.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: If reclamation is carried out on Lantau, attention should be paid to the natural environment and ecological value along the coasts of Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The government understands the Tung Chung residents' concerns on public transport fares. To alleviate resident's expenses on public transport, the government has been encouraging the public transport service providers to offer fare concession as far as possible, taking into account various factors such as overall economic condition in the community, market situation, and operational condition of the service providers and the demand of passengers. In fact, the main public transport service providers has been offering different types of fare concession to passengers, e.g. sectional fare, interchange concession on, same day return-trip concession, etc.</p> <p>According to "Enhancing Land Supply Strategy", the central waters lying between Lantau and Sunny Bay, Sui Ho Wan on one side, and Hong Kong Island on the other has relatively lower value in terms of ecology. They have the potential of reclamation for land development. Before reclamation, planning and engineering Studies will be carried out to ascertain the feasibility and proposed uses. Besides, the three projects are designated projects under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO). The environmental impacts will be assessed under statutory requirements.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to CEDD and Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD) for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

The 21st Meeting of North District Council (9 April 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr LAW Sai-yan Comment: He suggests that the Government should improve the feeder transport service facilities between Lantau and New Territories North.</p> <p>Comment: He worries that the opening of South Lantau for green tourism will increase the pressure on country parks on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred this proposal related to supporting traffic facilities to THB and TD for consideration.</p> <p>According to the development strategy endorsed by the LanDAC, the conservation of ecology and culture will be strengthened in most areas on southern Lantau. Initiatives are recommended to utilise the existing resources for the development of leisure, cultural, recreation and green tourism. The green tourism aims at making good use of natural and historical resources for sustainable development. Regarding the green tourism proposal, details such as supporting transport and infrastructure facilities, suitable transport modes, accessibility of individual location, environmental impacts and implementation arrangements etc. will be examined in details in the technical feasibility study later. These preliminary plans will be investigated in the “Recreation and Tourism Development Strategy for Lantau - Feasibility Study” which has been commenced by DEVB.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to DEVB for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
Comment: The Government should examine in details the area of reclamation required for the development of an “artificial island in central waters”.It also should consider using railways to connect East Lantau Metropolis to other districts in Hong Kong.	Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up	CEDD is seeking to commence the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” as soon as possible. The comments were included in the studies.

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHAN Yung</p> <p>Comment: He supports the development of Lantau as Hong Kong's future major direction of development. Upon the commissioning of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge crossing facilities, the pressure on customs clearance at New Territories North boundary will be reduced. The topside development of the Hong Kong boundary crossing facilities will also be beneficial to the diversion of parallel trading activities in the North District. If the development pattern of Lantau is successful, it can be used as reference for "New Territories North East Development".</p> <p>Comment: He hopes that the Government will come to the North District again to report the latest situation on Lantau Development in the future.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the "New Territories North East Development" on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Referred to Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee for consideration.</p>	<p>Kwu Tung North (KTN) and Fanling North (FLN) New Development Area (NDA)s are the next generation New Towns. Based on past experiences, successful new town development is one of the effective ways in providing land for housing development to meet the housing need of people in Hong Kong. Apart from housing development, NDAs will also provide a range of employment opportunities, convenient public transport facilities and a variety of community facilities. The government valued public opinions on the planning and development of the NDA. Therefore, during planning of the NDAs and the formulation of the draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) of FLN and KTN, the government conducted a three-stage public engagement exercise for the public to participate into the discussion and give their comments. In addition, the Town Planning Board (TPB), after detailed consideration, decided in April 2015 to submit the non-amended draft FN and KTN OZP to the Chief Executive in Executive Council. The first population intake is expected to be in 2023. The government will keep in view and make reference to the other development projects in the course of the NDAs development.</p> <p>Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee will timely update the District Councils on the latest situation of Lantau development.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr TSANG King-chung Comment: He hopes that the Government can formulate a set of comprehensive development strategies for New Territories North East for discussion by the District Council by making reference to the Lantau Development.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “New Territories North East Development” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p>	<p>To meet the long term housing needs and other developments in Hong Kong, CEDD and the Planning Department (PlanD) are conducting a “Preliminary Feasibility Study on Developing the New Territories North”. The main objective is to explore the development potential of areas in New Territories North through an overall and comprehensive development plan, to ascertain the feasibility of developing the identified potential areas through preliminary technical studies, and to make recommendations on the strategic infrastructure required for supporting the development of the areas.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr PANG Chun-sing Comment: He supports the Lantau Development. He hopes that the Government can exert its utmost efforts in developing tourist spots on Lantau, open the road restricted areas on South Lantau and construct roads to tie in with the development.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment to TD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr WAN Wo-tat Comment: He supports the Lantau Development as it can provide young people with a space for living and employment. He hopes that the supporting transport facilities between the North District and Lantau can be improved, which will make it easier for the young people in the North District to go to work on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred this proposal related to supporting traffic facilities to THB and TD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

