

(Translated Version)

For discussion on
12 January 2015

Lantau Development Advisory Committee
Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee
Paper No. 02/2015

**LANTAU DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
PUBLIC RELATION AND ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE**

Recommended Follow-up Actions on Comments received at Promotion Events

PURPOSE

This paper summarizes the views and comments received from the promotion events attended by the Government and the Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee (hereinafter referred to as “PRE Subcommittee”) under the Lantau Development Advisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as “LanDAC”) for the development of Lantau and the recommended follow-up actions. This paper is for the reference of PRE Subcommittee members who are invited to give their views.

**PUBLIC PROMOTION EVENTS ATTENDED BY THE GOVERNMENT AND
PRE SUBCOMMITTEE**

2. As of 31 December 2014, the Government and the PRE Subcommittee attended the following events for promotion of the development of Lantau. It is proposed that the views and comments received at the events will be classified into different categories for follow-up actions by the four subcommittees under LanDAC.

Date	Event Name	Proposed Classification and Follow-up Actions for the Views/ Comments Received
6 November 2014	Annual General Meeting of the Lantau Development Alliance	As listed at Annex 1
11 December 2014	The 2 nd Meeting of Tung Chung Safe and Healthy City Steering Committee in 2014	As listed at Annex 1
15 December 2014	The 6 th Meeting of Islands District Council in 2014	As listed at Annex 1

(Translated Version)

18 December 2014	18 District Councils Chairmen and Vice Chairmen Regular Meeting	As listed at Annex 1
------------------	--	----------------------

ADVICE SOUGHT

3. PRE Subcommittee members are invited to give their views on the recommended classification and follow-up actions for the views/comments received.

**The Secretariat of the
Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee
Lantau Development Advisory Committee
January 2015**

(Translated Version)

**Annex 1 to
Paper No. 02/2015**

Lantau Development Alliance General Meeting (6 November 2014)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
Enquirer: From a member of Hong Kong Disneyland Question: Have you considered reorganizing the existing traffic facilities to link up various tourist facilities on Lantau?	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.
Enquirer: From a member of Shun Tak - China Travel Ship Management Ltd Comment: Tourism development is related to the work of all four subcommittees under LanDAC. The overall tourism development should be well co-ordinated and planned for the long term. Information such as visitor receiving capacity and sources of the tourists must be available before the appropriate positioning can be determined.	Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.

(Translated Version)

Tung Chung Safe and Healthy City Steering Committee 2nd Meeting in 2014 (11 December 2014)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
Enquirer: From a representative of Ngong Ping 360 Co. Ltd. Question: Will there be any complementary and new development at Tai O if Ngong Ping 360 is extended to Tai O?	Referred to “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration.
Enquirer: From a representative of the Neighbourhood Advice-Action Council Question: Upon receiving over a hundred items of comments during consultation, would the Government implement and follow up some items in the planning stage?	Referred to “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration.

(Translated Version)

Islands District Council 6th Meeting in 2014 (15 December 2014)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHEUNG Fu</p> <p>Comment: During the review of road closure and permit issuance arrangement for Lantau, the Government should investigate whether local parking facilities are sufficient.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr CHOW Ho-ding, Holden</p> <p>Question: I heard that the Government had withdrawn the funding application for the Study on the reclamation in the Central Waters. I would like to know whether the study on the Topside development at Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Island of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge would be affected.</p>	<p>Not Applicable</p>
<p>Enquirer: Mr FAN Chi-ping</p> <p>Comment: As the traffic of Tung Chung Road is currently overloaded with insufficient lay-bys and bus parking spaces. Improvement is required.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Mr LEE Chi-fung</p> <p>Comment: The north to south transport network will be paralyzed if traffic accidents happened on Tung Chung Road. Therefore, a coastal road from Tung Chung to Tai O is required. If the transportation of Tai O is improved, more people will return to live there, hence help relieving the population burden in urban areas.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Ms CHAU Cheun-heung</p> <p>Comment: She thanked the Government for implementation of the promotion events enhancing public's understandings on the future development of Lantau. The message that development of Lantau is crucial to Hong Kong's overall benefit and its competitiveness has also been conveyed. As much land in Lantau is within the country park area and restricted for development, the Government should come up with innovative ideas to boost local economy apart from focusing on conservation. The Government should not think that the population in Lantau will not increase until 2023. In fact, there will be a population intake of over 20,000 in the coming few years, due to the completion of several development projects. Some infrastructures and supporting facilities should be implemented in phases.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Hon TANG Ka-piu</p> <p>Comment: With the completion of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge and the extension of Tung Chung New Town, there will be more and more tourists in North Lantau. While shopping malls should be tourist-oriented, native local neighborhood market stores should also be preserved for local residents. By doing so, the ever increasing prices in Tung Chung can also be curbed. Besides, if the toll fees of the newly built Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link and the existing Lantau Link are still high, not too many small to medium scaled business firms will be willing to move to Lantau. Regarding the central waters reclamation project, he wants to know if there is any link road connecting the artificial islands to Mui Wo and North Lantau Expressway.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and is being followed up by the relevant subcommittee.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Mr LAI Tsz-man</p> <p>Comment: During the earlier consultation on Tung Chung New Town Extension Study, the Association of School Heads of Islands District expressed that there are around 30% to 40% surplus of school places in Tung Chung. The proposal of building 10 more schools and a number of international schools planned under the Tung Chung New Town Extension project is therefore not justified. As such, he requests the Government to carefully assess the demand for school places, resolve the existing problem on the surplus of school places and relocate aged schools if necessary. Otherwise, the existing schools can no longer provide services to the students.</p>	<p>To be considered in the “Tung Chung New Town Extension Study”.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Ms LEE Kwai-chun</p> <p>Comment: She proposes the construction of a cross-harbour bridge linking Cheung Chau and Lantau</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” for consideration</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/ Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Mr YU Hon-kwan</p> <p>Comment: Additional residential units should be built in areas near Tung Chung so that residents can work in the same areas and fill the job vacancies anticipated. Besides, he understands that \$4,700 million is needed for construction of a new road between Tung Chung and Tai O. However, with the provision of some new “low to medium” density residential units along the new road and the moving back of some Tai O indigenous residents, he believes that construction of the new road can be justified. Regarding the study on the East Lantau Metropolis, he hopes that apart from the study on the technical feasibility, a study on market demand including commercial activities, demographic data, diversion of tourists and land available for development would also be conducted simultaneously.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members’ Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