The 55th Meeting of Country and Marine Parks Board (21 April 2015)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Dr HAU Chi-hang Comment: He suggests that a conservation area similar to the Wetland Park be built at Pui O to enhance the conservation work and reduce the problem of illegal dumping, etc.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Pui O is zoned as Coastal Protection Area (CPA) covered by the statutory Plan. This zone is intended to conserve, protect and retain the natural coastlines and the sensitive coastal natural environment. It is also intended to prevent haphazard ribbon development in this zone which includes areas of high landscape, scenic or ecological value, and the local beaches and their immediate hinterland. These scenic and ecologically sensitive CPA should be protected, with a minimum of built development. Apart from avoiding land filling, land excavation or stream diversion, encroachment by developments should also be avoided in the district. In general, development permission would only be granted to those developments that are needed to support the conservation of the existing natural landscape or scenic quality of the area, or those absolutely essential infrastructure projects with overriding public interest.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to AFCD for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, there is no traffic connection or infrastructure facilities on Soko Islands. Developing Soko Islands will inevitably increase the marine traffic, affecting the habitat of the Chinese White Dolphin and the marine park. Therefore, we should be prudent in planning; and</p>	<p>Referred to the department responsible for “Preliminary feasibility Study on the development of spa and resort facilities at Cheung Sha and Soko Islands” on 8 May 2015 to follow-up.</p>	<p>The Study will make recommendations on the transport connection and infrastructure facilities of the SPA & resort village. It will also assess the impact of the development project on the ecology and the designated marine parks, and consult PlanD, AFCD, AFCD on the issues.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: He suggests that the Government should examine to develop Tung Chung River and its coast into an ecological park which will adopt the management pattern of the Wetland Park to enhance the conservation of sites of ecological value and public awareness on conservation.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee and the departments responsible for the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study” on 8 May 2015 to follow-up.</p>	<p>The draft Recommended Outline Development Plan (RODP) of TCNT Extension Study states the overall Planning Intention of Tung Chung River Valley (TCRV) as for low density development, with areas of conservation value for conservation purposes only.</p> <p>According to TCNT Extension Study, rehabilitation works will be carried out to the existing man made sections of Tung Chung River. This section of river channel, together with the land along upper TCRV stretching to Shek Mun Kap, will be developed to a riverside park, so as to improve the environment, support ecological education and provide public recreation. During the design of riverside park, the government will also consider improving the landscape, adding view platforms, walking trails and providing cross-river facilities to enhance the accessibility of Tung Chung River. The proposed riverside park will be incorporated into the Development Plan. In the detailed design stage, the government will explore the most suitable management method.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to PlanD and AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr MO Ka-hung Comment: A balance between development and conservation should be struck in the planning process. The villagers hope that when the Government develops the rural areas, it can improve the infrastructure such as water and power supplies, sewage system, etc. in the villages at the same time.</p> <p>Comment: When developing rural areas, service facilities for transportation should be provided as currently many rural roads cannot be connected to major trunk roads.</p> <p>Comment: He hopes that the Government can set up an effective communication channel with the residents to increase the residents' legitimacy of the development.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee for consideration.</p>	<p>The overall spatial development and conservation strategy of Lantau are mainly to promote Lantau for long-term and sustainable development as well as balance the need for development and conservation. At present, some proposals are still in preliminary planning stage and require further study into its feasibility, environmental impact, implementation arrangement, etc. As such, ways to improve the infrastructure facilities of the nearby villages would be considered during the detailed planning stage.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment PlanD, AFCD, Drainage Services Department, Water Supplies Department and AFCD for consideration.</p> <p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred this traffic facilities-related proposal to THB and TD for consideration.</p> <p>Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee will brief the residents on the Lantau Development timely.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr LEE Yee-keung Comment: When reclamation is planned, the natural coastlines should be preserved as far as possible.</p> <p>Comment: The tunnel option should be used in place of the construction of roads to reduce the impact on the surrounding landscape.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Before implementing the reclamation projects in Lantau, such as Sunny Bay, Sui Ho Wan and the Central waters artificial islands, the government will conduct planning and engineering study to ascertain their feasibility and proposed uses. Preservation of the natural coastline is one the important considerations and the study will try to avoid affecting the coastline.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to CEDD and AFCD for consideration.</p> <p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred this proposal of building tunnel to DEVB and CEDD for consideration and follow-up.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms WONG Lai-yin, Idy Comment: She is concerned that the Lantau Development may have an impact on the country parks. When the Government plans the Lantau Development, does it intend to review the scopes and boundaries of the country parks?</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The overall spatial development and conservation strategy of Lantau are mainly to promote Lantau for long-term and sustainable development as well as balance the need for development and conservation. The delineation and changing of the country park boundary is within the terms of reference of the Country & Marine Parks Authority and would follow the established basic principles, standards and procedures. The government will not hastily change the scope and boundary of the country parks in the course of considering the development potential of Lantau.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to PlanD, CEDD and AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Ms MA Miu-wah, Katherine Comment: She hopes the Government can indicate clearly the areas of the country parks and site involved in the proposed infrastructure and development projects on Lantau. When will the Government expect to conduct an environmental impact assessment?</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>At present, there is no plan to develop country parks for large scale development. However, whether the alignments of some infrastructure (especially transport link) will affect country parks or not can only be ascertained after detailed studies. Apart from environmental conservation, country parks also serve for outdoor recreation purpose. Therefore, taking into account the principle of conservation, the government will actively explore utilizing the natural and historical heritage of Lantau for green tourism, recreational and educational purposes for public enjoyment. In the “Recreation and Tourism Development Strategy for Lantau - Feasibility Study”, the consultant is required to identify suitable sites in country parks of Lantau to serve for recreational and tourism uses so that more residents and visitors can experience the natural ecology, cultural heritage and landscape resources of Lantau. When studying development projects, the consultant will avoid affecting sites of high ecological conservation value; if necessary, preliminary environmental impact assessment will be conducted and effective mitigation measures will be recommended for the proposed projects so as to achieve a balance. The Government will also consult the relevant stakeholders on the recommendations. When implementing individual project, statutory environmental impact assessment (EIA) will also be conducted for designated projects under the EIA Ordinance. In addition, the proposed reclamation in Sunny Bay and Siu Ho Wan, as well as the East Lantau Metropolis will not encroach on the country park. Also, statutory EIA will be conducted on the designated development projects according to EIA Ordinance.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to DEVB, CEDD and AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Dr MAN Chi-sum Comment: He is concerned about the reclamation at Siu Ho Wan. He learns that the Government has reduced the proposed areas for reclamation. Will the Government consider giving up the reclamation plan at Siu Ho Wan completely?</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the “Study on Siu Ho Wan Reclamation” on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p>	<p>The government is making an effort to increase land supply by various feasible means. Despite the proposed reclamation scope in Siu Ho Wan is to be reduced, its development potential is still substantial as it is adjacent to the railway station and related lands. It will also have synergy with the potential developments in the vicinity. As such, the government will not give up considering the reclamation in Siu Ho Wan in this stage.</p> <p>In the next stage of study, the government will assess in detail the impacts of reclamation on the environment such as the Chinese White Dolphin, in accordance with the statutory EIA. The study will ascertain the feasible area of reclamation, construction details and land use planning. It would be more appropriate to decide whether to continue the reclamation in Siu Ho Wan after more accurate information is available.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: He is very concerned about the review on the road restrictions in Tung Chung. One of the important factors that a good ecological environment can be maintained on Lantau is the implementation of road controls in South Lantau, which controls vehicular and pedestrian movements effectively. Lifting the road restrictions will increase pedestrian flows considerably, exerting pressure on the facilities of the country parks and the environment.</p>	<p>Referred to the Traffic and Transport Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the proposal related to Closed Road Control in Lantau South to THB and TD for consideration and follow-up.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: He hopes that the Government can put forward more conservation projects. He suggests that studies on the development of wetlands in Pui O and the improvement of existing facilities of country parks should be conducted.</p>	<p>Referred to the Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>Pui O is zoned as Coastal Protection Area (CPA) covered by the statutory Plan. This zone is intended to conserve, protect and retain the natural coastlines and the sensitive coastal natural environment. It is also intended to prevent haphazard ribbon development in this zone which includes areas of high landscape, scenic or ecological value, and the local beaches and their immediate hinterland. These scenic and ecologically sensitive CPA should be protected, with a minimum of built development. Apart from avoiding land filling, land excavation or stream diversion, encroachment by developments should be avoided in the district. In general, development permission would only be granted to those developments that are needed to support the conservation of the existing natural landscape or scenic quality of the area, or those absolutely essential infrastructure projects with overriding public interest.</p> <p>Under the principle of enhancing conservation, the government proposes to enhance the attraction of the country parks by developing green tourism, recreation and education uses. For example adding Family Walk, improving and increasing park facilities and utilization of technology (such as QR code) to enhance the educational value of the Lantau Country Park.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Prof. CHIU Lai-har, Rebecca, JP Comment: At present, there is neither economic activity nor transport facility at Kau Yi Chau in central waters. She queries about the Government's philosophy of developing East Lantau Metropolis into a core business district by implementing numerous large-scale projects. Besides, she is also concerned about the impact of the reclamation on the natural environment.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant departments responsible for the "Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters" on 8 May 2015 for follow-up.</p>	<p>Geographically, the area to the east of Lantau is only separated from the western part of Hong Kong Island by a strip of water and enjoys a favorable location in proximity to Central, the core business district. The East Lantau Metropolis has the potential to develop into a new core business district after provision of transport infrastructures, including railways, highways, etc., which enhance its connectivity with existing urban areas.</p> <p>The impact of the reclamation and relevant infrastructure on the overall environment will be assessed in the "Strategic studies for artificial islands in the central waters". The study will ensure that the development proposals will not have unacceptable impact on the surrounding natural environment.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SO Kwok-yin, Ken Comment: He is concerned about the cumulative impacts of the various reclamation projects on the ecology of Lantau. Even though individual project may pass the environmental impact assessment, he doubts if Lantau can bear the cumulative impacts brought about by the reclamation.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>As several large-scale infrastructure projects are being planned or constructed in the western waters of Hong Kong, CEDD has completed the “Cumulative Environmental Impact Assessment Study for the Three Nearshore Reclamation Sites in the Western Waters of Hong Kong” to examine if the western waters can take the cumulative impacts brought by the reclamation at Sunny Bay, Siu Ho Wan and Lung Kwu Tan. The assessment has taken into consideration the projects being planned or constructed in the western parts of Hong Kong. According to the assessment results, there was no significant problem found on the four environmental aspects, namely air quality, water quality, ecology and fisheries, if appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. In next stage, the three potential reclamation projects would be pursued for further consideration and study, including planning, engineering studies and statutory environmental impact assessments.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to CEDD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: He hopes that the Government can carry out conservation work on Lantau first, such as enhancing the conservation functions of country parks and increasing their ecological capacity. In addition to public enjoyment, another function of country parks is conservation. He is worried that if the visitor flows are too heavy, conservation work will be affected. The Government should commence detailed studies as soon as possible and communicate with green bodies and indigenous residents in the process.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The overall spatial development and conservation strategy of Lantau are mainly to promote Lantau for long-term and sustainable development as well as balance the need for development and conservation. Apart from environmental conservation, country parks also serve for outdoor recreation purpose. Therefore, taking into account the principle of conservation, the government will actively explore utilizing the natural and historical heritage of Lantau for green tourism, recreational and educational purposes for public enjoyment. In addition, LanDAC and the relevant subcommittees will also consult the stakeholders and local residents on the overall development and conservation strategies of Lantau. In the “Recreation and Tourism Development Strategy for Lantau - Feasibility Study”, the consultant is required to identify suitable sites in country parks of Lantau to serve as recreational and tourism uses so that more residents and visitors can experience the natural ecology, cultural heritage and landscape resources of Lantau. When studying development projects, the consultant will avoid affecting sites of high ecological conservation value; if necessary, preliminary environmental impact assessment will be conducted and effective mitigation measures will be recommended for the proposed projects so as to achieve a balance. The Government will also consult the relevant stakeholders on the recommendations. When implementing individual project, statutory environmental impact assessment (EIA) will also be conducted for designated projects under the EIA Ordinance.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to DEVB, PlanD, CEDD and AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Ms Suzanne M GENDRON Comment: She is disappointed that the Government has proposed a number of Lantau Development projects without any specific conservation plans to compensate the potential loss of natural environment. She hopes that the Government can study carefully the cumulative impacts of the development projects on the natural ecology.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>A broad environmental appraisal will be carried out under the overall 'Spatial Development and Conservation Strategy' with proposed suitable mitigation measures, to ensure no unacceptable impact will be created on the natural ecology. When implementing individual project, statutory environmental impact assessment (EIA) will also be conducted for designated projects under the EIA Ordinance.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to DEVB, PlanD, CEDD and AFCD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr LEE Chung-ming, Eric Comment: He holds a positive view of Lantau Development. However, on rural conservation, he hopes that the Government can enhance the conservation value and cultural tradition. The Lantau Development should learn from overseas experience and balance the conflict between development and conservation. In order to finalize and implement management measures effectively, he suggests that the Government should make reference to the heritage conservation plan formulated by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization for handling declarations for cultural heritage.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The central, western and southern parts of Lantau are with abundant ecological, rural, historical characteristics and of high conservation importance. Planning and Conservation Subcommittee will prepare a conservation strategy and propose suitable conservation measures to highlight the ecology, heritage and landscape value of the locations with conservation importance. Meanwhile, connections in point, line and surface-wise will be enhanced to encourage and facilitate residents and visitors to experience the ecology, heritage and landscape resources in Lantau.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Comment: Lantau's cultural heritage with special characteristics should be preserved, such as farms in Mui Wo and stilt houses in Tai O. He suggests that the Government should make reference to Penang's experience in preserving stilt houses and implement it in Tai O.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The historical and cultural resources of Lantau are abundant. A lot of which have archaeological value and their conservation value will be further consolidated and highlighted in the overall conservation strategy. According to the Study on Revitalisation of Tai O completed in 2002, it is recommended to improve the emergency access, sewage system and other infrastructure in order to preserve the stilt houses permanently. In 2007, the relevant government department formulated the Tai O Improvement Works Concept Plan which includes the construction of footbridges for better pedestrian flow and the reconstruction of stilt houses for discovery gallery/hostel purposes. The improvement works at Tai O, phase 1, including the construction of river embankment and associated drainage and sewage works, etc. were substantially completed in March 2013. The phase 2 works are currently under detailed design.</p> <p>Planning and Conservation Subcommittee has referred the comment to CEDD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Mr LAM Chung-lun, Billy, GBS, JP Comment: The Lantau Development Advisory Committee values conservation greatly. Among others, P&C SC has discussed about issues, such as the conservation of temples on Lantau and stilt house in Tai O, etc. The Government may provide information on this respect to the members for reference.</p> <p>Comment: Conservation aside, supporting transport facilities should also be improved to facilitate visit and sight-seeing by the public and enhance the value of heritage. The Government is reviewing the traffic arrangements for Tung Chung Road.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	<p>The agenda, discussion papers and minutes of meetings of Planning and Conservation Subcommittee can be viewed at the website of LanDAC.</p> <p>Traffic and Transport Subcommittee has referred the comment to TD for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Follow-up Actions	Response by Relevant Subcommittee/Department
<p>Enquirer: Ms HUI Mei-sheung, Tennessy Comment: She understands that Lantau Development is still at its initial stage and hopes that the Government can provide short, medium and long term planning information on population, jobs, housing, etc. in respect of the Lantau Development for members' reference.</p> <p>Comment: As most of the land on Lantau belongs to the country parks, she suggests that the Government should conduct large-scale studies on the interactive relationship between Lantau overall development and the country parks.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>After the 'Spatial Development and Conservation Strategy' is finalized, Planning and Conservation Subcommittee will provide the planning information of Lantau Development for the reference of members.</p> <p>When planning for Lantau Department, the government will certainly explore thoroughly the impacts of the proposed development on the neighbouring areas (including the country parks).</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHOW Kwok-keung Comment: He supports the Government not to develop the land along coast from Tung Chung to Tai O so as to preserve the coastline. He hopes that the Government can encourage green tourism and educate the public about the importance of conservation.</p>	<p>Referred to Planning and Conservation Subcommittee on 8 May 2015 for consideration.</p>	<p>The current preliminary ideas about the conservation, recreation and green tourism proposals are following this direction. Apart from encouraging green tourism, the government will educate the public about the importance of conservation.</p>

(Translated Version)

**Annex 2 to
Paper No. 08/2015**

Comments Received at Promotion Events and their Follow-ups Works (22 April 2015 to 4 August 2015)

The 20th Meeting of Kowloon City District Council (23 April 2015)

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SIU Miu-man</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development plan and opined that the plan could increase land supply to facilitate the provision of economic and tourist facilities on the island. He pointed out that Lantau was situated at the central position of the Greater Pearl River Delta near the newly established Nansha Free Trade Zone. He, therefore, believed that upon the commissioning of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge (HKZMB), the superior geographical advantage of Lantau could come into full play; and</p> <p>Comment: As Lantau was mountainous and lacked flat land, he suggested that the problem should be solved by removing mountains and reclamations.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHENG Lee-ming</p> <p>Comment: “Energizing Kowloon East” had transformed Kowloon East from a traditional industrial district into a core business district for the provision of commercial land. Did the Government still need to develop Kau Yi Chau, Hei Ling Chau and Mui Wo into another core business district?</p> <p>Comment: He urged the Government to reserve land for developing high value-added creative and high technology industries to maintain the long-term sustainable development of Hong Kong ; and</p> <p>Comment: Apart from Tung Chung, would other areas (e.g. Mui Wo) be considered for development into new towns?</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. YANG Wing-kit</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development plan. He opined that the plan could increase land supply for development and pointed out that the development potential of Lantau could be brought into full play with the commissioning of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge;</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should strike a balance between the needs for development and conservation so as to avoid making impacts on the natural ecological environment.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. PANG Hiu-ming</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development plan. He opined that the plan could create new opportunities for the sustainable development of Hong Kong and improvement of industrial structure. If East Lantau was planned for leisure, entertainment and tourism uses, visitors could have a chance to appreciate the natural landscape of Hong Kong in addition to shopping; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should consider building international standard competition arenas (e.g. Formula E circuits) on the island.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Yee-him</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development plan. However, he pointed out that Lantau was very remote geographically. He hoped that the Government could attract private investments in the construction of infrastructure for promoting more economic activities.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HO Hin-ming</p> <p>Comment: He indicated that as the development of Lantau might involve re-zoning some of the land of the country parks for development uses, the Government was required to deal with the oppositions properly. With the additional residential projects and other corresponding supportive facilities in Lantau new town, Lantau could be developed into an island as prosperous as the Hong Kong Island; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should learn from Singapore by providing land tax concession to attract internationally renowned enterprises to set up factories on Lantau to revitalize the manufacturing industries and provide more employment opportunities in Hong Kong.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to InvestHK on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. YUM Kwok-tung</p> <p>Comment: He opined that even though there were lands for further development on Lantau, most Hong Kong people would still choose to live in urban areas upon the development of Lantau;</p> <p>Comment: He urged the Government to plan the road network of Lantau properly so as to reduce the existing one-lane two-way traffic; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should consider identifying suitable sites on Lantau for the provision of additional columbaria.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Yun-cheong</p> <p>Comment: He indicated that Lantau and the airport island currently provided a total of more than 60,000 job posts but over 10% of these posts could not be filled for a long time. With the extension of the airport island and the commissioning of the third runway, he estimated that the job posts would be further increased to over 100 000. Therefore, he urged the Government to plan the island’s supportive facilities for daily life properly when developing Lantau to attract people to move to live in Lantau so that the vacant posts on Lantau could be filled.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Questions/Comments	Follows-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. MOK Ka-han</p> <p>Comment: She pointed out that the development of Lantau would likely affect the country parks and brings out conservation issues. Therefore, she opined that it would be difficult for the “Lantau Development Plan” to have the support of all the stakeholders. She pointed out that the Hong Kong Association for Democracy and People’s Livelihood had been urging the Government to conduct studies on the development of “brownfield” sites so as to avoid reducing the areas of the existing green belts.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

The 22nd Meeting of Tuen Mun District Council (5 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. KWU Hon-keung</p> <p>Comment: Lantau was close to Tuen Mun and the two places were separated only by a strip of water. The Tuen Mun District Council had been concerned about transportation matters of Chek Lak Kok and Tuen Mun. However, none of the representatives from the Tuen Mun District Council were included in the 20 non-official members of the Lantau Development Advisory Committee; and</p> <p>Comment: The Government should respect district councils and conduct more consultations with the district councilors of Tuen Mun District Council and Tuen Mun Rural Committee.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAN Wan-sang</p> <p>Comment: Since Tuen Mun and Lantau shared a common water and connected by transport network, he hoped that common networks for medical, social welfare services, etc. could be established for the two districts; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could conduct more consultations with the Tuen Mun District Council and all the people in Hong Kong during the course of planning.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. CHAN Shu-ying</p> <p>Comment: She suggested that land should be allocated for the development of Container Terminal 10; and</p> <p>Comment: She suggested that marine parks should be set up in the waters of the southern part of Lantau to conserve the Chinese White Dolphins.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. AU Chi-yuen</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that railways should be constructed to connect Tuen Mun and Lantau to tie in with the long-term development of Tuen Mun and the northern part of Lantau;</p> <p>Comment: The coastlines of Lantau should be used properly and the southern part of Lantau should be developed into a high class coastal leisure resort area; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that reclamation should first be carried out in the eastern part of Lantau, i.e. Mui Wo, Hei Ling Chau and Chi Ma Wan Peninsula, etc. for development into new towns.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. HO Hang-mui</p> <p>Comment: She was worried that the natural ecological environment would be affected by the reclamation in the northern part and central waters of Lantau. Therefore, she did not support the reclamation plan.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” for follow-up on 8 May.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. YIM Tin-sang</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that high value added and high technology industries should be developed; and</p> <p>Comment: He was concerned about the air pollution problem in Tuen Mun brought about by the airport, infrastructure and reclamation.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAN Yau-hoi</p> <p>Comment: He was concerned about the progress of the development and opening of Keung Shan Road. He hoped that it could be completed as soon as possible to facilitate the public to go to Lantau to enjoy the natural scenery; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. TSANG Hin-hong</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that a cross-district economic and job development network should be set up in Lantau and Tuen Mun; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Tuen Mun District Council's comments on the development of Tuen Mun Areas 40 and 46 should be included in the "Lantau Development Plan" for consideration.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Economic and Social Development Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