18 District Councils Chairmen and Vice-chairmen Regular Meeting (18 December 2014)

Question/Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Mr NG Kam-chun, Stephen (Wan Chai District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The handling of solid waste should also be considered when planning for the development of Lantau. He does not want to see existing roads being used for the transportation of solid waste.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Ms CHAU Chuen-heung (Islands District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The public always has misunderstandings that the development of Lantau is a regional matter, but in fact, it concerns the overall development and the positioning of Hong Kong. The development of Lantau is for the next generation and the development of the central waters also has its strategic meaning. A balanced approach needs to be struck between conservation and development. Do not believe that the population in Lantau will not increase until 2023. In fact, a population of more than 20 000 will move into Lantau in the coming few years. As such, infrastructural and supporting facilities need to be improved gradually. She supports the Government to reconsider building a new road between Tai O and Tung Chung as accessibility will provide incentives to the indigenous residents to move back to Tai O. The new road will also help creating new economic values for lands along the roads.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Dr WONG Kam-chiu (Wong Tai Sin District Council)</p> <p>Comment: It is foreseeable that with the completion of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge and Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link, Lantau will become an intersection of Guangdong, Hong Kong and Macao and will also become Hong Kong's sea, land and air transportation hub. In addition to increasing residential and commercial land, the extension of Tung Chung New Town and the planning of major commercial districts will also bring about employment and business opportunities. The overall development plan for Lantau should be examined so as to enhance visitor receiving capacity. Early construction of facilities, such as shopping malls, restaurants, tourist attractions, entertainment outlets, hotels, etc. as well as proper planning of transportation facilities, etc. should be conducted. He hopes that the new Policy Address can lay down a specific development plan for Lantau.</p>	<p>Included in the Comprehensive Report on Members' Comments issued in November 2014 and being followed up by the relevant subcommittees.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr NG Sze-fuk (Sai Kung District Council)</p> <p>Comment: The development of Lantau will have a far-reaching impact on the whole of Hong Kong in the future. Thus it is not adequate to consult Islands District Council only. It is suggested that the district councils near Lantau (such as the Central and Western and New Territories West districts) should also be consulted in order to garner more support to counterbalance opposition voices.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Public Relation and Engagement Subcommittee” for consideration.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr FONG Ping (Kwai Tsing District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He supports the development of Lantau as it will bring benefits to Hong Kong as a whole. However, he hopes that Tsing Yi should also be included in the overall planning, which will benefit the overall development of Hong Kong.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration.</p>

(Translated Version)

Question/Comment	Remarks
<p>Enquirer: Vice-chairman, Mr CHUNG Wai-ping (Tsuen Wan District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He supports the construction of artificial islands in the central waters but believes that the controversial issue of reclamation and the impact on water currents and navigation channels should be resolved. He hopes that the artificial islands will provide new transport links so as to increase local employment opportunities on Lantau.</p>	<p>To be considered in the “Strategic studies on artificial islands in central waters”.</p>
<p>Enquirer: Chairman, Mr CHUNG Kong-mo (Yau Tsim Mong District Council)</p> <p>Comment: He agrees that development potential of Lantau is great, but the travelling expenses to and from Lantau are very high. As such, new measures should be considered to reduce the transportation costs such as allocating some land sale to subsidize the transportation costs of residents.</p> <p>Comment: He agrees that solid waste generated locally should be handled within Lantau.</p>	<p>Referred to the “Traffic and Transport Subcommittee” for consideration.</p> <p>Referred to the “Planning and Conservation Subcommittee” for consideration.</p>