The 21st Meeting of Wan Chai District Council (5 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. NG Kam-chun, Stephen</p> <p>Comment: The development potential of Lantau was huge and it had not been fully developed at the moment. Although Lantau was mountainous, it was suitable for development with proper planning. Cheung Sha, for instance, was a beach spanning miles and miles with impressive scenery and could be developed into a leisure resort area. Lantau could also be developed into a tourist spot, residential project, etc., which would be very conducive to the economic and tourism development of Hong Kong.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. PONG Chiu-fai</p> <p>Comment: The development of Lantau was very important. The Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge would be commissioned in the next two to three years. It might be too late to discuss the “Lantau Development Plan” now; and</p> <p>Comment: Lantau had a lot of precious resources. Therefore, the Government should exert more efforts in developing eco tourism rather than just positioning Lantau as a shopping district. When determining the development directions, a balance should be struck between conservation and development.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Wang-tai</p> <p>Comment: Regarding transport, the residents in Lantau mainly relied on the railways and highways and their travelling expenses were high. He hoped that the Government could develop other supportive transport facilities to alleviate the burden of travelling expenses of the residents in Lantau;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He suggested that high value-added logistics facilities should be developed;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: With the development of Lantau, he believed that there would be more residential areas and its population would increase correspondingly. The Government should consider carefully for the handling of domestic waste to avoid planning the landfill sites too near to the resident areas, which would affect residents' health; and</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: As there are not much lands available for development in Hong Kong, he hoped that the Government could have better foresight by taking into account the population growth of Lantau in the next 20 to 30 years when planning for the development of Lantau to avoid wasting the precious land resources.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. NG Yuen-ting</p> <p>Comment: Before proceeding with any large-scale development project, the Government should commence the public engagement process with all community stakeholders as early as possible so as to understand their aspirations earlier, which would be beneficial to the smooth implementation of the development plan;</p> <p>Comment: The important issues involved in the “Lantau Development Plan” were that the development might introduce pollutions and how conservation work should be carried out. Some of the old facilities, agricultural land, green belts as well as areas rich in biodiversity had to be protected; and</p> <p>Comment: She hoped that the Government could go to the district councils to listen to the views of district councilors when more information was available.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. PECK Wan-kam, Pamela</p> <p>Comment: She was delighted to see that the Government planned to develop Lantau. Many places in Hong Kong were very crowded and it was good if new places could be developed. She hoped that the Government could provide more details about the development plan (such as the impact of the traffic arrangements on Hong Kong Island and Kowloon Peninsula) to the district councils after arranging for the Lantau site visit.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHENG Ki-kin</p> <p>Comment: Most of the roads on Lantau were rather narrow and would become overcrowded very easily. If Lantau was to be built into a development area, enormous investment might be required for the provision of adequate supportive transport facilities and its cost-effectiveness was in doubt;</p> <p>Comment: The construction of artificial islands in central waters might damage the natural environment and the relevant Environmental Impact Assessment Report might not be endorsed.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 8 May.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. LEE Kwun-ye, Kenny</p> <p>Comment: Most Hong Kong people hoped that there would be more places for them to enjoy the nature environment. At present, there were few places in Hong Kong where the public could enjoy the nature environment. Therefore the development directions of Lantau should not only consider tourism development, but rather the needs of the local residents should be considered; and</p> <p>Comment: The “Lantau Development Plan” should be forward-looking. The Government should conduct long-term studies and consider factors such as supportive tourist facilities, economic development, population growth, needs of the citizens and visitors, environment conservation, etc. The development should also tie in with the neighboring regions of Hong Kong, such as the development of the Pearl River Delta Region.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” and the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SUEN Kai-cheong</p> <p>Comment: In fact, the Lantau development commenced a long time ago. The Disneyland, the airport, the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge were all situated at Lantau. He suggested that the Government should build social consensus on the long-term development directions of Lantau. He hoped that the Government would maintain communication with district councils and listen more to the views of the locals.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

The 3rd Meeting of Tai Po District Council in 2015 (7 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LI Yiu-ban</p> <p>Comment: He recognized that a balance should be struck between development and conservation. Conservation should not override development. Otherwise, social development would be held up. He hoped that the Government could improve the living environment of the local residents (such as improving the sewage facilities at Tai Ho) when implementing the development.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration on 8 May.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. YAU Wing-kwong</p> <p>Comment: At present, the public were very concerned about the usage of the country parks. In order not to arouse public reaction, the Government should avoid developing the land within the country parks. In addition, as the villagers made a lot of sacrifices in the past when the airport was built, the Government should consider how to assist villagers in improving their living environment in the course of development.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration on 8 May.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LAU Chee-sing</p> <p>Comment: There were a lot of indigenous villages on Lantau. The Government could cater to the needs of the villagers through the “Lantau Development Plan” so that they could also be benefited such as improving the sewage and transport facilities of the villages ; and</p> <p>Comment: The development would cause inconvenience to the villagers. He urged the Government to listen to the views of the local representatives in the course of development and consultations. At the same time, the villagers’ understanding on the development plan should be enhanced.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” and the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” for consideration on 8 May.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Yung-kan</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the development of Lantau was necessary. At present, it was not easy for the Government to identify land for housing development or tourist facilities. There were a lot of lands on Lantau where the environments were beautiful and suitable for development. Development and conservation were not necessarily contradictory. He suggested that the Government should learn from the Mainland's experience in rural development, such as making reference to the ecological studies on Chinese White Dolphins by the Guangdong Ocean University and the conservation work along the Shenzhen River and Shenzhen Bay on the Mainland.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHEUNG Hok-ming</p> <p>Comment: Lantau was the most precious land resources of Hong Kong. The Government should conduct the planning and conservation work prudently and strike a balance between development and conservation;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, the road traffic to Lantau and the airport would be paralyzed if any incidents occurred at North Lantau Highway. With the commissioning of the Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link, it could become an alternative route for travelling to and from Lantau and the airport; and</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>Comment: He was concerned about whether the works progress of Tuen Mun Western Bypass could tie in with the commissioning of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge.</p>	<p>Referred to the Highways Department on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>

The 22nd Meeting of Southern District Council (14 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
-------------------------	-----------------------

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. Paul Zimmerman</p> <p>Comment: The Government’s briefing had only stated the positive impact of the “Lantau Development Plan” on the economic development of Hong Kong, but did not point out the relationship between Lantau development and the Southern District. He demanded that the Government should explain specifically why the Southern District Council needed to be concerned about the plan;</p> <p>Comment: If the Government wanted to consult the public, why were the meetings of the Lantau Development Advisory Committee not open to the public? Why it take a longer time for the English versions of the documents of the Lantau Development Advisory Committee to be uploaded onto its website?</p> <p>Comment: He queried about the appointment of Mr. LAM Chung-lun as a member of the Committee in his capacity as a green organization representative. Therefore, he was doubtful about the broad representativeness of the Committee ; and</p> <p>Comment: He asked about the boundary of the “Lantau Development Plan”. He also indicated that if the Lantau development involved the Pearl River Delta Region, it should include the areas of Tuen Mun, Lung Kwu Tan, etc.</p>	<p>Not applicable. The Government has answered the district councilor’s question at the meeting.</p> <p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAI Man-hon</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the “Lantau Development Plan” had nothing to do with the Southern District Council. The Government was only conducting routine consultations with the 18 district councils which had no practical meaning on implementation. It would be a waste of time if discussions were continued.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LO Kin-hei</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Hong Kong Police College in Wong Chuk Hang should be relocated to Lantau to release land for housing development in the area.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee”, the Hong Kong Police Force and Planning Department on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. AU Nok-hin</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the planned luxury housing project in Stanley should be relocated to Lantau to release land for more suitable uses.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” and the Planning Department on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHU Ching-hong</p> <p>Comment: He was concerned about the connection between the “East Lantau Metropolis” in Kau Yi Chau and the western part of Hong Kong Island, especially its impacts on the transport network in the Southern District.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 4 August 2015.</p>

The 22nd Meeting of Wong Tai Sin District Council (14 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Kam-chiu</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development. With Lantau's advantageous geographical location, he believed that it would become the meeting point of the three places - Guangdong, Hong Kong and Macau as well as the hub of sea, land and air traffic. He supported the increase supply of housing and commercial lands. The Lantau development was still at the planning stage and the Government should expedite finalizing the development plan and providing comprehensive supportive transport facilities;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: A lot of construction workers were required for the projects developed on Lantau. Faced with the problems of labour shortage and increasing construction costs in Hong Kong, what solutions did the Government have? and</p>	<p>Referred to the "Economic and Social Development Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He was concerned about the connectivity of "East Lantau Metropolis" with urban areas. How would the Government plan to connect the artificial islands with the urban areas on Hong Kong Island and did it have any plans to construct a new railway?</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters" for follow-up on 8 May.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAN On-tai</p> <p>Comment: He welcomed the development of Lantau by the Government. Lantau would have three-runway system plus comprehensive railway and road networks. He suggested that the existing road network should connect with Tuen Mun after reclamation, which would help open up the land transportation on Lantau;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should set up a designated department at the airport to provide information about mainland commodity logistics to the commercial sector so as to promote commercial operations, boost economy and improve employment;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He opined that at present Hong Kong was in dire lack of tourism projects. He suggested that the Government should make reference to Singapore which constructed tourist facilities, including hotels, free recreational facilities, etc. at the harbor front; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He suggested that the Mandatory Provident Fund (HK) should set up a petroleum fund and build an oil depot on Lantau. This would increase the rate of return on MPF investment while balancing the oil prices on the other hand. The problem of “quick in increasing but slow in reducing” of oil prices could then be resolved.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” and the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SO Sik-kin</p> <p>Comment: Land resources were precious in Hong Kong. He hoped that the Government could make good use of the land on Lantau, improve road networks and build more houses. As Hong Kong currently lacked land for housing development, he supported reclamation along the waterfront to increase land supply;</p> <p>Comment: He supported topside development at the artificial island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge and suggested that additional cultural, recreational and shopping facilities should be built for the locals and visitors; and</p> <p>Comment: He was worried that the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge might be underused in the future and reducing its cost-effectiveness. He suggested that low cost parking facilities should be set up near the bridge to facilitate bridge users on both sides and increase the bridge usage.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Planning, Engineering and Architectural Study for Topside Development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai and Macao Bridge" on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Lantau Site Visits Arranged for District Councillors (21 & 22 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: District Councilors of Island, Tsuen Wan, Yuen Long, Tai Po, Wan Chai, Wong Tai Sin, Northern District, Southern District and Central & Western District Council</p>	
<p>Comment: It was suggested that automatic bicycle rental facilities should be provided at Mui Wo to facilitate visitors to tour Mui Wo on bicycles;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Improvement Works at Mui Wo” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that one-time Lantau Closed Road permit should be available for application by the public;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that additional parking space should be provided at Ma Wan Chung;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Improvement Works at Ma Wan Chung Village” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that a railway network connecting Lantau, Tuen Mun and Tsuen Wan should be constructed.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: It was suggested that circular bus routes should be provided as “one-day Lantau tour” to shuttle tourists back and forth various tourist spots on Lantau;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that a water sports centre should be constructed at Cheung Sha; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

The 2nd Meeting of the 7th Board of Member Representatives of the Federation of New Territories Youth (21 May 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Representatives from the Federation of New Territories Youth participating at the meeting</p>	
<p>Comment: At present, there was only one bus route from Tai Po to Lantau. The Government should improve the public transport services connecting New Territories East with Lantau;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: When would the housing developments on Lantau be available for sale on the market?</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, there were about 65 000 job posts on the airport island. Were these posts on long term or temporary basis? Would they still exist upon the completion of various construction projects?</p>	<p>Referred to the “Economic and Social Development Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: The Government should retain the beautiful natural coastlines of Lantau when planning for development at Lantau.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration on 8 May.</p>

The 19th Meeting of Eastern District Council (11 June 2015)

Question/comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LI Hon-shing</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the number of representatives from the tourism sector in the Lantau Department Advisory Committee was not sufficient. The Government should conduct more consultations with the tourism and hotel industry.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LO Wing-kwan, Frankie</p> <p>Comment: The Government should conduct more consultation with the hotel industry. He suggested that Mr. LI Hon-shing should be appointed as member of the Committee; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government should preserve local characteristics in the course of development.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Lantau Site Visits Arranged for District Councillors (12, 15 & 16 June 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
-------------------------	-----------------------

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: District councilors of Kowloon City, Sham Shui Po, Tuen Mun, Kwun Tong, Sai Kung, Eastern District, Sha Tin District Council & Yau Tsim Mong</p>	
<p>Comment: It was suggested that the existing Waterfront Promenade at Mui Wo should be extended to connect the whole Mui Wo;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Improvement Works at Mui Wo” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that more tourists facilities and scenic spots should be provided at Mui Wo to enhance its appeal to the visitors;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Improvement Works at Mui Wo” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that dragon boats should be displayed at Mui Wo waterfront;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Improvement Works at Mui Wo” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that a mega-sized prison should be built at Hei Ling Chau to release the lands occupied by the existing prisons in urban areas for other developments;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that greening works should be carried out at beaches at the southern part of Lantau and more trees should be planted to beautify the environment;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: It was suggested that the transport facilities at the southern part of Lantau should be improved; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested elderly homes should be built on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Tung Chung Private Housing & Lantau Development Forum (17 June 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Residents of Various Housing Estates in Tung Chung</p> <p>Comment: Lantau development should not be confined to housing development. Suitable space should be reserved for other developments but Lantau should not be over-developed;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: The Government should have an overall transport strategy to meet the future human & vehicular traffic on Lantau. Improvements should also be made to the narrow and winding roads on South Lantau;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: A lot of issues needed to be considered for the development of the "East Lantau Metropolis" and the project costs would be very high. The Government should be practical and realistic and should avoid carrying out a lot of mega-scale construction projects;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters" on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: High transportation costs had led to soaring commodity prices on Lantau. It was suggested the road tolls of Tsing Ma Bridge should be abolished;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that a coastal road to connect Tung Chung and Tai O, or a tunnel to link up Tung Chung, Tai O and Mui Wo so as to improve the connectivity of these three places;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: No new schools should be built in Tung Chung as the schools in Tung Chung already had difficulty recruiting enough students;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, Tung Chung New Town's small population did not warrant the construction of sufficient community facilities. It was suggested that the working population of the airport should be counted into the population of Tung Chung New Town to support construction of community facilities.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Tung Chung New Town Extension Plan" on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, the North Lantau Highway was the only access road to Tung Chung. If there are any traffic accident occurred, the traffic to Tung Chung would be paralyzed. Therefore the Government should have a contingency plan;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport Department for consideration on 20 July 2015. The Transport Department has taken note of the comment and will take suitable follow-up action.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: The Government should have a contingency public transport arrangement plan to and from the airport to cope with the delayed flights after a typhoon or other major incident;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport Department for consideration on 20 July 2015. The Transport Department has taken note of the comment and will take suitable follow-up action.</p>
<p>Comment: During holidays, there were a lot of vehicles waiting to enter car parks in Tung Chung Town Centre which obstructed the traffic;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport Department for consideration on 20 July 2015. The Transport Department has taken note of the comment and will take suitable follow-up action.</p>
<p>Comment: The public transport after mid-night in Tung Chung was very inadequate;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport Department for consideration on 20 July 2015. The Transport Department has taken note of the comment and will take suitable follow-up action.</p>
<p>Comment: It was inconvenient that there was no mini-bus service in Tung Chung at present;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport Department for consideration on 20 July 2015. The Transport Department has taken note of the comment and will take suitable follow-up action.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: At present, Tung Chung Town Centre lacked facilities, such as public toilets and public markets, etc.;</p>	<p>Referred to the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p><u>Tung Chung Town Centre Lacks Public Toilet Facilities</u></p> <p>At present, there are 13 public toilets in Tung Chung district, distributed in Tung Chung Town Centre and its surrounding villages under FEHD. The Tung Chung Waterfront Promenade Public Toilet, which is located in the town, was commissioned on 1 April this year. At the present stage, FEHD has no plans to build additional public toilets in the town centre.</p> <p><u>Tung Chung Town Centre Lacks Public Market Facilities</u></p> <p>On policy aspect, building public markets in the past by the former Urban Council and the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department was mainly to re-site the hawkers in the streets so as to improve the environmental hygiene. After stopping issue of new hawker licenses by the Government and the encouragement of the surrender of licenses by itinerant hawkers, the number of licensed hawkers has been decreasing, this greatly reduces the need for constructing public markets to re-site hawkers.</p>

Annex 2 to Paper No. 08/2015

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
	<p>On district aspect, FEHD has examined the market facilities in Tung Chung. At present, there are markets managed by the Link REIT and shopping arcades and supermarkets selling fresh products and fresh live food to satisfy the daily needs of the residents. According to experience, if there are too many retail points selling similar goods or food within the service areas of a new market, the operational capability of the new market will usually be seriously affected. This will leads to higher vacancy rate of their stalls and greatly reduce their functions to serve the public. Based on the above considerations, FEHD currently has no plan to construct new markets in Tung Chung city. Notwithstanding this, depending on actual need, the Government will examine the demands of Tung Chung district for public markets in due course and make consideration again.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: Tung Chung lacked cultural and recreational facilities, such as theatres, sports grounds, etc.</p>	<p>Referred to the Leisure and Cultural Services Department for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p>To tie in with the long-term development of Tung Chung, the Government has reserved land in Tung Chung Area 1 and Tung Chung New Development Areas (i.e. the future Tung Chung East Reclamation) for the development of a cultural centre and sports ground respectively.</p>
<p>Comment: Tung Chung lacked elderly centers and other elderly service facilities;</p>	<p>Referred to the Social Welfare Department for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p>At present, there are following elderly service facilities in Tung Chung:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Neighbourhood Advice Action Council Tung Chung Integrated Services Centre; • H.K.S.K.H. Tung Chung Integrated Services – The Lodge • NAAC Tung Chung Integrated Services Centre Tung Chung Day Care Unit ; • Caritas Fu Tung Home; and • PLK Tung Chung Home for the Elderly

Annex 2 to Paper No. 08/2015

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: The land near North Lantau Hospital was originally planned for a private hospital. He opposed to some district councilors' suggestion that it should be rezoned for public rental housing development;</p>	<p>The Social Welfare Department will examine the local needs and improve the elderly service facilities timely. Referred to the Planning Department for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p>Referred to the Planning Department for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p>The land near North Lantau Hospital (i.e. Tung Chung Areas 13, 22 and 25) is now included into the Tung Chung Town Centre Area Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) and planned for "Government, institution or community" uses.</p> <p>According to the explanatory statement of the Area OZP, the land near North Lantau Hospital is listed for the proposed extension of the hospital. At present, there is no plan for rezoning this area for housing development.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: There was concern about when the Tung Chung New Town Extension project would be finalized for execution after the 3rd stage of consultation; and</p>	<p>The Stage 3 public engagement for Tung Chung New Town Extension Study ended in October 2014. The Government has initially collated and examined the public comments collected and is amending the Recommended Outline Development Plan (RODP). Later, the Planning Department will prepare the relevant statutory plan in accordance with the RODP and gazetting is expected to be carried out in accordance with the Town Planning Ordinance. On the other hand, the Government is carrying out an environmental impact assessment (EIA) under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance to ascertain that the environmental impacts of the proposed development satisfy the relevant statutory requirements. The EIA report is expected to be completed at the end of 2015 when it will be available for public inspection. The Government expects that the whole planning and engineering study will be completed at the end of 2015 and the detailed design work will commence immediately at the beginning of 2016.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: He opposed to the planning of Tung Chung Area 56 for public rental housing.</p>	<p>Referred to the Housing Department for consideration on 20 July 2015 with response as follows:</p> <p>Tung Chung Area 56 was zoned “Residential (Group)’ on the Approved Tung Chung Town Centre Area OZP No. S/I-TCTC/18 in 2001. The public rental housing development at that site has complied with the planning intention. The Government and the Housing Authority also consulted the Islands District Council and the locals on the public housing development plan in Tung Chung Area 56 in February 2009 and later in June and August 2011.</p>

The 22nd Meeting of Sham Shui Po District Council (23 June 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WAI Woon-nam</p>	
<p>Comment: He queried why there was no conservationist in the Lantau Development Advisory Committee;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He was concerned about the impacts of the Lantau development on the existing trees, wild animals and stray cattle and buffalo;</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He hoped that the Government would preserve the natural beautiful landscapes of Cheung Sha, Pui O, Mui Wo, etc. when developing Lantau; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He hoped to know whether the franchise of Lantau bus would be opened up. And how the choice between the conservation of ecological environment and the convenience of the public would be made in development of future roads.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LI Ki-fung, Bruce</p> <p>Comment: He welcomed the Lantau development and hoped that the Government could make good use of the land. He was concerned about how the Government could make development and conserve Lantau simultaneously;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, the traffic around Lantau was busy. He was concerned if the Government had any transport measures to ease the traffic; and</p>	<p>Referred to the "Traffic and Transport Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: Would the Government consider constructing a casino?</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" for consideration on 8 May.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. NG Kwai-hung</p> <p>Comment: He joined the Lantau site visit organized by the Government earlier. He opined that the Government overemphasized on conservation works when planning for the development. As there were many conservation works in many places thus the scale and scope of the development became too small;</p> <p>Comment: He hoped the Government could improve the accessibility of the beaches and country parks and enhance supportive transport facilities. He suggested that reference should be made to relevant overseas experience ; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could develop green tourism and free entertainment facilities for enjoyment by the grass-roots citizens.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHUM Tak-shing</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the Lantau Development Advisory Committee lacked the participation of Lantau residents; and</p> <p>Comment: The tranquil living and village kinship of the indigenous villagers as well as the land of the country parks might be sacrificed because of the development. The final beneficiary would be the developers that engaged in the purchase and sale of land.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. FUNG Kin-kee, Frederick</p> <p>Comment: He opined that environmentalists and community groups should sit on the Lantau Development Advisory Committee; and</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the country parks and green belts should never be developed.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Tat-tung</p> <p>Comment: He supported the Lantau development plan. He opined that the development of new towns could enable the young people to have their own homes and reduce the waiting time for public housing. He hoped that the stakeholders could hold discussions in a rational way;</p> <p>Comment: He hoped to know about the future population of the new development area, the ratio and quantity of public and private housing respectively;</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could improve the transport facilities and enhance the living facilities; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped to know about the details of the development of artificial islands in central waters and had reservation on the reclamation in the vicinity of Kau Yi Chau for commercial development.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Similar comments referred to the Pl & C SC for consideration on 8 May.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters" for follow-up on 8 May.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAN Keng-chau</p> <p>Comment: To resolve the problem of insufficient land, the development of Lantau was necessary and the pace of development should be expedited.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SHUM Siu-hung</p> <p>Comment: He hoped to know about the details of the planning and feasibility study of the “East Lantau Metropolis”.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” for follow-up on 8 May.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. KWOK Chun-wah, Jimmy</p> <p>Comment: When participating in the Lantau site visit organized by the Government, he noticed that it was very inconvenient to go to Cheung Sha Beach by public transport;</p> <p>Comment: He recognized that the development of artificial islands was a new direction for increasing land supply. However, he opined that the Government should not develop within the country parks. But it could consider suitable development in the surrounding areas; and</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the Government should conduct consultations in entire Hong Kong as the Lantau development concerned the whole community.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. TSUNG Po-shan</p> <p>Comment: The natural environment should be preserved. He opined that no transport facilities need to be enhanced as various scenic spots could be accessible by existing transport facilities already.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LEE Wing-man</p> <p>Comment: He was worried if the supportive transport facilities could tie in with the demands of the newly built housing and commercial developments.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

The 23rd Meeting of Yau Tsim Mong District Council (25 June 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HAU Wing-cheong</p> <p>Comment: He enquired whether the Tuen Mun–Chek Lap Kok Link was a bridge or a tunnel.</p>	<p>Not applicable. The question was answered at the meeting.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HUNG Chiu-wah, Derek</p> <p>Comment: He recognized that the Government should make use of the opportunity to develop Lantau, especially utilizing the North Lantau for economic and housing development to solve the pressing housing problem;</p> <p>Comment: As the scope of reclamation involved in the “East Lantau Metropolis” included Kau Yi Chau, Hei Ling Chau and Mui Wo, the Government should consider the feasibility of connecting these three places by bridges or tunnels; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped to know if the Government would set priority for various development projects so as to avoid commencing too many projects simultaneously.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” for follow-up on 4 August 2015.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Ms. KWAN Sau-ling</p> <p>Comment: She hoped that the Government could increase the supply of residential land in Lantau to solve the housing problem in Hong Kong.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms. KO Po-ling</p> <p>Comment: She supported the Lantau development. She opined that upon the commissioning of Chek Lap Kok Airport and the development of Tung Chung New Town, the development of the southern and western parts of Lantau has been lagging behind;</p> <p>Comment: As the "East Lantau Metropolis" involved reclamation works which might affect the marine ecosystem and marine traffic of the waters concerned, the Government should reduce the impact of the works on the waters concerned as far as possible and try to gain the support of environmental organizations and the public.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Similar Comments referred to the relevant department responsible for the "Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters" for follow-up on 8 May.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Man-sing, Barry</p> <p>Comment: He pointed out that the Lantau Development Advisory Committee had no members from the social welfare sector and representatives from the Labour and Welfare Bureau. He was worried that the underprivileged was not been taken care of in the development of Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Lantau Development Advisory Committee" and "Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LAM Kin-man</p> <p>Comment: A lot of people criticized that there were no representatives from environmental groups in the Lantau Development Advisory Committee, its meetings were not open and operated like “black box”. He opined that the Government should carry out territory-wide consultations on matters relating to Lantau development.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” and “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

The 4th Extended Meeting of the Development and Housing Committee of Sha Tin District Council in 2015 (2 July 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
Enquirer: Mr. LEE Kam-ming Comment: He hoped that the Government could improve the transport network because the existing roads on Lantau were narrow; and	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.
Comment: He suggested that additional tourist spots and hotel facilities should be provided on Lantau.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. TANG Wing-cheong</p> <p>Comment: Lantau was the largest island in Hong Kong. It was close to the airport and its transport network was relatively comprehensive. With advantages in sea, land and air transport as well as its connection with the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge, Lantau's geographical location was superb. If the preparatory planning work was properly conducted, the development of Lantau could provide momentum to the sustainable development of Hong Kong;</p> <p>Comment: As Lantau was connected with the western part of Pearl River Delta, it had great potential for the development of tourism projects with cultural characteristics, such as green tourism, and Buddhist cultural tourism. It could also be used for new town development; and</p> <p>Comment: At present, there were numerous projects going on in Hong Kong. He opined that there was no need to implement the Lantau project urgently so as to avoid competition for labour and resources.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHING Cheung-ying</p> <p>Comment: He was worried about the reclamation between the airport and Tung Chung because Tung Chung was not a new town with proper planning. The buildings there were too tall and the transport was not convenient. He believed that reclamation work at this site would arouse relatively strong opposition; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that Tai Ho to Mui Wo and the area to the north of the Disneyland should be developed. However, it should avoid overdevelopment of public housing and a balanced social structure should be maintained.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Plan” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. SIU Hin-hong</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that Lantau could be developed into Hong Kong's new landmark, which would affirm Hong Kong's status globally. He suggested that urban designers should be engaged. In the planning process, not only economic effectiveness should be taken into account, but also the overall city planning. In addition, it should also tie in with the needs of the community and the people;</p> <p>Comment: The use of the cycle tracks for connecting whole Lantau should be considered; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that expatriates living in Hong Kong should sit on the Lantau Development Advisory Committee to canvass various opinions.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the "Lantau Development Advisory Committee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. YUNG Ming-chau, Michael</p> <p>Comment: Although the area of Lantau is large, most of it falls within the country parks. In addition, some reclaimed area has been developed and the Disneyland which might not be developed further. He was concerned if the Government would develop the land of the country parks and how a balance could be struck between development and conservation; and</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the Lantau Development Advisory Committee should not arrange for the Lantau site visit before the Dragon Boat Festival because district councilors had to deal with festive celebrations and might be too busy to attend. He also queried why the Government visited the district council after the Lantau Development Advisory Committee had held its 5th meeting.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Not applicable.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. NG Kam-hung</p> <p>Comment: At present, the public could already enjoy the Pui O wetland and Cheung Sha Beach. He could not understand why the Government had to carry out further development. He opined that the promotion of existing facilities at South Lantau was sufficient enough and did not support other developments; and</p> <p>Comment: At present, there were only mountain bike facilities on Lantau. However, trails were limited and the roads were dangerous because there were too many traffic on the roads. Mountain biking was not a popular and common activity. The expenses involved were also very high. He hoped that the Government could consider develop other sports activities on Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work.
<p>Enquirer: Mr. PANG Cheung-wai, Thomas</p> <p>Comment: He agreed with the development directions of Lantau and opined that fellow councilors should express more views on Lantau development;</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the feeder transport services between New Territories East and Lantau should be improved; and</p> <p>Comment: The Government should allow taxis and other public transports to go to Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities checkpoints directly for the convenience of the public and visitors.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms. YEUNG Sin-hung</p> <p>Comment: She agreed to the development of Lantau. The Government should consider diversified development and enhance facilities and supportive transport services; and</p> <p>Comment: She was concerned about the safety of Tai O cruise boats as many visitors did not wear life jackets when they were on board at present.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

The 4th Meeting of Sai Kung District Council in 2015 (7 July 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LI Ka-leung, Philip</p> <p>Comment: In the development of Lantau, comprehensive supportive transport facilities including adequate public transport and tourist coach parking space should be provided;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He supported extension of "Ngong Ping 360" to Tai O but suggested that fare concessions and separate waiting queue should be provided for local residents of Tai O;</p>	<p>Referred to the Transport & Housing Bureau on 12 August 2015 for follow-up</p>
<p>Comment: A lot of visitors went to Tai O, etc. for sightseeing during holidays. The Government should have measures in place to strike a balance between the transportation needs of the residents and visitors.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LUK Pi-choi</p> <p>Comment: He queried about the location and scope of the artificial islands at Kau Yi Chau and was doubtful about the effectiveness of the development of “East Lantau Metropolis” into the third core business district of Hong Kong. He was worried about the congestion would occur at the Hong Kong Island exits of “East Lantau Metropolis” trunk road. Moreover, the road networks on Lantau could not accommodate the additional traffic. He suggested that based on the concept of sustainable development, the Government should make a decision about the construction of artificial islands in central waters after taking into full account of the environmental impact assessment report.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HO Koon-shun</p> <p>Comment: He was disappointed that over the years the Government had not developed recreational tourist facilities in Sai Kung. He hoped that the Government could implement the plan of constructing recreational tourist facilities in Sai Kung as soon as possible.</p>	<p>Referred to the Leisure and Cultural Services Department on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HO Man-kit, Raymond</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should consider the development of innovative entertainment facilities, such as racecourse or casino which to be constructed and operated by the Hong Kong Jockey Club or a private organization.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LAM Wing-yin</p> <p>Comment: The Government should use the land from the reclamation at North Lantau for housing uses as far as possible and retain South Lantau for conservation and leisure purposes.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms. FONG Kwok-shan, Christine</p> <p>Comment: She hoped that the Government could learn from Singapore’s experience in handling rubbish, by constructing an artificial island in the open waters to the west of Lantau for handling solid waste, and establishing a scientific research and education centre.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAU Yin-ming Francis</p> <p>Comment: He agreed that the coastlines of the western and southern parts of Lantau should be protected.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

The 23rd Meeting of Kwun Tong District Council (7 July 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HO Kai-ming, Johnathan</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could develop more tourist spots on Lantau (particularly at Mui Wo);</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He suggested that the road tolls of North Lantau Highway should be abolished to ease the burden of travelling expenses of residents in Tung Chung and visitors;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: He suggested that the fares of ferries travelling between Lantau and Mui Wo should be reduced; and</p>	<p>Referred to the "Traffic and Transport Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could extend the airport bus service to areas currently without the service.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Traffic and Transport Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHAN Wah-yu, Nelson</p> <p>Comment: The Government should adopt the concept of smart city when planning for Lantau;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: A comprehensive transport network should be established for the development of Lantau; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: The Government should hold more public forums to consult the people of Hong Kong about the development of Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HUNG Kam-in, Kin</p> <p>Comment: The Government should conduct adequate public consultation to enable all the people of Hong Kong to discuss the development directions of Lantau;</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government would not neglect the importance of developing supportive transport facilities on Lantau; and</p> <p>Comment: He suggested that the Government should relax the restriction on public mini-buses entering Tung Chung.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHEUNG Ki-tang</p> <p>Comment: The development of Lantau required comprehensive supportive transport and community facilities; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could conduct more promotion activities for rural tourist spots on Lantau by making reference to Yim Tin Tsai Village in Sai Kung.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LAM Fung</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could develop Lantau into an international tourism brand. He opined that the scale of the existing Lantau development plan was rather small and hoped that the Government could increase the development scale. He opined that after balancing the principle of conservation and development, the Government should make a decision most beneficial to the overall development of Hong Kong based on the people's need.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr. POON Chun-yuen</p> <p>Comment: He suggested the Government should undertake more reclamation in the vicinity of Lantau so as to provide land for Hong Kong development as far as possible;</p> <p>Comment: The Government should listen more to the views of the grass-roots citizens on Lantau development.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Referred to the "Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. HSU Hoi-shan</p> <p>Comment: After joining the Lantau site visit organized by the Government, he opined that the Government should improve the existing supportive transport facilities on Lantau;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: The Government should exert more efforts in developing Lantau but at the same time, conservation should also be considered; and</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: The Government should commence the feasibility studies for artificial islands in the central waters as soon as possible.</p>	<p>Similar comments referred to the relevant department for consideration on 8 May 2015.</p>

The Federation of Hong Kong Chinese Travel Agents Luncheon Meeting - Opportunities for Tourism Brought by Lantau's Future Development (9 July 2015)

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Tourism industry members at the meeting</p> <p>Comment: Cheung Sha was situated in the green belts and development would be restricted. It was suggested that the Government should develop the camping site along Lo Kei Wan near Shui Hau Village;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: At present, there were only 50 Lantau taxi licences which were severely insufficient during holidays. It was suggested that Government should issue more Lantau taxi licences;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: Many visitors travelled on MTR with their bicycles during holidays that had over-crowded the car compartments;</p>	<p>Referred to the "Traffic and Transport Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that a coastal cycle track from Tung Chung to Yan O should be developed;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: As the roads in Lantau were very steep, it is worried that outside vehicles might be accident-prone due to unfamiliarity with the road conditions. Therefore, there was reservation about the opening of closed road sections to private cars; and</p>	<p>Referred to the "Traffic and Transport Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: As most of the parking space at the newly built bus stops in Tai O were occupied by franchised buses, it was difficult for outside tourist coaches to find a space for parking. It was suggested that parking space should be increased.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>
<p>Comment: The commissioning of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge would bring opportunities to the tourism industry in Hong Kong and increase individual visitors from the Pearl River Delta. However, it was worried that the Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Point facilities (such as parking space and shopping facilities) would be inadequate because the Government underestimated the usage of the bridge. It was suggested that the Government should conduct more consultations with the transport and tourism industry;</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Planning, Engineering and Architectural Study for Topside Development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai and Macao Bridge” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>
<p>Comment: It was suggested that additional commercial facilities should be provided to encourage residents of Lantau to work locally;</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Comment: It was hoped that 24-hour clearance service could be provided at the Hong Kong Boundary Crossing; and</p>	<p>Referred to the Security Bureau on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>

Question/Comment	Follow-up Work
<p>Comment: The commercial facilities at The Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island had to meet the demand of visitors.</p>	<p>Referred to the relevant department responsible for the “Planning, Engineering and Architectural Study for Topside Development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai and Macao Bridge” on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p>

The 17th Special Meeting of Kwai Tsing District Council (20 July 2015)

Question/Comment		Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LEUNG Tsz -wing, Dennis</p> <p>Comment: He wanted to know about the progress of the Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link; and</p> <p>Comment: He queried why housing development was not included in the five categories of comments made by members of the Lantau Development Advisory Committee.</p>	<p>Referred to the Highways Department on 4 August 2015 for follow-up.</p> <p>Referred to the “Lantau Development Advisory Committee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>	
<p>Enquirer: Mr. WONG Yun-tat</p> <p>Comment: He was concerned about the adverse impacts on the environment brought about by the development; and</p> <p>Comment: At present, a closed-road permit was required for vehicles travelling on Lantau South. Relaxation of the restriction might affect the tranquil living of the residents. He was also worried that outside vehicles might be accident-prone due to unfamiliarity with the road conditions on Lantau.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>	

Question/Comment		Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LEUNG Kam-wai</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Government could look at the environmental protection issues; and</p> <p>Comment: He hoped that the Lantau development could increase employment opportunities, especially the employment opportunities for the grass-roots.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p> <p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LEE Chi-keung, Alan</p> <p>Comment: Most of the land in Hong Kong fell within the green belts and there was not much land for housing development. As a result, land prices were very high. He opined that consideration could be given for using green belt sites for housing development.</p>	<p>Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>	

Question/Comment		Follow-up Work
<p>Enquirer: Mr. LAM Lap-chi</p> <p>Comment: He opined that inadequate housing was mainly due to population policy but not too many green belts;</p> <p>Comment: The Lantau development might have impacts on the traffic and employment of Kwai Tsing district. He hoped that the Government could make improvements to the supportive transport facilities between Lantau and Kwai Tsing district; and</p> <p>Comment: The Hong Kong people should have the priority to enjoy the developed leisure facilities, which should also be in harmony with the natural environment and avoiding being too artificial.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p> <p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” on 4 August 2015 for consideration.</p>	
<p>Enquirer: Mr. CHOW Wai-hung, Raymond</p> <p>Comment: He opined that the Government’s briefing on housing development was not clear enough. He hoped that the Government could increase employment opportunities and supportive community facilities when developing Lantau. He opined that the developments need not necessarily to be commercial development or the construction of major resort or theme park. The Government could consider making good use of the existing natural resources for the development of leisure facilities.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>	

Question/Comment		Follow-up Work
Enquirer:	Ms. POON Siu-ping, Nancy	
Comment:	She opined that the people's livelihood should be taken into account in addition to environmental protection. The pressing housing needs of the public should be addressed first. The Government could consider using green belt sites for increasing housing supply; and	Referred to the "Planning and Conservation Subcommittee" on 4 August 2015 for consideration.
Comment:	The travelling expenses of Lantau's residents were very high. The Government should make improvements to the supportive transport facilities to reduce the travelling expenses of the residents.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in March 2015 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.

**Promotion or exchange platforms or social networks
with association of Subcommittee members
(as of 4 August 2015)**

Promotion <u>or</u> exchange platforms <u>or</u> social networks with association	Event, date and time for the authorities together members of with Lantau Development Advisory Committee and/or its subcommittees to attend	Names of Subcommittee members with association	Remarks
(i) Legislative Council Committee			
1. Panel on Development, Panel on Transport, Panel on Environmental Affairs	Date: TBC Event: TBC (Hon Wu Chi-wai will send letter to those committees for arranging meetings with members of LanDAC or Government officers)	Hon WU Chi-wai	
(ii) District Council			
1. Islands District Council	Date: 4:00 pm, 15 Dec 2014 Event: The 6th Meeting of Island District Council in 2014 (Completed)	Mr CHOW Yuk-tong Ms CHAU Chuen-heung Mr CHOW Ho-ding, Holden	
2. 18 District Councils	Date: 11:00 am, 18 Dec 2014 Event: Chairmen and Vice chairmen of District Council Meeting (Completed)	Home Affairs Department	
3. Tsuen Wan District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 27 Jan 2015 Event: The 20th Meeting of Tsuen Wan District Council (Completed)	Hon CHAN Hang-pan	
4. Yuen Long District Council	Date: 9:30am, 7 Feb 2015 Event: The 1 st Meeting of Yuen Long District Council in		

(Translated Version)

	2015 (Completed)		
5. Central & Western District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 19 Mar 2015 Event: The 18 th Meeting of Central & Western District Council (Completed)		
6. North District Council	Date: 9:30am, 9 Apr 2015 Event: The 21 st Meeting of North District Council (Completed)		
7. Kowloon City District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 23 Apr 2015 Event: The 20 th Meeting of Kowloon City District Council (Completed)		
8. Tuen Mun District Council	Date: 9:30am, 5 May 2015 Event: The 22 nd Meeting of Tuen Mun District Council (Completed)		
9. Wan Chai District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 5 May 2015 Event: The 21 st Meeting of Wan Chai District Council (Completed)		
10. Tai Po District Council	Date: 9:30am, 7 May 2015 Event: The 3 rd Meeting of Tai Po District Council in 2015 (Completed)		
11. Southern District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 14 May 2015 Event: The 22 nd Meeting of Southern District Council (Completed)		
12. Wong Tai Sin District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 19 May 2015 Event: The 22 nd Meeting of Wong Tai Sin District Council (Completed)	Hon WU Chi-wai	
13. District Councils	Date: 8:30am, 21 & 22 May 2015 Event: Lantau Site Visit arranged for district councilors Council (Completed)		

(Translated Version)

14. Eastern District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 11 Jun 2015 Event: The 19 th Meeting of Eastern District Council (Completed)		
15. District Councils	Date: 8:30am, 12, 15 & 16 June 2015 Event: Lantau Site Visit arranged for district councilors Council (Completed)		
16. Sham Shui Po District Council	Date: 9:30am, 23 Jun 2015 Event: The 22 nd Meeting of Sham Shui Po District Council (Completed)		
17. Yau Tsim Mong District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 25 Jun 2015 Event: The 23 rd Meeting of Yau Tsim Mong District Council (Completed)		
18. Sha Tin District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 23 Jul 2015 Event: The 4 th Extended Meeting of Sha Tin District Council Development & Housing Committee in 2015 (Completed)		
19. Sai Kung District Council	Date: 9:30am, 7 Jul 2015 Event: The 4 th Meeting of Sai Kung District Council in 2015 (Completed)		
20. Kwun Tong District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 7 Jul 2015 Event: The 23 rd Meeting of Kwun Tong District Council (Completed)		
21. Kwai Tsing District Council	Date: 2:30pm, 20 Jul 2015 Event: The 17 th Special Meeting of Kwai Tsing District Council (Completed)		
(iii) Local Groups/ Organizations			
1. Lantau Development Alliance	Date: 12:30-2:00pm, 24 Oct 2014	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	

(Translated Version)

	Event: Lantau Development Round Table Luncheon Meeting (Completed) Date: 5:20pm, 6 Nov 2014 Event: Annual General Meeting (Completed)		
2. Tung Chung Safe and Healthy City	Date: 4pm, 11 Dec 2014 Event: The 2 nd meeting of Steering Committee in 2014 (Completed)	Ms CHAU Chuen-heung	
3. Smart Tung Chung	Date: 8pm, 26 Aug 2015 Event: Working Committee Meeting of Smart Tung Chung	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
4. Sing Tao News Corporation Ltd	Date: 23 Sep 2015 Event: Public Forum	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
5. World Green Organization	Date: TBC Event: Seminar or Consultation Activity	Mr LI Wing-kwai, Spencer	
(iv) Owners' Committees/Mutual Aid Committees			
1. Owners' Committee (Private Housing of Tung Chung)	Date: 17 Jun 2015 Event: Seminar of Lantau Development for Private Housing in Tung Chung (Completed)	Ms CHAU Chuen-heung	
(v) Professional Groups			
1. Education and Training Committee of Hong Kong Institute of Planners	Date: 17 Jun 2015 Event: Briefing on Lantau development and distribution of leaflets of Lantau development (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
2. HKIE, HKIA, HKIP, HKIS, Hong Kong Coalition of Professional Services, Designing Hong Kong Limited, Civil Exchange and other professional	Date: Oct 2015 (TBC) Event: Joint Seminar for professional institutions	Ms LAM Lit-kwan Mr LAM Siu-lo, Andrew	

(Translated Version)

institutions			
3. Innovation and Technology Association and other organizations	Date: Q4 2015 (TBC) Event: TBC	Mr LI Wing-kwai, Spencer	
(vi) Schools/Educational Institutions			
1. Association of School Heads of Islands District	Date: 4:00pm, 5 Nov 2014 Event: Meeting for discussion of Lantau Development and Human Resources Information Database (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
2. Division of Applied Science and Technology, Community College of City University (Airport Operations and Aviation Logistics)	Date: 4:00pm, 1 Apr 2015 Event: Sharing Session for Associate Degree Students in Airport Operation and Aviation Logistics (Completed)	Mr CHAN Kit, William	
3. Caritas Charles Vath College	Date: 30 Jun 2015 Event: Briefing Session on Lantau development (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
(vii) Organizations that target on different age groups (For example : youth, elderly)			
1. Federation of New Territories Youth	Date: 7:00pm, 21 May 2015 Event: The 2nd Meeting of the 7th Board of Member Representatives of the Federation of the New Territories Youth (Completed)	Hon CHAN Han-pan	
2. GeNext	Date: 4 Aug 2015 Event: Views exchange on Lantau development with the participants of the policy competition (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
3. Islands District Youth Programme Committee of Islands District Office	Date: 7:00pm, 10 Aug 2015 Event: Islands District Youth Camp 2015	Ms CHAU Chuen-heung Mr YIP Kam-hung	

(Translated Version)

4. Islands Youth Association	Date: Sep 2015 (TBC) Event: Islands District Outstanding Students Award Ceremony	Mr YIP Kam-hung	
5. Islands Youth Association	Date: Q4 2015 (TBC) Event: Lantau Beach Music Festival	Mr YIP Kam-hung	
(viii) Industrial and Commercial Organization			
1. Junior Chamber International HK	Date: 19 Apr 2015 Event: JC Lantau Day (Distribution of leaflets of Lantau Development) (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen Mr LI Wing-kwai, Spencer Mr YIP Kam-hung	
2. French Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Hong Kong (Infrastructure Committee)	Date: 7 May 2015 Event: Briefing on Lantau development and distribution of leaflets of Lantau development (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
3. The Federation of Hong Kong Chinese Travel Agents and Hong Kong Inbound Tour Operators Association	Date: 11:30am, 9 May 2015 Event: Luncheon (Completed)	Mr CHAN Kit, William	
4. The Hong Kong Chinese Importers' & Exporters' Association	Date: Sep 2015 (TBC) Event: TBC	Mr CHOW Ho-ding, Holden	
(ix) Others (Please specify)			
1. Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Welfare Council Limited	Date: 11 Dec 2014 Event: Roving Exhibition during the release of result of DSE Mock Exam of Joint Schools in Lantau 2014-2015 (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
2. Country Parks Committee, The Country and Marine Parks Board	Date: 2:30pm 21 Apr 2015 Event: The 55 th Meeting of the Country Parks Committee (Completed)		

(Translated Version)

3. Sing Tao News Corporation Limited/ Job Market Publishing Limited	Date: 18 Jun 2015 Event: Greater China Talent Management Submit (Briefing on Lantau development and distribution of leaflets of Lantau development) (Completed)	Mr HA Wing-on, Allen	
4. Hoi Bun Heritage Docents Society	Date: TBC (by the time with projects commence) Event: TBC (Can work in coordination with the plan of LanDAC and use the society as a platform for promotion)	Mr CHAN Kit, William	NGO consists of volunteers from tertiary institutions
5. Hong Kong Daily News · Hong Kong Economic Times and Phoenix TV	Date: TBC Event: Publish articles	Mr CHAN Kit, William	Mr Chan may help contacting the newspapers for articles publication if needed
6. Democratic Party	Date: TBC Event: TBC (Can coordinate and arrange meetings for the Government and LanDAC members to share the ideas with members of Democratic Party)	Hon WU Chi-wai	
7. 30SGroup	Date: TBC Event: TBC	Ms HUNG Yee-man, Cathy	
8. The Y.Elites Association Limited	Date: TBC Event: TBC	Ms HUNG Yee-man, Cathy	
9. Hong Kong United Foundation Limited/ Speak Out HK	Date: TBC Event: TBC	Ms HUNG Yee-man, Cathy	
10. Scout Association of Hong Kong – Public	Date: TBC Event: TBC	Ms HUNG Yee-man, Cathy	

(Translated Version)

Relations Committee			
---------------------	--	--	--